

# Crossed products for matrix rings

Noritsugu Kameyama  
(joint work with Mitsuo Hoshino and Hirotaka Koga)

Shinshu University

September 7, 2015

- ①  $R$  : a ring
- ②  $\text{Aut}(R)$ : the group of ring automorphisms of  $R$
- ③  $R^\sigma$ : the subring of  $R$  consisting of all  $x \in R$  with  $\sigma(x) = x$  for  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$
- ④  $M_n(R)$ : the ring of  $n \times n$  full matrices over  $R$  for  $n \geq 2$

**Aim** We provide a systematic way to define new multiplications on  $M_n(R)$ . To do so, we divide the construction into two steps, i.e., we will construct two ring extensions  $A/R$  and  $\Lambda/A$  such that  $\Lambda \cong M_n(R)$  as right  $R$ -modules.

Let

- 1  $I = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  be a set of integers
- 2  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  the set of non-negative integers.

We fix a pair  $(q, \chi)$  of an integer  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and a mapping  $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$(X0) \quad \chi(0) = 0;$$

$$(X1) \quad \chi(i + kn) = \chi(i) + kq \text{ for all } (i, k) \in I \times \mathbb{Z}_+;$$

$$(X2) \quad \chi(i) + \chi(j) \geq \chi(i + j) \text{ for all } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Also, we fix a triple  $(\sigma, c, t)$  of  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$  and  $c, t \in R^\sigma$  satisfying the following condition:

$$(*) \quad xc = c\sigma(x), xt = t\sigma^q(x) \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

It should be noted that  $ct = tc$ .

At first, we will construct a ring extension  $A/R$ .

Let  $A$  be a free right  $R$ -module with a basis  $\{u_i\}_{i \in I}$ .

We set

$$u_{i+kn} = u_i t^k$$

for  $(i, k) \in I \times \mathbb{Z}_+$  and

$$\omega(i, j) = \chi(i) + \chi(j) - \chi(i + j)$$

for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Note that

- 1  $\omega$  is symmetric, i.e.,  $\omega(i, j) = \omega(j, i)$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$
- 2  $\omega(i + kn, j + ln) = \omega(i, j)$  for all  $(i, k), (j, l) \in I \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

## Definition 2.1

We define a multiplication on  $A$  subject to the following axioms:

(A1)  $u_i u_j = u_{i+j} c^{\omega(i,j)}$  for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ;

(A2)  $x u_i = u_i \sigma^{\chi(i)}(x)$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,

We denote by  $\{\delta_i\}_{i \in I}$  the dual basis of  $\{u_i\}_{i \in I}$  for the free left  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_R(A, R)$ ,

(i.e.,  $a = \sum_{i \in I} u_i \delta_i(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ .)

Then for any  $a, b \in A$  we have

$$ab = \sum_{i,j \in I} u_{i+j} c^{\omega(i,j)} \sigma^{\chi(j)}(\delta_i(a)) \delta_j(b).$$

## Proposition 2.2 (Hoshino-K-Koga, 2015)

$A$  is an associative ring with  $1 = u_0$  and contains  $R$  as a subring via the injective ring homomorphism  $R \rightarrow A, x \mapsto u_0x$ .

Next, we will construct a ring extension  $\Lambda/A$ .  
(To do so, we need the group structure of  $I$ .)  
We fix a cyclic permutation of  $I$

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 1 & 2 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and make  $I$  a cyclic group with 0 the unit element by the law of composition  $I \times I \rightarrow I, (i, j) \mapsto \pi^j(i)$ .

It should be noted that

$$i + j = \begin{cases} \pi^j(i) & \text{if } i + j < n, \\ \pi^j(i) + n & \text{if } i + j \geq n \end{cases}$$

for all  $i, j \in I$ .

Setting  $A_i = u_i R$  for  $i \in I$ ,  $A = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$  yields an  $I$ -graded ring with  $A_0 = R$ .

We denote by  $\varepsilon_i : A \rightarrow A_i$ ,  $a \mapsto u_i \delta_i(a)$  the projection for each  $i \in I$ .

Then the following conditions are satisfied:

(E1)  $\varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j = 0$  unless  $i = j$  and  $\sum_{i \in I} \varepsilon_i = \text{id}_A$ ;

(E2)  $\varepsilon_i(a) \varepsilon_j(b) = \varepsilon_{\pi^j(i)}(\varepsilon_i(a)b)$  for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $i, j \in I$ .



Let  $\Lambda$  be a free right  $A$ -module with a basis  $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$ .

### Definition 2.3

we define a multiplication on  $\Lambda$  subject to the following axioms:

(L1)  $v_i v_j = 0$  unless  $i = j$  and  $v_i^2 = v_i$  for all  $i \in I$ ;

(L2)  $av_i = \sum_{j \in I} v_j \varepsilon_{\pi^{-1}(j)}(a)$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $i \in I$ .

Let us denote by  $\{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$  the dual basis of  $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$  for the free left  $A$ -module  $\text{Hom}_A(\Lambda, A)$ , i.e.,  $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} v_i \gamma_i(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

It is not difficult to see that

$$\lambda \mu = \sum_{i, j \in I} v_i \varepsilon_{\pi^{-1}(i)}(\gamma_i(\lambda)) \gamma_j(\mu)$$

for all  $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda$ .

### Proposition 2.4

$\Lambda$  is an associative ring with  $1 = \sum_{i \in I} v_i$  and contains  $A$  as a subring via the injective ring homomorphism  $A \rightarrow \Lambda, a \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} v_i a$ .

Note that

- 1  $\{v_i u_j\}_{i,j \in I}$  is a basis for the free right  $R$ -module  $\Lambda$  with  $\{\delta_j \gamma_i\}_{i,j \in I}$  the dual basis for the free left  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R)$ ,
- 2 For any  $i \in I$ , we have  $xv_i = v_i x$  for all  $x \in R$ ,
- 3  $\Lambda v_i$  is a  $\Lambda$ - $R$ -bimodule,
- 4  $v_i \Lambda$  is an  $R$ - $\Lambda$ -bimodule.

Also, by (L2)  $u_k v_j = v_{\pi^k(j)} u_k$  for all  $j, k \in I$ , so that  $v_i \Lambda v_j = v_i u_{\pi^{-j}(i)} R$  and

$$\text{Hom}_\Lambda(v_j \Lambda, v_i \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} R, f \mapsto \delta_{\pi^{-j}(i)}(\gamma_i(f(v_j)))$$

as  $R$ - $R$ -bimodules for all  $i, j \in I$ . In particular,

$$\text{End}_\Lambda(v_i \Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} R, f \mapsto \delta_0(\gamma_i(f(v_i)))$$

as rings for all  $i \in I$ .

Now, setting  $e_{ij} = v_i u_{\pi^{-j}(i)}$  for  $i, j \in I$ , we have a basis  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$  for the free right  $R$ -module  $\Lambda$ .

Then, we have

- ①  $v_i \Lambda v_j = e_{ij} R$  for all  $i, j \in I$ ,
- ②  $\{\delta_{\pi^{-j}(i)} \gamma_i\}_{i,j \in I}$  is the dual basis of  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$  for the free left  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_R(\Lambda, R)$ , i.e.,

$$\lambda = \sum_{i,j \in I} e_{ij} \delta_{\pi^{-j}(i)}(\gamma_i(\lambda))$$

for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

In particular,

$$\rho : \Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} M_n(R), \lambda \mapsto (\delta_{\pi^{-j}(i)}(\gamma_i(\lambda)))_{i,j \in I}$$

as right  $R$ -modules.

## Theorem 2.5 (Main Theorem)

The multiplication in  $\Lambda$  is subject to the following axioms:

(M1)  $e_{ij}e_{kl} = 0$  unless  $j = k$ ;

(M2)  $e_{ij}e_{jk} = e_{ik}t^{\epsilon(\pi^{-j}(i), \pi^{-k}(j))}c^{\omega(\pi^{-j}(i), \pi^{-k}(j))}$  for all  $i, j, k \in I$ ;

(M3)  $xe_{ij} = e_{ij}\sigma^{\chi(\pi^{-j}(i))}(x)$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $i, j \in I$ .

where

$$\epsilon(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i + j < n, \\ 1 & \text{if } i + j \geq n \end{cases}$$

for  $i, j \in I$

## Example 2.6

Let

- ①  $q = n - 1$
- ②  $\chi(i + kn) = i + kq$  for all  $(i, k) \in I \times \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

Then a pair  $(q, \chi)$  satisfies (X0), (X1) and (X2), and

$$\omega(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i + j < n, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $i, j \in I$ . Also,  $x(tc) = (tc)\sigma^n(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ .

Let  $R[X; \sigma]$  be a right skew polynomial ring with trivial derivation, (the multiplication is defined subject to the following rule:  $aX = X\sigma(a)$  for all  $a \in R$ .)

It then follows that  $(X^n - tc) = (X^n - tc)R[X; \sigma]$  is a two-sided ideal of  $R[X; \sigma]$  and  $A \cong R[X; \sigma]/(X^n - tc)$  as extension rings of  $R$ .

We recall the notion of Auslander-Gorenstein rings.

## Proposition 3.1 (Auslander)

Let  $R$  be a left and right noetherian ring. Then for any  $n \geq 0$  the following are equivalent.

- (1) In a minimal injective resolution  $I^\bullet$  of  $R$  in  $\text{Mod-}R$ ,  $\text{flat dim } I^i \leq i$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (2) In a minimal injective resolution  $J^\bullet$  of  $R$  in  $\text{Mod-}R^{\text{op}}$ ,  $\text{flat dim } J^i \leq i$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .
- (3) For any  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , any  $M \in \text{mod-}R$  and any submodule  $X$  of  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) \in \text{mod-}R^{\text{op}}$  we have  $\text{Ext}_{R^{\text{op}}}^j(X, R) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq j < i$ .
- (4) For any  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , any  $X \in \text{mod-}R^{\text{op}}$  and any submodule  $M$  of  $\text{Ext}_{R^{\text{op}}}^i(X, R) \in \text{mod-}R$  we have  $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R) = 0$  for all  $0 \leq j < i$ .

## Definition 3.2 (Björk)

$R$ : a left and right noetherian ring

- 1  $R$  satisfies the **Auslander condition**  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$   $R$  satisfies the equivalent conditions in Proposition 3.1 for all  $n \geq 0$ ,
- 2  $R$  is an **Auslander-Gorenstein ring**  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow}$   $\text{inj dim } R = \text{inj dim } R^{\text{op}} < \infty$  and it satisfies the Auslander condition.

### Corollary 3.3

The following are equivalent.

- (1)  $R$  is an Auslander-Gorenstein ring;
- (2)  $A$  is an Auslander-Gorenstein ring;
- (3)  $\Lambda$  is an Auslander-Gorenstein ring.



Thank you for your attention.