

# STICKELBERGER RELATIONS AND LOEWY SERIES OF A GROUP ALGEBRA $\text{Map}(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}_q)$

KAORU MOTOSE

ABSTRACT. In this note, we present a proof of the Stickelberger relation (see [1]) using Loewy series of a group algebra  $\text{Map}(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}_q)$  of the additive group of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . This relation is essential in a proof of the Eisenstein reciprocity law. We also present partial solutions to the Feit-Thompson conjecture for primes 3 and 5 by a special case of this law.

*Key Words* : Gaussian sum, Power residue symbol, Feit-Thompson conjecture.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification* : Primary 11A15; Secondary 20D05.

## §1. Loewy series of group algebras $\text{Map}(\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{F}_q)$

Let  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite field of order  $q = p^f$ , where  $p$  is a prime, and let  $A = \text{Map}(\mathbb{F}, K)$  be the set of mappings from  $\mathbb{F}$  to a subring  $K$  of a field. We define a convolution product  $*$  in  $A$  as follows,

$$(f * g)(\alpha) := \sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma} f(\alpha)g(\beta) \text{ for } f, g \in A \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}.$$

We say a character by a group homomorphism from the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F}^*$  to  $K$ . Let  $X$  be the set of characters. We define the trivial character  $\epsilon$  by  $\epsilon(\alpha) = 1$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}^*$ . It is convenient to set  $\epsilon(0) = 1$  and  $\chi(0) = 0$  for  $\chi \neq \epsilon$ . In virtue of this definition, we can see  $X$  is contained in  $A$ . In case  $K$  is a field,  $X$  is a group by the usual product, namely,  $(\lambda\mu)(\alpha) := \lambda(\alpha)\mu(\alpha)$ . This group isomorphic to the group  $\mathbb{F}^*$ . Let  $u_\alpha$  be the characteristic function of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , namely,

$$u_\alpha(\beta) := \begin{cases} 1 & \beta = \alpha, \\ 0 & \beta \neq \alpha. \end{cases}$$

This definition shows  $u_\alpha * u_\beta = u_{\alpha+\beta}$  and so the set  $\{u_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{F}\}$  is the additive group of  $\mathbb{F}$ . Moreover  $A$  is a group algebra of the additive group of  $\mathbb{F}$  over  $K$ . It is easy to see that  $\{u_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$  are linearly independent over  $K$  and

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} f(\alpha)u_\alpha \text{ for } f \in \text{Map}(\mathbb{F}_q, K).$$

---

§1, §2 in this note is the detailed proof of Theorem 1 in the published paper [3]. The detailed version of §3 in this note will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

Thus  $\{u_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$  is a basis of  $A$ . In case  $q - 1 \neq 0$  in  $K$ , the set  $\{u_0\} \cup X$  is also a basis of  $A$  because orthogonal relations shows

$$(q - 1)u_\alpha = \sum_{\eta \in X} \eta(\alpha^{-1})\eta \text{ for } \alpha \neq 0 \text{ and } \chi = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \chi(\alpha)u_\alpha.$$

In the remainder of this paper, we assume  $K = \mathbb{F}_q$ . We define Jacobi sums as follows

$$J_\alpha(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \lambda(\beta)\mu(\gamma) \text{ for } \lambda, \mu \in X \text{ and } \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}$$

and we set  $J(\lambda, \mu) = J_1(\lambda, \mu)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *We set  $\lambda, \mu \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ .*

- (1)  $J_\alpha(\epsilon, \epsilon) = 0$ .
- (2)  $J_0(\lambda, \mu) = 0$  for  $\lambda\mu \neq \epsilon$ .
- (3)  $J_\alpha(\lambda, \mu) = \lambda\mu(\alpha)J(\lambda, \mu)$  for  $\alpha \neq 0$ .
- (4)  $J(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) = J_0(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) = -\lambda(-1)$  for  $\lambda \neq \epsilon$ .
- (5)  $J(\lambda, \mu)$  is contained in the prime field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .
- (6)  $\lambda * \mu = J(\lambda, \mu)\lambda\mu$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $J_\alpha(\epsilon, \epsilon) = p^f = 0$ .

$$(2) J_0(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}^*} \lambda(\beta)\mu(-\beta) = \mu(-1) \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}^*} \lambda\mu(\beta) = 0.$$

$$(3) J_\alpha(\lambda, \mu) = \lambda\mu(\alpha) \sum_{\beta+\gamma=\alpha} \lambda(\beta\alpha^{-1})\mu(\gamma\alpha^{-1}) = \lambda\mu(\alpha)J(\lambda, \mu).$$

(4) Using (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_0(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) - J(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) &= J_0(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) + (q - 1)J(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} J_\alpha(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) \\ &= \left( \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}} \lambda(\beta) \right) \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}} \lambda^{-1}(\gamma) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) &= J_0(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}} \lambda(-\beta)\lambda^{-1}(\beta) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}} \lambda(-\beta)\lambda^{-1}(\beta) \\ &= \lambda(-1) \cdot \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}^*} \epsilon(\beta) = \lambda(-1)(q - 1) = -\lambda(-1). \end{aligned}$$

(5) The assertion follows from the equation

$$J(\lambda, \mu)^p = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}} \lambda(\beta)^p \mu(1 - \beta)^p = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}} \lambda(\beta^p) \mu(1 - \beta^p) \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}} \lambda(\gamma) \mu(1 - \gamma) = J(\lambda, \mu).$$

(6) We have  $J_0(\lambda, \mu)u_0 - J(\lambda, \mu)\lambda\mu(0)u_0 = 0$  from (2) and (4). Thus using (3), we obtain our result.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda * \mu &= \left( \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}} \lambda(\beta)u_\beta \right) \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}} \mu(\gamma)u_\gamma \right) = \sum_{\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}} \lambda(\beta)\mu(\gamma)u_{\beta+\gamma} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} J_\alpha(\lambda, \mu)u_\alpha \\ &= J(\lambda, \mu)\lambda\mu + J_0(\lambda, \mu)u_0 - J(\lambda, \mu)\lambda\mu(0)u_0 = J(\lambda, \mu)\lambda\mu. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\eta$  be a generator of  $\mathbb{F}^*$  and  $\phi : \eta^k \rightarrow \eta^{-k}$  be a generator of  $X$ . We set integers  $0 < s, t, m < n = q - 1$  with  $t = p^e$  and  $tm \equiv s \pmod{n}$ . Then  $J(\phi^s, \phi^t) = -m - 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be a permutation on  $B = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  such that  $\eta^{L(k)} = 1 - \eta^k$  and set  $\theta = \eta^t$ . Then the order of  $\theta$  is  $n$ . We can easily verify the next equation from the formula of a geometric series.

$$\theta^{-\ell k} \cdot (1 - \theta^k)^{-1} = \theta^{-k} + \theta^{-2k} + \dots + \theta^{-\ell k} + (1 - \theta^k)^{-1} \text{ for } k \in B.$$

The next equation follows from the above formula and  $t$  is a power of a prime  $p$ .

$$\begin{aligned} J(\phi^s, \phi^t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \phi^s(\eta^k) \phi^t(1 - \eta^k) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \phi(\eta^{ks} \cdot \eta^{L(k)t}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \eta^{-ks} \eta^{-L(k)t} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \eta^{-tmk} (1 - \eta^{kt})^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \theta^{-mk} (1 - \theta^k)^{-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^m \theta^{-\ell k} \right) + \eta^{-L(k)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^m \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \theta^{-\ell k} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \eta^{-L(k)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^m (-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \eta^k \\ &= -m - 1 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.**  $\mu_0^{[p-1]} * \mu_1^{[p-1]} * \dots * \mu_{f-1}^{[p-1]} = \gamma \epsilon \neq 0$  where  $\mu_k = \phi^{p^k}$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $\chi^{[\ell]}$  is the  $\ell$ th power by the product  $*$ .

*Proof.* In virtue of Lemma 1 (6), the above product is equal to  $\gamma \phi^{q-1} = \gamma \epsilon$  with  $\gamma = \prod_{s,t} J(\phi^s, \phi^t)$  where  $t = p^k$  for  $k = 0, \dots, f-1$  and  $s = (\ell+1)t - 1$  for  $\ell = 0, \dots, p-2$  ( $(k, \ell) \neq (0, 0)$ ). Thus it remains only to prove  $J(\phi^s, \phi^t) \neq 0$ . In fact, setting  $0 < m = q - q/t + \ell < n = q - 1$ , It is easily seen that  $tm \equiv s \pmod{n}$  and  $m \equiv \ell \pmod{p}$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that  $J(\phi^s, \phi^t) = -m - 1 = -\ell - 1 \neq 0$  since  $0 < \ell + 1 < p$ .

## §2. Stickelberger relations

Let  $m$  be a natural number. let  $p$  be a prime do not divide  $m$ , and let  $f$  be the order of  $p \pmod{m}$ . Moreover let  $D_m$  be the ring of algebraic integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$  and let  $P$  be a prime ideal containing  $p$ , where  $\zeta_m = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$ . Then it is well known that  $q$  is the order of a finite field  $\mathbb{F} = D_m/P$ . We consider Gaussian sums  $g(\chi^a) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}} \chi^a(\alpha) \zeta_p^{\text{tr}(\alpha)}$  where  $\chi$  is a generator of  $X$  and  $\text{tr}(\alpha)$  is the trace of  $\alpha$ . Let  $\wp$  be the ideal generated by  $P$  and  $\{1 - \zeta_p^k | 0 < k < p\}$  in the ring of algebraic integers  $D_{mp}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{mp})$ . It is easy to see  $\wp$  is a prime ideal generated by  $P$  and  $1 - \zeta_p$ . We set  $a^* = b_0 + b_1 + \dots + b_{f-1}$  for a positive integer  $a = b_0 + b_1 p + \dots + b_{f-1} p^{f-1}$ .

**Theorem 4.**  $\text{ord}_\varphi(g(\chi^a)) = a^*$  for  $0 < a < q$ , namely,  $\varphi^{a^*}$  divides exactly  $g(\chi^a)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\nu$  be a natural homomorphism:

$$\text{Map}(\mathbb{F}, D_m) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\mathbb{F}, D_m/P), \text{ where } D_m/P = \mathbb{F},$$

and  $\mathfrak{R}$  be the ideal generated by  $P$  and  $\{u_0 - u_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathbb{F}\}$ . Since  $\nu(\theta)^{[p]} = 0$  for  $\epsilon \neq \theta \in X$ , We obtain that  $\nu(\theta)$  is contained in  $\nu(\mathfrak{R})$ , the radical of the group algebra  $\text{Map}(\mathbb{F}, D_m/P)$  and so  $\theta \in \mathfrak{R}$ . By Proposition 3 together with this implies that  $\gamma\chi^a \in \mathfrak{R}^{a^*}$  for the product of Jacobi sums  $\gamma \in D_m \setminus P$ . The character  $u_\beta \rightarrow \zeta_p^{\text{tr}(\beta)}$  induces the epimorphism

$$\phi : \text{Map}(\mathbb{F}, D_m) \rightarrow D_{mp}$$

with  $\phi(\mathfrak{R}) = \varphi$  and  $\phi(\gamma\chi^a) = \gamma g(\chi^a)$ . Thus we have  $\text{ord}_\varphi(g(\chi^a)) \geq a^*$ . On the other hand, using  $\text{ord}_\varphi(p) = p - 1$  and  $g(\chi^a)g(\chi^{q-1-a}) = g(\chi^a)g(\overline{\chi^a}) = \chi^a(-1)q = \chi^a(-1)p^f$ , we have the next

$$\text{ord}_\varphi(g(\chi^a)) + \text{ord}_\varphi(g(\chi^{q-1-a})) = f(p - 1) = a^* + (q - 1 - a)^*$$

This completes our proof.

From this theorem we have Stickelberger relation and Eisenstein reciprocity law by the same method in [1]. Let  $\sigma_t$  be an automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  for  $0 < t < m$  and  $(m, t) = 1$  such that  $\sigma_t(\zeta_m) = \zeta_m^t$ .

**Theorem 5** (the Stickelberger relation).  $g(\chi)^m D_m = \prod_{\sigma_t} \sigma_t(P^t)$  where  $t$  runs over  $0 < t < m$  and  $(t, m) = 1$ .

We set  $\zeta_\ell = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\ell}}$  for odd prime  $\ell$ ,  $\theta_a = \left(\frac{a}{\ell}\right)$  is the  $\ell$ th power residue symbol and  $D_\ell$  is the ring of algebraic integers in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_\ell)$ . A non zero and non unit element  $\alpha \in D_\ell$  is called primary if  $\alpha$  is prime to  $\ell$  and  $\alpha \equiv c \pmod{(1 - \zeta_\ell)^2}$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Theorem 6** (the Eisenstein reciprocity law). Let  $\ell$  be an odd prime,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $\alpha \in D_\ell$  be primary. Each pair of  $\ell, a$  and  $\alpha$  is coprime. Then  $\theta_a(\alpha) = \theta_\alpha(a)$ .

### §3. Partial solutions to the Feit Thompson conjecture for primes 3 and 5

We set  $p < q$  are odd primes, and

$$F = \frac{q^p - 1}{q - 1} \text{ and } T = \frac{p^q - 1}{p - 1}.$$

Feit Thompson conjectured that  $F$  never divides  $T$ . If it would be proved, their odd paper would be greatly simplified (see [4]).

**Lemma 7.** We set  $\chi_\eta = \left(\frac{\cdot}{\eta}\right)_p$   $p$ th power residue symbol,  $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$  and  $c(q - 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Then  $\eta = \zeta^c(\zeta - q)$  is primary in the algebraic integer ring of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ .

- (1)  $\chi_\eta(1 - \zeta)^{2(q-1)} = \chi_{q-1}(\zeta)^{q+1}$ . In particular,  $\chi_\eta(1 - \zeta) = 1$  if  $p$  divides  $q + 1$ .
- (2) if  $F$  divides  $T$ , then  $\chi_\eta(p) = 1$  and  $\chi_\eta(1 - \zeta) = \chi_\eta(u)$  where  $u = \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1 - \zeta^k}{1 - \zeta}$ .  
In particular, if  $p$  divides  $q + 1$ , then  $\chi_\eta(u) = 1$  by (1).

Using this lemma, we obtain

**Corollary 8.** *F never divides T in either case of the next conditions.*

- (1)  $p = 3$  and  $q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{9}$ .
- (2)  $p = 5$  and  $q + 1 = 5\ell$  with  $(\ell, 5) = 1$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Ireland and M. Rosen. A classical introduction to modern number theory. Springer, 2nd ed., 1990.
- [2] K. Motose. On Loewy series of group algebras of some solvable groups. J. Alg., 130 (1990), 261-272.
- [3] K. Motose. On commutative group algebras. II, Math. J. Okayama Univ., 36 (1994), 23-27.
- [4] K. Motose. Notes to the Feit-Thompson conjecture. Proc. Japan, Acad., ser A, 85(2009), 16-17.

EMERITUS PROFESSOR OF HIROSAKI UNIVERSITY

TORIAGE 5-13-5, HIROSAKI, 036-8171, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* moka.mocha\_no\_kaori@snow.ocn.ne.jp