# DECOMPOSING TENSOR PRODUCTS FOR CYCLIC AND DIHEDRAL GROUPS

## ERIK DARPÖ AND CHRISTOPHER C. GILL

ABSTRACT. We give a new formula for the decomposition of a tensor product of indecomposable modules of cyclic two-groups. This formula is also shown to describe the decomposition of tensor products of an important class of modules of dihedral two-groups.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we give a new, closed formula for the decomposition of a tensor product of indecomposable modules of cyclic 2-groups, and show how this formula also describes the decomposition of tensor products of a class of  $D_{2^l}$ -modules. The problem of decomposing such a tensor product of modules of cyclic *p*-groups in characteristic *p* has been treated by several authors (e.g. [4, 6, 5, 1]). However, to date, all solutions have been recursive, and rather involved. Concentrating on the case p = 2 is a simplification which makes it possible to give a closed decomposition formula.

Our interest in this problem originated in the study of tensor products of modules of dihedral 2-groups. Thus, we show that the decomposition formula for modules of cyclic 2-groups also describes the decompositions of tensor products of the  $D_{2^l}$ -modules induced from the maximal cyclic subgroup.

Throughout this text, k denotes a field of characteristic 2. The dihedral group of order 2q is written as  $D_{2q} = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^2 = \tau^2 = (\sigma\tau)^q = 1 \rangle$ . Here q will always be a 2-power,  $q \ge 2$ . The unique cyclic subgroup of index 2 in  $D_{2q}$  is  $H_q = \langle \sigma \tau \rangle \triangleleft D_{2q}$ .

The indecomposable modules of  $kC_q$  are classified by their dimensions; that is, up to isomorphism, for each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$  there exists a unique indecomposable  $kC_q$ -module of dimension i. Fix a set of representatives  $\{V_i\}_{i \leq q}$  such that dim  $V_i = i$ . Every projective indecomposable module is isomorphic to  $V_q$ , and the tensor product of a projective with any other module is again projective. We recall that every non-projective  $kC_q$ -module is  $\Omega$ -periodic of period at most 2. Indeed, for each i < q, the formula  $\Omega(V_i) \simeq V_{q-i}$  holds.

There is a unique projection  $C_{2q} \twoheadrightarrow C_q$ . This surjection, via the usual inflation operation, induces a full embedding of module categories mod  $kC_q \hookrightarrow \text{mod } kC_{2q}$ ,  $V_i \mapsto V_i$ , respecting the tensor product. Thus  $V_i$  is viewed as a module for all cyclic 2-groups of order greater than or equal to i.

# 2. Decomposition formula for tensor products of modules of cyclic 2-groups

The following result makes it possible to compute the decomposition of a tensor product of any two  $kC_q$ -modules recursively.

This paper is a summary of results that will be published elsewhere.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $i, j \leq q$ . Then  $V_i \otimes V_j \simeq \Omega(V_{q-i} \otimes V_j) \oplus \max\{i+j-q, 0\}V_q$ .

If  $q/2 \leq i < q$  then  $q - i \leq q/2$  hence, by applying Proposition 1, we can transfer the problem of finding the decomposition of  $V_i \otimes V_j$  to the smaller module category mod  $kC_{q/2}$ . This gives an inductive process which halts when one of the factors is projective, in which case the product can be immediately computed. Example 2 below illustrates the procedure. To avoid any ambiguity, we write  $\Omega_q$  to indicate the Heller translate in mod  $kC_q$ .

**Example 2.** Consider the module  $V_{18} \otimes V_6$ , a tensor product of indecomposable modules of  $kC_{32}$ . Applying Proposition 1, we see that

(2.1) 
$$V_{18} \otimes V_6 \simeq \Omega_{32} (V_{14} \otimes V_6).$$

Viewing  $V_{14} \otimes V_6$  as a module for  $C_{16}$  and again applying Proposition 1, we obtain

(2.2) 
$$V_{14} \otimes V_6 \simeq \Omega_{16} (V_2 \otimes V_6) \oplus 4V_{16}.$$

Now  $V_2 \otimes V_6 \in \text{mod } kC_8$ , and

(2.3) 
$$V_2 \otimes V_6 \simeq \Omega_8 (V_2 \otimes V_2).$$

In mod  $kC_2$ ,  $V_2$  is projective, so  $V_2 \otimes V_2 \simeq 2V_2$ . Applying in turn Equations (2.3), (2.2) and (2.1), we obtain the decomposition

$$V_{18} \otimes V_6 \simeq \Omega_{32}(\Omega_{16}(\Omega_8(2V_2)) \oplus 4V_{16})$$
  
$$\simeq 2V_{22} \oplus 4V_{16}.$$

The idea behind our decomposition formula is to record the successive applications of Proposition 1 in numerical sequences, which are then used to compute the indecomposable summands of the tensor product. Let x be any positive integer. Set  $v(x) = \min\{y \in \mathbb{N} \mid 2^y \ge x\}$  and  $x' = 2^{v(x)} - x$ . A sequence  $(x_n)_{n\ge 0}$  is defined recursively by  $x_0 = x$  and  $x_{n+1} = x'_n$ . Let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  be the first number such that  $x_r$  is a 2-power. Then  $(x_n)_{n=0}^r$  is strictly decreasing, whereas  $x_n = 0$  for all n > r.

Now, given  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $[i, j]_0 = (i_0, j_0) = (i, j)$  and, if  $[i, j]_n = (i_a, j_b)$ ,

(2.4) 
$$[i,j]_{n+1} = \begin{cases} (i_{a+1},j_b) & \text{if } i_a \ge j_b, \\ (i_a,j_{b+1}) & \text{if } i_a < j_b. \end{cases}$$

This defines a sequence  $([i, j]_n)_{n=0}^w = \left(([i, j]_n^{(1)}, [i, j]_n^{(2)})\right)_{n=0}^w$ , where w is the smallest number such that  $\max\left\{[i, j]_w^{(1)}, [i, j]_w^{(2)}\right\}$  is a 2-power. Now, set  $m_n = 2^{v(x_n)}$ , for  $x_n = \max\left\{[i, j]_n^{(1)}, [i, j]_n^{(2)}\right\}, n \in \{0, \dots, w\}$ . Finally, for all  $n \leq w$ , let

(2.5) 
$$\alpha_n = \max_n \left\{ 0, \ [i,j]_n^{(1)} + [i,j]_n^{(2)} - m_n \right\} \text{ and }$$

(2.6) 
$$\beta_n = \sum_{u=0}^n (-1)^u m_u \,.$$

**Theorem 3.** For all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$V_i \otimes V_j \simeq \bigoplus_{n=0}^w \alpha_n V_{\beta_n}$$

It may be noted that while the numbers  $i_n$  are, for simplicity of presentation, recursively defined, they may all be read off from the binary expansion of the number i in a non-recursive manner.

**Example 4.** Consider the case i = 20 and j = 51. We have

$$i_0 = 20,$$
  $i_1 = 32 - i_0 = 12,$   $i_2 = 16 - i_1 = 4,$ 

and

$$j_0 = 51,$$
  $j_1 = 64 - j_0 = 13,$   $j_2 = 16 - j_1 = 3,$   $j_3 = 4 - j_2 = 1.$ 

Now we can define all sequences needed for the application of Theorem 3. First, the sequence [i, j] consists of pairs  $(i_a, j_b)$ , formed by applying the equation (2.4) above:

$$[i, j]_0 = (20, 51), \quad [i, j]_1 = (20, 13), \quad [i, j]_2 = (12, 13), \quad [i, j]_3 = (12, 3), \quad [i, j]_4 = (4, 3);$$

 $m_n$  is the smallest 2-power greater than or equal to the two components of  $[i, j]_n$ :

$$m_0 = 64,$$
  $m_1 = 32,$   $m_2 = 16,$   $m_3 = 16,$   $m_4 = 4;$ 

 $\alpha_n = [i, j]_n^{(1)} + [i, j]_n^{(2)} - m_n$  if this number is positive, otherwise  $\alpha_n = 0$ :

$$\alpha_0 = 7, \qquad \alpha_1 = 1, \qquad \alpha_2 = 9, \qquad \alpha_3 = 0, \qquad \alpha_4 = 3;$$

 $\beta_n$  is the alternating sum of the numbers  $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ :

$$\beta_0 = 64,$$
  $\beta_1 = 32,$   $\beta_2 = 48,$   $\beta_3 = 32,$   $\beta_4 = 36.$ 

With Theorem 3, we conclude that

$$V_{20} \otimes V_{51} \simeq 7V_{64} \oplus V_{32} \oplus 9V_{48} \oplus 3V_{36}$$
 .

### 3. Application: pseudoprojective modules of dihedral 2-groups

It turns out that Theorem 3 can be used to describe tensor products of a class of modules of dihedral 2-groups. These are the so-called *pseudoprojective* modules, given as

 $M(A_lB_l, 1)$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [3] for definition of the relevant notation). The pseudoprojective modules are band modules, given by schemas in the following way:



We shall use  $M_d$  to denote the pseudoprojective module of dimension d, in other words,  $M(A_lB_l^{-1}, 1) \simeq M_{2l}$ .

The pseudoprojective modules are precisely the  $kD_{2q}$ -modules that are induced from the maximal cyclic subgroup  $H_q \triangleleft D_{2q}$ :

**Proposition 5.** For each  $i \in \{1, \ldots, q\}$ , the induced module  $V_i \uparrow_{H_q}^{D_{2q}}$  is isomorphic to  $M_{2i}$ .

Applying Mackey's tensor product theorem (see e.g. [2, Corollary 3.3.5(i)]),

$$M_{2i} \otimes M_{2j} \simeq 2 \left( V_i \otimes V_j \right) \uparrow^{D_{2q}} \simeq 2 \left( \bigoplus_{n=0}^w \alpha_n V_{\beta_n} \right) \uparrow^{D_{2q}} \simeq \bigoplus_{n=0}^w 2\alpha_n M_{2\beta_n}$$

Similarly, for  $V_{2i}, V_{2j} \in \text{mod } kC_{2q}$ ,

$$V_{2i} \otimes V_{2j} \simeq V_i \uparrow_{C_q}^{C_{2q}} \otimes V_j \uparrow_{C_q}^{C_{2q}} \simeq 2 \left( \bigoplus_{n=0}^w \alpha_n V_{\beta_n} \right) \Big|_{C_q}^{C_{2q}} \simeq \bigoplus_{n=0}^w 2\alpha_n V_{2\beta_n} \,.$$

It follows that the decompositions of tensor products  $V_{2i} \otimes V_{2j}$  and  $M_{2i} \otimes M_{2j}$  are governed by the same formula. This proves the following result.

**Corollary 6.** For any even numbers  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , the decomposition formula

$$M_i \otimes M_j \simeq \bigoplus_{n=0}^{w} \alpha_n V_{\beta_n}$$

holds, with the numbers  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  defined by Equations (2.5) and (2.6) respectively.

#### References

- M. J. J. Barry. Decomposing tensor products and exterior and symmetric squares. J. Group Theory, 14(1):59–82, 2011.
- [2] D. J. Benson. Representations and cohomology. I, volume 30 of Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998. Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras.
- [3] E. Darpö and C. C. Gill. The Loewy length of a tensor product of modules of a dihedral two-group. arXiv:1202.5385, 2012.
- [4] J. A. Green. The modular representation algebra of a finite group. Illinois J. Math., 6:607–619, 1962.

- [5] C. W. Norman. On Jordan bases for the tensor product and Kronecker sum and their elementary divisors over fields of prime characteristic. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 56(4):415–451, 2008.
- [6] B. Srinivasan. The modular representation ring of a cyclic *p*-group. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 14:677–688, 1964.

DARPÖ: GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FUROCHO, CHIKUSAKU, NAGOYA, JAPAN

*E-mail address*: darpo@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

GILL: MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, 24–29 ST GILES', OXFORD, OX1 3LB, ENGLAND *E-mail address*: gill@maths.ox.ac.uk