

# Action functor formalism

Kenichi Shimizu

Shibaura Institute of Technology

*Email:* kshimizu@shibaura-it.ac.jp

Given a monoidal category  $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1)$ , we denote its Drinfeld center by  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ . If the forgetful functor  $U : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  admits a right adjoint, say  $R$ , then the adjoint object of  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined by  $A_{\mathcal{C}} := UR(1)$ . Our main concern is the case where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a finite tensor category in the sense of Etingof-Ostrik [1]. Some fundamental results on finite-dimensional Hopf algebras have been extended to the setting of finite tensor categories by using the adjoint object and the adjunction  $U \dashv R$  [3, 4]. Here a naive question arises: Why is the adjoint object useful for this kind of problems? As the adjoint object is defined in terms of the tensor product of  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is no obvious reason why it relates to somewhat ring-theoretic or representation-theoretic problems.

In this talk, I introduce an abstract framework connecting the adjoint object and several ring-theoretic notions and review how results on Hopf algebras are extended to the setting of finite tensor categories. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a finite tensor category. A key ingredient is the ‘action’ functor  $\rho : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Rex}(\mathcal{C})$  defined by  $\rho(X) = X \otimes (-)$ , where  $\text{Rex}(\mathcal{C})$  is the category of right exact linear endofunctors on  $\mathcal{C}$ . It turns out that  $\rho$  has a right adjoint, say  $\rho^{\text{ra}}$ , and the adjoint object  $A_{\mathcal{C}}$  is isomorphic to  $\rho^{\text{ra}}(\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}})$ . If we pick an arbitrary algebra  $L$  such that  $\mathcal{C} \approx L\text{-mod}$ , then  $\text{Rex}(\mathcal{C}) \approx L\text{-bimod}$ . Some ring-theoretic notions can be formulated in terms of the category of bimodules. If a ring-theoretic notion which we aim to investigate has such a description, then one can transport it to the category  $\mathcal{C}$  through the equivalence  $L\text{-bimod} \approx \text{Rex}(\mathcal{C})$  and the functor  $\rho^{\text{ra}} : \text{Rex}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . This allows us to discuss relations between the notion and the adjoint object.

As explained in [5], this formalism has a lot of applications. For example,  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(1, A_{\mathcal{C}})$  is shown to be isomorphic to the Hochschild cohomology  $\text{HH}^{\bullet}(L)$ . Noteworthy, this result extends the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action on the Hochschild cohomology of a ribbon factorisable Hopf algebra to the setting of non-semisimple modular tensor categories. Under the assumption that the double dual functor on  $\mathcal{C}$  is isomorphic to the identity functor,  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\bullet}(A_{\mathcal{C}}, 1)$  is shown to be dual to Hochschild homology  $\text{HH}_{\bullet}(L)$  by a similar argument and an abstract treatment of the Nakayama functor established in [2]. Thus, under the same assumption,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A_{\mathcal{C}}, 1)$  is isomorphic to the space of symmetric linear forms on  $L$ . I will show further applications of this kind of techniques. If time permits, I will talk about a generalization to modules over a finite tensor category.

## REFERENCES

1. P. Etingof and V. Ostrik. Finite tensor categories. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 4(3):627–654, 782–783, 2004.
2. J. Fuchs, G. Schaumann, and C. Schweigert. Eilenberg-Watts calculus for finite categories and a bimodule Radford  $S^4$  theorem [arXiv:1612.04561]
3. K. Shimizu. The monoidal center and the character algebra. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 221(9), pp. 2338–2371, 2017 [10.1016/j.jpaa.2016.12.037]
4. K. Shimizu. Integrals for finite tensor categories. *Algebras and Representation Theory* (22), pp. 459–453, 2019 [DOI:10.1007/s10468-018-9777-5]
5. K. Shimizu. Further results on the structure of (co)ends in finite tensor categories [arXiv:1801.02493]