

SOME TOPICS ON DERIVED EQUIVALENT BLOCKS OF FINITE GROUPS

NAOKO KUNUGI

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite group. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $\ell > 0$. We denote the principal block of kG by $B_0(G)$.

We say that two finite groups G and H have the same ℓ -local structure if G and H have a common Sylow ℓ -subgroup P such that whenever Q_1 and Q_2 are subgroups of P and $f : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ is an isomorphism, then there is an element $g \in G$ such that $f(x) = x^g$ for all $x \in Q_1$ if and only if there is an element $h \in H$ such that $f(x) = x^h$ for all $x \in Q_1$.

There is a well known conjecture due to Broué.

Conjecture 1.1(Broué [1, 2]). Let G and H be finite groups having the same ℓ -local structure with common Sylow ℓ -subgroup P . If P is abelian then the principal blocks of G and H would be derived equivalent.

If P is not abelian, then there is a counterexample to this conjecture. However, there are some examples that P is not abelian and there is a derived equivalence between the principal blocks of G and H . We will give such examples in §3.

2. GENERAL THEORY

In this section, let G and H be finite groups having the same ℓ -local structure with common Sylow ℓ -subgroup P . We say that a complex of $(B_0(G), B_0(H))$ -bimodules is *splendid* if each indecomposable summand of each term of the complex is a direct summand of a module of the form $kG \otimes_{kQ} kH$ for a subgroup Q of P .

Definition 2.1. Let X^\bullet be a splendid complex of $(B_0(G), B_0(H))$ -bimodules. We say that X^\bullet induces a *splendid stable equivalence* if we have isomorphisms

$$X^\bullet \otimes_{B_0(H)} X^{\bullet*} \cong B_0(G) \oplus Z_1, \quad X^{\bullet*} \otimes_{B_0(G)} X^\bullet \cong B_0(H) \oplus Z_2$$

where Z_1 and Z_2 are homotopy equivalent to complexes of projective bimodules.

Definition 2.2. Let X^\bullet be a splendid complex of $(B_0(G), B_0(H))$ -bimodules. We say that X^\bullet induces a *splendid equivalence* if we have isomorphisms

$$X^\bullet \otimes_{B_0(H)} X^{\bullet*} \cong B_0(G) \oplus Z_1, \quad X^{\bullet*} \otimes_{B_0(G)} X^\bullet \cong B_0(H) \oplus Z_2$$

where Z_1 and Z_2 are homotopy equivalent to 0. The complex X^\bullet is called a *splendid tilting complex*.

The detailed version of this paper will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

By the definition, splendid equivalences induce derived equivalences and homotopy equivalences.

Theorem 2.1 (Rouquier [12]). *Let X^\bullet be a splendid complex of $(B_0(G), B_0(H))$ -bimodules. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The complex X^\bullet induces a splendid stable equivalence between $B_0(G)$ and $B_0(H)$.*
- (2) *For every non-trivial subgroup Q of P , the complex $X^\bullet(\Delta(Q))$ induces a splendid equivalence between $B_0(C_G(Q))$ and $B_0(C_H(Q))$, where $\Delta(Q)$ is a diagonal subgroup and*

$$X(Q) = X^{\Delta(Q)} / \sum_{R < Q} \mathrm{Tr}_R^Q X^{\Delta(R)}.$$

In our example in §3 we will use the following method when we prove splendid equivalences.

(Step 1) Construct a splendid tilting complex between $B_0(C_G(Q))$ and $B_0(C_H(Q))$ for every non-trivial subgroup Q of P .

(Step 2) Construct a splendid stable equivalence F from $B_0(G)$ to $B_0(H)$ by gluing the splendid tilting complexes obtained in Step 1 (by using the above theorem).

(Step 3) Calculate $F(S)$ for the simple $B_0(G)$ -modules.

(Step 4) Lift the stable equivalence in Step 2 to a splendid equivalence by looking at the modules calculated in Step 3.

3. GENERAL LINEAR GROUPS AND UNITARY GROUPS

Let q be a power of a prime. Assume that ℓ is odd and ℓ^e divides $q + 1$ but ℓ^{e+1} does not divide $q + 1$ for some $e > 0$. Under this condition, we consider representations of the general linear group $GL(n, q^2)$ and the unitary group $GU(n, q^2)$ for small n . Note that if $\ell > n$ then the principal ℓ -block of $GL(n, q^2)$ is Morita equivalent to its Brauer correspondent by Puig's result (see [8]).

3.1. $GL(2, q^2)$ and $GU(2, q^2)$. We have isomorphisms

$$B_0(GL(2, q^2)) \cong kZ_{\ell^e} \otimes B_0(SL(2, q^2)), \quad B_0(GU(2, q^2)) \cong kZ_{\ell^e} \otimes B_0(SU(2, q^2)).$$

The blocks $B_0(SL(2, q^2))$ and $B_0(SU(2, q^2))$ have cyclic defect groups, and they are splendid equivalent by Rouquier's result in [11]. Therefore the principal blocks $B_0(GL(2, q^2))$ and $B_0(GU(2, q^2))$ are splendid equivalent.

3.2. $GL(3, q^2)$ and $GU(3, q^2)$ in characteristic $\ell > 3$. In this case, Sylow ℓ -subgroups of $GL(3, q^2)$ and $GU(3, q^2)$ are abelian. As in case $n = 2$, we have isomorphisms

$$B_0(GL(3, q^2)) \cong kZ_{\ell^e} \otimes B_0(SL(3, q^2)), \quad B_0(GU(3, q^2)) \cong kZ_{\ell^e} \otimes B_0(SU(3, q^2)).$$

In [5], Waki and the author showed that $B_0(SU(3, q^2))$ and its Brauer correspondent, which is isomorphic to the Brauer correspondent of $B_0(SL(3, q^2))$, are splendid equivalent. Therefore $B_0(SL(3, q^2))$ and $B_0(SU(3, q^2))$ are splendid equivalent since as we mentioned above $B_0(SL(3, q^2))$ and its Brauer correspondent are Morita(Puig) equivalent by Puig's result. Hence we also have $B_0(GL(3, q^2))$ and $B_0(GU(3, q^2))$ are splendid equivalent.

3.3. $GL(3, q^2)$ and $GU(3, q^2)$ in characteristic 3. In this case Sylow 3-subgroups of $GL(3, q^2)$ and $GU(3, q^2)$ are not abelian. Our main result in this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 (with T. Okuyama). *Assume that 3^e divides $q+1$ but 3^{e+1} does not divide $q+1$ for $e > 0$. Then*

- (1) *The principal 3-blocks $B_0(PSL(3, q^2))$ and $B_0(PSU(3, q^2))$ are splendid equivalent.*
- (2) *The principal 3-blocks $B_0(SL(3, q^2))$ and $B_0(SU(3, q^2))$ are splendid equivalent.*
- (3) *The principal 3-blocks $B_0(PGL(3, q^2))$ and $B_0(PGU(3, q^2))$ are splendid equivalent.*
- (4) *The principal 3-blocks $B_0(GL(3, q^2))$ and $B_0(GU(3, q^2))$ are splendid equivalent.*

Remark 3.1. If $e = 1$, then the result for (1) has been obtained by [6, 4, 3] and the result for (3) has been obtained by Usami and the author.

4. OUTLINE OF PROOF OF THEOREM

In this section, we give an outline of a proof of Theorem 3.1 (1) and (2). Let $G = SL(3, q^2)$, $H = SU(3, q^2)$, $\overline{G} = PSL(3, q^2)$ and $\overline{H} = PSU(3, q^2)$. Let P be a common Sylow 3-subgroup of G and H . We denote the image of a subgroup L of G (or H) in \overline{G} (or \overline{H}) by \overline{L} . For each subgroup \overline{R} of \overline{P} , let $\overline{G}_R := C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{R})$, $\overline{H}_R := C_{\overline{H}}(\overline{R})$, and let \overline{M}_R be the Scott module of $\overline{G}_R \times \overline{H}_R$ with vertex $\Delta(\overline{R}')$, where \overline{R}' is a Sylow 3-subgroup of \overline{G}_R and \overline{H}_R .

(Step 1). There is essentially one subgroup of P (up to conjugate), which we denote by Q , containing $Z(P)$ such that $B_0(C_G(Q))$ and $B_0(C_H(Q))$ are not Morita equivalent. Then $C_G(Q) \cong GL(2, q^2)$ and $C_H(Q) \cong GU(2, q^2)$. Let $\overline{M}_Q \rightarrow k_{\overline{G}_Q \times \overline{H}_Q}$ be a $\Delta(\overline{Q}')$ -projective cover of $k_{\overline{G}_Q \times \overline{H}_Q}$ and $\overline{N}_Q \rightarrow \Omega_{\Delta(\overline{Q})}(k_{\overline{G}_Q \times \overline{H}_Q})$ be a $\Delta(\overline{Q})$ -projective cover of $\Omega_{\Delta(\overline{Q})}(k_{\overline{G}_Q \times \overline{H}_Q})$. Then we have a splendid tilting complex for $B_0(\overline{G}_Q)$ and $B_0(\overline{H}_Q)$ of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \overline{N}_Q \longrightarrow \overline{M}_Q \longrightarrow 0.$$

For a subgroup \overline{R} of \overline{P} not contained in \overline{Q} , the blocks $B_0(\overline{G}_R)$ and $B_0(\overline{H}_R)$ are Morita equivalent and the Scott module \overline{M}_R gives a splendid tilting complex for these two blocks.

(Step 2). Let M be the Scott module of $G \times H$ with vertex $\Delta(P)$. Let $M \rightarrow k_{G \times H}$ be a $\Delta(P)$ -projective cover of $k_{G \times H}$ and $N \rightarrow \Omega_{\Delta(P)}(k_{G \times H})$ be a $\Delta(Q)$ -projective cover of $\Omega_{\Delta(P)}(k_{G \times H})$. Consider the following complex

$$M^\bullet : \quad 0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

and set $\overline{M}^\bullet = \text{Inv}_{Z(P) \times 1}(M^\bullet)$. Then the complex \overline{M}^\bullet is a splendid complex, and for each non-trivial subgroup \overline{R} of \overline{P} , the complex $\overline{M}^\bullet(\Delta(\overline{R}))$ coincides with the complex in (Step 1). Therefore by Rouquier's theorem (Theorem 2.1) we can see that the complex \overline{M}^\bullet induces a splendid stable equivalence between $B_0(\overline{G})$ and $B_0(\overline{H})$.

(Step 3). Let $F = - \otimes_{B_0(G)} \overline{M}^\bullet$. The principal block of $B_0(\overline{G})$ has 5 simple modules k, S, T_1, T_2 and T_3 and the principal block of $B_0(\overline{H})$ has 5 simple modules $k, \varphi, \theta_1, \theta_2$ and θ_3 . Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *There exist exact sequences*

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{-1}(U(k, \varphi)) \longrightarrow \Omega(F(S)) \longrightarrow k \oplus k \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega^{-1}(U(k, \varphi, \theta_i)) \longrightarrow \Omega^2(F(T_i)) \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and 3 , where $U(k, \varphi)$ is a uniserial module of length 2 with top k , and $U(k, \varphi, \theta_i)$ is a uniserial module of length 3 with top k and socle θ_i .

(Step 4). It follows from Lemma 4.1 that the tilting complex defined by a sequence $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$, $\{\varphi, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$ and $\{\varphi, \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3\}$ of subsets of the set of simple modules (see [6]) gives a derived equivalence between $B_0(\overline{G})$ and $B_0(\overline{H})$. The equivalence is a lift of the stable equivalence given by F (see [7]), and therefore $B_0(G)$ and $B_0(H)$ are splendid equivalent.

Now we have the splendid tilting complex for $B_0(\overline{G})$ and $B_0(\overline{H})$ of the form

$$\overline{X}^\bullet : 0 \longrightarrow \overline{Q}_3 \longrightarrow \overline{Q}_2 \longrightarrow \overline{Q}_1 \oplus \overline{N} \longrightarrow \overline{M} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\overline{M} = \text{Inv}_{Z(P) \times 1}(M)$ and $\overline{N} = \text{Inv}_{Z(P) \times 1}(N)$ and $\overline{Q}_1, \overline{Q}_2$, and \overline{Q}_3 are projective bimodules. Since $\text{Inv}_{Z(P) \times 1}(-)$ induces a one to one correspondence between the set of trivial source $k[G \times H]$ -modules with vertex $\Delta(Z(P))$ and the set of projective $k[\overline{G} \times \overline{H}]$ -modules, we have a tilting complex of the form

$$X^\bullet : 0 \longrightarrow Q_3 \longrightarrow Q_2 \longrightarrow Q_1 \oplus N \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

for $B_0(G)$ and $B_0(H)$, where Q_1, Q_2 and Q_3 are direct sums of trivial source $k[G \times H]$ -modules with vertex $\Delta(Z(P))$ and $\text{Inv}_{Z(P) \times 1}(X^\bullet) = \overline{X}^\bullet$ (see [12, A.4]).

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Broué, *Isométries parfaites, types de blocs, catégories dérivées*, Astérisque **181-182** (1990), 61–92.
- [2] M. Broué, *Equivalences of blocks of group algebras*, in Finite Dimensional Algebras and Related Topics, (edited by V. Dlab and L.L. Scott) Kluwer Acad. Pub., Dordrecht, 1994, pp.1–26.
- [3] S. Koshitani and N. Kunugi, *The principal 3-blocks of 3-dimensional projective special unitary groups in non-defining characteristic*, J. reine angew. Math. **539**, (2001), 1–27.
- [4] N. Kunugi, *Morita equivalent 3-blocks of the 3-dimensional special linear groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **80** (2000), 575–589.
- [5] N. Kunugi and K. Waki, *Derived equivalences for the 3-dimensional special unitary group*, J. Algebra **240**, (2001), 251–267.
- [6] T. Okuyama, *Some examples of derived equivalent blocks of finite groups*, preprint.
- [7] T. Okuyama, *Remarks on splendid tilting complexes*, in Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Related Topics (edited by S. Koshitani) RIMS Kokyuroku (Proceedings of Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences) Vol. **1149** (Kyoto University, 2000) pp.53–59.
- [8] L. Puig, *Algèbres de source de certains blocs des groupes de Chevalley*, Astérisque **181-182** (1990), 221–236.
- [9] J. Rickard, *Morita theory for derived categories*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **39** (1989), 436–456.
- [10] J. Rickard, *Splendid equivalences : Derived categories and permutation modules*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **72** (1996), 331–358.
- [11] R. Rouquier, *The derived category of blocks with cyclic defect groups*, in “Derived equivalences for group rings” Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **1685**, (1998), 199–220.
- [12] R. Rouquier, *Block Theory via stable and Rickard equivalences*, in “Modular representation theory of finite groups”, Proceedings of a Symposium held at the University of Virginia, Charlottesville, 1998, pp.101–147, de Gruyter (2001)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
AICHI UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION
IGAYA-CHO, KARIYA, AICHI 448-8542 JAPAN
E-mail address: nkunugi@auecc.aichi-edu.ac.jp