

STABLE EQUIVALENCES INDUCED FROM GENERALIZED TILTING MODULES II ¹

TAKAYOSHI WAKAMATSU

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A and B be finite dimensional algebras over a field K . We suppose that ${}_B T_A$ is a generalized tilting module and (φ, ψ) an admissible system for a symmetric algebra, where ${}_A M \otimes_A M_A \xrightarrow{\varphi} {}_A M_A$ and ${}_A M \otimes_A M_A \xrightarrow{\psi} {}_A D A_A$. Then the transformed system (φ^T, ψ^T) is defined over the bimodule ${}_B M_B^T = {}_B T \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(T, M)_B$ and we have two symmetric algebras $\Lambda(\varphi, \psi) = A \oplus M \oplus D A$ and $\Lambda(\varphi^T, \psi^T) = B \oplus M^T \oplus D B$ under the assumption (1) the canonical map ${}_B T \otimes_A \text{Hom}_A(T, M)_B \xrightarrow{\theta} {}_B \text{Hom}_A(T, T \otimes_A M)_B$ defined by $\theta(t \otimes f)(t') = t \otimes f(t')$ is bijective. In the previous note[2], we have shown the existence of a stable equivalence

$$\mathcal{S} : \underline{\text{mod}} - \Lambda(\varphi, \psi) \approx \underline{\text{mod}} - \Lambda(\varphi^T, \psi^T)$$

by using the assumptions (2) the class $\mathcal{C}(T_A) = \text{gen}^*(T_A) \cap \bigcap_{n>0} \text{KerExt}_A^n(T, ?)$ is contravariantly finite in $\text{mod} - A$ and, dually, $\mathcal{D}(D T_B) = \text{cog}^*(D T_B) \cap \bigcap_{n>0} \text{KerExt}_B^n(?, D T)$ covariantly finite in $\text{mod} - B$, and (3) the modules M_A and $T \otimes_A M_A$ are in the class $\mathcal{C}(T_A)$. Those assumptions (1) to (3) are satisfied if we suppose

- (a) the module ${}_A M_A$ is of the form $\bigoplus_{(X,Y)} {}_A X \otimes_K Y_A$ with all Y_A 's are in the class $\mathcal{C}(T_A)$, and
- (b) one of the algebras A and B is representation-finite.

The purpose of the present note is to give an example of a couple of an admissible system (φ, ψ) and a generalized tilting module ${}_B T_A$ for which the symmetric algebras $\Lambda(\varphi, \psi)$ and $\Lambda(\varphi^T, \psi^T)$ are stably equivalent but not derived equivalent. Such an example means that our stable equivalence \mathcal{S} is not induced from Morita theory of derived categories.

2. AN EXAMPLE

Define an algebra A by the quiver

$$\text{Q}(A) : \begin{array}{ccc} & & \beta \\ & 1 & \rightarrow & 2 \\ & \circ & & \circ \\ & \alpha & & \gamma \end{array}$$

with the relations $\alpha^2 = 0$, $\gamma^2 = 0$, $\beta \cdot \alpha = 0$ and $\gamma \cdot \beta = 0$. It is checked that the algebra A is representation-finite with only eight non-isomorphic indecomposable modules. We also

¹The detailed version of this paper will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

have

$$A_A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad DA_A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 2 \\ & 1 & \\ & & 2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Choose a generalized tilting module as $T_A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 2 \\ & 1 & \\ & & 2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, the quiver

$Q(B)$ of $B = \text{End}(T_A)$ is given by $1 \rightleftharpoons 2$ and we have

$$B_B = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & \\ 1 & & 2 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad DB_B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 2 & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now, we set ${}_A M_A = {}_A A e_1 \otimes_K e_1 D A_A = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & \\ 1 & & 2 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 2 \\ & 1 & \\ & & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\varphi = 0$ the zero map from ${}_A M \otimes_A M_A$ to ${}_A M_A$. Since our module ${}_A M_A$ is canonically isomorphic to its dual ${}_A D M_A$, we have a map $\psi : {}_A M \otimes_A M_A \rightarrow {}_A D A_A$ and (φ, ψ) becomes an admissible system for a symmetric algebra. Then, the assumptions (a) and (b) are satisfied and, therefore, the symmetric algebras $\Lambda = \Lambda(\varphi, \psi)$ and $\Gamma = \Lambda(\varphi^T, \psi^T)$ are stably equivalent.

In order to prove that the algebras Λ and Γ are not derived equivalent, we use the following well-known result. The proof can be seen in the paper [1] by Usami.

Lemma 1. *If the algebras Λ and Γ are derived equivalent, there exists a regular matrix $P \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and their Cartan matrices satisfy the equation ${}^t P \cdot C_\Lambda \cdot P = C_\Gamma$.*

We have $C_\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 3 \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$ since

$$e_1 \Lambda_A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 2 \\ & 1 & \\ & & 2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 2 \\ & 1 & \\ & & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 2 \\ & 1 & \\ & & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$e_2 \Lambda_A = \begin{pmatrix} & 2 & & \\ 1 & & 2 & \\ & & & 2 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 2 \\ & 1 & \\ & & 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, we have $C_\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ from

$$f_1 \Gamma_B = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & & \\ 1 & & 2 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} & & & 2 \\ 1 & & 2 & 1 \\ & 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$f_2 \Gamma_B = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \{0\} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where e_i (resp. f_i) stands for the primitive idempotent element in the algebra A (resp. B) corresponding to the vertex in $Q(A)$ (resp. $Q(B)$) indexed by i and n is the common number of non-isomorphic simple A - or B -modules.

Put $P = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, then we have

$${}^tP \cdot C_\Lambda \cdot P = \begin{pmatrix} 8a^2 + 6ab + 5b^2 & 8ac + 3(ad + bc) + 5bd \\ 8ac + 3(ad + bc) + 5bd & 8c^2 + 6cd + 5d^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, ${}^tP \cdot C_\Lambda \cdot P = C_\Gamma$ implies that

$$5c^2 + 3(c + d)^2 + 2d^2 = 8c^2 + 6cd + 5d^2 = 4,$$

and this is impossible for integers $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the algebras Λ and Γ are not derived equivalent by the previous lemma.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Usami, *Derived equivalence and perfect isometry II*, Proceedings of the 4th Symposium on Representation Theory of Algebras, 165-189, Saitama, 1994. (in Japanese)
- [2] T. Wakamatsu, *Stable equivalences induced from generalized tilting modules*, Proceedings of the 36th Symposium on Ring Theory and Representation Theory, 147-156, Yamanashi, 2004.

FACULTY OF EDUCATION, SAITAMA UNIVERSITY
 SHIMO-OKUBO 255, SAKURA, SAITAMA 338-8570
 JAPAN