### **ON S-COHN-JORDAN EXTENSIONS**

#### JERZY MATCZUK

ABSTRACT. Let a monoid S act on a ring R by injective endomorphisms. A series of results relating various algebraic properties of R and that of the S-Cohn-Jordan ring extension A(R; S) of R are presented. For example: primeness, Goldie conditions and other finiteness conditions are considered. Some problems and possible applications will be also discussed.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let R be an associative unital ring and  $\sigma: R \to R$  an injective endomorphism of R. Jordan [2] constructed a minimal, in a sense that  $A = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sigma^{-i}(R)$ , over-ring A of R such that  $\sigma$  extends to an automorphism of A. Then he began systematic studies of relations between various algebraic properties of R and that of A. The motivation for such studies was the observation that this knowledge can often be used for reducing the investigation of a skew polynomial ring  $R[x;\sigma]$  of endomorphism type, to the case of the skew polynomial ring  $A[x;\sigma]$  of automorphism type, which is much easier to handle. Examples of such approach one can also find in [6] and [7].

Instead of looking at the action of a single endomorphism  $\sigma$  on R one can consider the action of a monoid. Let S denote a monoid which acts on R by injective endomorphisms. That is, a homomorphism  $\phi: S \to \text{End}(R)$  is given, such that  $\phi(s)$  is a monomorphism, for any  $s \in S$ . We say that an over-ring A(R; S) of R is an S-Cohn-Jordan extension of R if it is a minimal over-ring of R such that the action of S on R extends to the action of S on A(R; S) by automorphisms (Cf. Definition 1).

A classical result of Cohn (see Theorem 7.3.4 [1]) says that if the monoid S possesses a group  $S^{-1}S$  of left quotients, then A(R; S) exists, moreover it is uniquely determined up to an R-isomorphism.

The above mentioned theorem of Cohn was originally formulated in much more general context of  $\Omega$ -algebras, not just rings. The construction of A(R; S) was given as a limit of a suitable directed system.

The possibility of enlarging an object and replacing the action of endomorphisms by the action of automorphisms is a powerful tool, similar to a localization. Perhaps this was the reason that the theorem of Cohn was formulated and reproved in various algebraic contexts (see for example [3], [9], [10], [11], [12]).

The aim of the paper is to present a series of results relating various algebraic properties of R and that of the S-Cohn-Jordan extension A(R; S) of R. For example: primeness, Goldie conditions and other finiteness conditions are considered. Most of the presented

The paper is in a final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

results can be found in full details in [7]. Some problems, questions and applications are also discussed.

# 2. Properties of S-Cohn-Jordan Extensions

Henceforth R stands for an associative ring,  $\phi: S \to \text{End}(R)$  denotes the action of a monoid S on R by injective endomorphisms. For any  $s \in S$ , the endomorphism  $\phi(s) \in \text{End}(R)$  will be denoted by  $\phi_s$ .

**Definition 1.** An over-ring A(R; S) of R is called an S-Cohn-Jordan extension of R if:

- (1) the action of S on R extends to an action of S (also denoted by  $\phi$ ) on A(R; S) by automorphisms, i.e.  $\phi_s$  is an automorphism of A(R; S), for any  $s \in S$ .
- (2) every element  $a \in A(R; S)$  is of the form  $a = \phi_s^{-1}(b)$ , for some suitable  $b \in R$  and  $s \in S$ .

Henceforth, as in the above definition,  $\phi_s$  will also denote the automorphism  $\phi(s)$  of A(R; S), where  $s \in S$ .

As it was mentioned in the introduction, the extension A(R; S) exists provided the monoid S possesses a group of left quotients. Recall that this is the case exactly when the monoid S is left and right cancellative and satisfies the left Ore condition, that is, for any  $s_1, s_2 \in S$ , there exist  $t_1, t_2 \in S$  such that  $t_1s_1 = t_2s_2$ .

In the case  $S = \langle \sigma \rangle$  is a cyclic monoid, Jordan recognized A(R; S) as a subring of the left localization of the Ore extension  $R[x; \sigma]$  with respect to the set of all powers of the indeterminate x.

When the monoid S possesses a group of left quotients, then one can construct A(R; S)in a similar way as Jordan did. Namely, let us consider the skew semigroup ring  $R\#_{\phi}S$ . One can check that elements of S are regular in  $R\#_{\phi}S$  and S is a left Ore set in  $R\#_{\phi}S$ . In particular, we can consider the left localization  $T = S^{-1}(R\#_{\phi}S)$  of  $R\#_{\phi}S$ . For any  $s \in S$  and  $r \in R$ , we have  $sr = \phi_s(r)s$  in  $R\#_{\phi}S$ . Thus one can think of  $s^{-1}Rs$  as the preimage  $(\phi_s)^{-1}(R)$  of R in T. The Goldie condition implies that  $A = \bigcup_{s \in S} s^{-1}Rs \subseteq T$ is a subring of T. In fact it is easy to see that A = A(R; S) (Cf. Lemma 2.1 [7]), in this case.

Suppose that A(R; S) exists and the action of S on R is faithful, in the sense that  $\phi$  is an injection. Then the action of S on A(R; S) is also faithful. This means that the monoid S embeds in a group (the group of automorphisms of A(R; S)). However even in this case, conditions for existence of A(R; S) seem to be not clear and we may formulate the following:

**Problem 2.** Suppose that S acts faithfully on R.

- (1) What are the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of A(R; S)?
- (2) Assume that A(R; S) exists. What are the necessary and sufficient conditions for uniqueness of A(R; S)?
- (3) Let T be a submonoid of S. Suppose that A(R; S) exists. When does A(R; T) exist? If so, is it naturally embedded in A(R; S)?

The Definition 1 reminds somehow the definition of a left localization of R with respect to a multiplicatively closed set. In this way, the analogue of a common left denominator -31-

for a finite set X of elements of A(R; S), should be an element  $\phi_s$ , for some  $s \in S$ , such that  $\phi_s(X) \subseteq R$ . It is easy to see that, for any finite subset X of A(R; S), such element  $\phi_s$  do exists. This suggests that the relations between some algebraic properties of R and its S-Cohn-Jordan extension A(R; S) should be similar to those between R and its localization. This is indeed the case. In particular we have:

# **Proposition 3.** (Cf. [7])

- (1) Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote one of the following classes of rings: the class of all division, simple, von Neumann regular, prime, semiprime rings, rings having finite block theory. If  $R \in \mathcal{T}$  then  $A(R; S) \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- (2) Let  $\mathcal{P}$  denote one of the following classes of rings: the class of all domains, reduced rings,  $n \times n$  matrix rings, commutative or, more generally, rings satisfying a fixed polynomial identity. Then  $A(R; S) \in \mathcal{P}$  if and only if  $R \in \mathcal{P}$ .

The properties listed in the statement (1) of the above proposition do not pass down from A(R; S) to R. Indeed, the following easy example shows that A(R; S) can be a field with R being not simple.

**Example 4.** Let  $A = K(x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z})$  be the field of rational functions over a field K in commuting indeterminates  $\{x_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $S = \langle \sigma \rangle$ , where  $\sigma$  is the K-automorphism of A given by  $\sigma(x_i) = x_{i+1}$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let us set  $R = K(x_i \mid i \geq 1)[x_0] \subseteq A$ . Then S acts in a natural way on R and for any  $a \in A$ , there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $\sigma^n(a) \in K(x_i \mid i \geq 1) \subseteq R$ . This means that A = A(R; S).

Example 1.10 [7] offers a prime ring R such that A(R; S) is not semiprime. Example 1.15 [7] shows that there exists a ring R having infinitely many central orthogonal idempotents, while A(R; S) has no nontrivial central idempotents, i.e. A(R; S) has a finite block theory but R does not.

**Theorem 5.** (Cf. [7]) Suppose A(R; S) exists. Then:

- (1) A(R; S) is semiprime if and only if for any nonzero left ideal I of R, there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $(R\phi_s(I))^2 \neq 0$ .
- (2) Suppose that R is left noetherian. Then R is prime (semiprime) if and only if A(R; S) is prime (semiprime).

When R is one-sided noetherian, then there exists a finite common bound on the cardinality of sets of orthogonal idempotents of R (as otherwise R would have infinite left Goldie dimension). Thus Proposition 1.14 [7] yields immediately the following:

**Theorem 6.** Suppose that R is a one-sided noetherian ring and A(R; S) exists. Then R has finite block theory if and only if A(R; S) has finite block theory. Moreover if  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} e_i R$  is a decomposition of R into indecomposable blocks, then  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} e_i A(R; S)$  is a block decomposition of A(R; S).

Much more can be said about the relations of R and that of A(R; S), provided the monoid S has a group of left quotients. The idea, which goes back to Jordan [2], is to compare left ideals I of A(R; S) with its orbits  $\{\phi_s(I) \cap R \mid s \in S\}$  in R. An important role is also played by S-closed left ideals J of R, i.e. left ideals J such that  $A(R; S)J \cap R = J$ . -32The following theorem (Cf. Theorem 2.19 and Corollary 2.20 of [7]) offers complete characterization of artinian property of A(R; S).

**Theorem 7.** Suppose that S possesses a group of left quotients. Then:

- (1) The ring A(R; S) is left artinian if and only if there exists a finite bound on lengths of chains of S-closed left ideals of R. Moreover, if one of the equivalent conditions holds, then the length of A(R; S) as a left A(R; S)-module is equal to the length of the longest chain of S-closed left ideals of R.
- (2) If R is left artinian then so is A(R; S).

In the case S is a cyclic monoid, the above theorem was proved in [2]. Surprisingly, the proof of the theorem in the general case seems to be easier than the arguments used in the case S is a cyclic monoid.

Making use of Theorems 5, 6, 7 and some localizations technics one can prove the following two results (Cf. [7]):

**Theorem 8.** Suppose S possesses a group of left quotients. If the ring R is left artinian, then:

- (1) R is a semisimple ring if and only if A(R; S) is a semisimple ring.
- (2) If  $R = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} e_i R$ , with  $e_i R = M_{n_i}(B_i)$ , is a block decomposition of the semisimple ring R, then  $A(R; S) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} e_i A(R; S)$  is a block decomposition of A(R; S) and  $e_i A(R; S) = M_{n_i}(D_i)$  for some division ring. Moreover, for  $1 \le i \le k$ , the division ring  $D_i$  is an extension of  $B_i$ .

In the case  $S = \langle \sigma \rangle$  being a cyclic monoid the above theorem was known in special cases. Namely, the first statement was proved in [2], the second one appeared in [4].

From now on Q(R) will denote the classical left quotient ring of a semiprime left Goldie ring R and udim R will stand for the left uniform dimension of R.

**Theorem 9.** Suppose S possesses a group of left quotients. Let R be a semiprime left Goldie ring. Then A(R; S) is also a semiprime left Goldie ring. Moreover Q(A(R; S)) = A(Q(R); S) and udim R = udim A(R; S).

Contrary to the artinian property, the situation with the noetherian property of A(R; S) seems to be not clear at all. Even when S is a cyclic monoid, one can find examples of rings R and A(R; S) showing that one of those rings is left noetherian but the other is not left noetherian. Nevertheless Jordan [2] succeeded to give necessary and sufficient conditions for A(R; S) to be left noetherian, in the case S is a cyclic monoid. The characterization was given in terms of properties of the lattice of S-closed left ideals of R.

**Problem 10.** To characterize the left noetherian property of the S-Cohn-Jordan extension A(R; S) in terms of properties of R and the action of S.

If  $G = S^{-1}S$  is the group of left quotients of S, then we have seen that A(R; S) can be considered as a subring of the left localization  $S^{-1}(R\#_{\phi}S)$  of  $R\#_{\phi}S$ . Using this approach, one can see that there is a natural isomorphism between  $A(R; S)\#_{\phi}G$  and  $S^{-1}(R\#_{\phi}S)$ . Since the left noetherian property of a ring is preserved under left localization with respect to a left Ore set, we have: **Proposition 11.** Suppose S possesses a group of left quotients. If the ring  $R#_{\phi}S$  is left noetherian, then A(R; S) is also left noetherian.

## 3. Examples of Applications

As it was briefly mentioned at the end of the previous section, when S has the group G of left quotients, then  $R\#_{\phi}S \subseteq A(R;S)\#_{\phi}S \subseteq A(R;S)\#_{\phi}G = S^{-1}(R\#_{\phi}S)$ . This means that problems concerning the skew semigroup rings  $R\#_{\phi}S$  can often be reduced to the skew group ring  $A(R;S)\#_{\phi}S$ . The following theorem is an example of such application.

**Theorem 12.** (Cf. [7]) Let S be a monoid having a poly-infinite cyclic group of left quotients. Suppose that S acts on a semiprime (prime) left Goldie ring R by injective endomorphisms. Then the skew semigroup ring  $R\#_{\phi}S$  is a semiprime (prime) left Goldie ring and udim  $(R\#_{\phi}S) =$  udim R.

The idea of the proof of the above theorem is as follows. By Theorem 9, A(R; S) is a semiprime left Goldie ring and the assumption imposed on the group  $G = S^{-1}S$  of left quotients of the monoid S imply that  $A(R; S) \#_{\phi}G$  is a semiprime left Goldie ring.  $R\#_{\phi}S$ is a subring of  $A(R; S) \#_{\phi}G$  such that  $S^{-1}(R\#_{\phi}S) = A(R; S) \#_{\phi}G$ . Thus the localization  $S^{-1}(R\#_{\phi}S)$  is a semiprime left Goldie ring. Hence the ring  $R\#_{\phi}S$  is also semiprime left Goldie.

It was proved in [5] that the property of being a semiprime left Goldie ring lifts from a ring R to its Ore extension  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ , where  $\sigma$  is an automorphism and  $\delta$  a  $\sigma$ -derivation of R. This result was extended in [4] to the following theorem.

**Theorem 13.** Let R be a semiprime left Goldie ring,  $\sigma$ ,  $\delta$  an injective endomorphism and a  $\sigma$ -derivation of R, respectively. Then  $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$  is also a semiprime left Goldie ring and udim  $R[x; \sigma, \delta] =$  udim R = udim  $A(R; \langle \sigma \rangle)$ .

One of the key ingredient in the proof of the above theorem was the use of the  $\langle \sigma \rangle$ -Cohn-Jordan extension  $A(R; \langle \sigma \rangle)$  and Theorem 9.

Mushrub in [9] investigated the left uniform dimension of skew polynomial rings  $R[x; \sigma]$ , where  $\sigma$  denotes an injective endomorphism of the ring R. He proved, in particular, that udim  $R[x; \sigma] =$ udim  $A(R; \langle \sigma \rangle)$  (for a short proof see Lemma 3.2 [4]). He also constructed examples showing that:

1. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a commutative ring R (not semiprime) with an injective endomorphism  $\sigma$ , such that udim R = n and udim  $R[x; \sigma] = 1$ .

2. There exists a domain R of infinite left uniform dimension and an injective endomorphism  $\sigma$  of R such that udim  $R[x; \sigma] = 1$ .

The following question comes from [9].

**Question 14.** (Mushrub) Let R be a semiprime ring of finite left Goldie dimension. Suppose that  $\sigma$  is an injective endomorphism of R. Is udim R =udim  $R[x; \sigma]$ ?

As we recorded earlier, udim  $R[x; \sigma] =$  udim  $A(R; \langle \sigma \rangle)$ , for any injective endomorphism  $\sigma$  of R. Thus the above question of Mushrub can be be read as a question: Is udim R = udim  $A(R; \langle \sigma \rangle)$ ? Therefore, the following question can be viewed as a generalization of Question 14.

**Question 15.** Suppose that R is a semiprime ring of finite left Goldie dimension acted by a monoid S which has a group of left quotients. Is udim R =udim A(R; S)?

The left uniform dimension is preserved under left localizations with respect to Ore sets of regular elements (Cf. Lemma 2.2.12 [8]). This implies that udim  $R\#_{\phi}S =$ udim  $S^{-1}(R\#_{\phi}S) =$  udim  $A(R;S)\#_{\phi}G$ . Thus Theorem 12 yields that Question 15 has a positive answer if the group  $G = S^{-1}S$  is poly-infinite cyclic and R satisfies the ACC on left annihilators. This also means that Question 14 has a positive answer if one additionally assume that R has the ACC on left annihilators. The last fact was observed earlier in [4].

### References

- [1] P.M. Cohn, Universal Algebra, Harper and Row, (1965).
- [2] D.A. Jordan, Bijective Extensions of Injective Rings Endomorphisms, J. London Math. Soc. 25 (1982), 435–448.
- [3] N.S. Larsen, Crossed Products by Semigroups of Endomorphisms and Groups of Partial Automorphisms, Bull. Canad. Math. 46(1) (2003), 98–112.
- [4] A. Leroy, J. Matczuk, Goldie Conditions for Ore Extensions over Semiprime Rings, Algebras and Representation Theory 8 (2005), 679–688.
- [5] J. Matczuk, Goldie Rank of Ore Extensions, Comm. Algebra 23(4) (1995), 1455–1471.
- [6] J. Matczuk, A Characterization of  $\sigma$ -Rigid Rings, Comm. Algebra **32(11)** (2004), 4333–4336.
- [7] J. Matczuk, S-Cohn-Jordan Extensions, Comm. Algebra, to appear.
- [8] J.C. McConnell, J.C. Robson. Noncommutative Noetherian Rings, John Willey & Sons Ltd, (1987).
- [9] V.A. Mushrub, On The Goldie Dimension of Ore Extensions With Several Variables. Fundam. Prikl. Mat. 7(4) (2001), 1107–1121.
- [10] D. Pask, T. Yeend, Voltage Graphs, Voltage Graphs. Proc. Centre Math. and Appl., Austral. Nat. Univ, (1999).
- [11] D. Pask , I. Raeburn , T. Yeend, Actions of Semigroups on Directed Graphs and their C\*-algebras, Pure Appl. Alg. 159 (2001), 297–313
- [12] G. Picavet, Localization with Respect to Endomorphisms, Semigroup Forum 67 (2003), 76–96.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS WARSAW UNIVERSITY UL. BANACHA 2, 02-097 WARSAW, POLAND *E-mail address*: jmatczuk@mimuw.edu.pl