

# ON MUTATION OF $\tau$ -TILTING MODULES

YINGYING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Mutation of  $\tau$ -tilting modules is a basic operation to construct a new support  $\tau$ -tilting module from a given one by replacing a direct summand. The aim of this paper is to give a positive answer to the question posed in [2, Question 2.31] about mutation of  $\tau$ -tilting modules.

*Key Words:*  $\tau$ -tilting modules, silting complexes, mutation.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 16G10.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

$\tau$ -tilting theory was introduced by Adachi, Iyama and Reiten [2] and completes (classical) tilting theory from the viewpoint of mutation. Note that  $\tau$ -tilting theory has stimulated several investigations; in particular, there is a close relation between support  $\tau$ -tilting modules (see definition 2.1 for details) and some other important notions in representation theory, such as torsion classes, silting complexes, cluster-tilting objects and  $*$ -modules (see [2, 3, 5, 9, 10, 12, 15] and so on). Since  $\tau$ -tilting theory was introduced, many algebraists started to apply it to important classes of algebras (see [1, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14, 17] and so on).

Let us recall a main result in the paper [2]. Let  $\Lambda$  be a finite dimensional algebra and  $T = X \oplus U$  a basic  $\tau$ -tilting  $\Lambda$ -module with an indecomposable summand  $X$  satisfying  $X \notin \text{Fac } U$ . Take an exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad X \xrightarrow{f} U' \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow 0$$

with a minimal left  $(\text{add } U)$ -approximation  $f$ . It is shown in [2, Theorem 2.30] that  $Y$  is either zero or a direct sum of copies of an indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -module  $Z$ , and we can obtain a new basic support  $\tau$ -tilting  $\Lambda$ -module  $\mu_X(T)$  called *mutation* of  $T$  with respect to  $X$  by  $\mu_X(T) = U$  if  $Y = 0$  and  $\mu_X(T) = Z \oplus U$  if  $Y \neq 0$ . In fact, similar to the exact sequence (1.1) we can construct triangles for calculating mutation of silting objects. We have known that cones of triangle approximations are indecomposable (see Definition-Proposition 2.3 for details).

Naturally, they posed the following question.

**Question 1.1.** Assume that  $Y$  in (1.1) is nonzero. Is  $Y$  indecomposable?

A partial answer for the case when  $\Lambda$  is an endomorphism algebra of a cluster-tilting object was given by Yang and Zhu in [16, Corollary 4.17]. The aim of this paper is to give a positive answer to this question.

**Theorem 1.2.** If  $Y$  in (1.1) is nonzero, then it is indecomposable.

---

The detailed version of this paper will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

The idea of proof is to use the bijection between support  $\tau$ -tilting modules and two-term silting complexes given in [2].

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM

First we recall the definition of support  $\tau$ -tilting modules from [2]. Denote by  $|X|$  the number of non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands of  $X$  for a  $\Lambda$ -module  $X$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X \in \text{mod } \Lambda$  and  $P \in \text{proj } \Lambda$ .

- (1) We call  $X$   *$\tau$ -rigid* if  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, \tau X) = 0$ . We call  $(X, P)$  a  *$\tau$ -rigid pair* if  $X$  is  $\tau$ -rigid and  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(P, X) = 0$ .
- (2)  $X$  is called  *$\tau$ -tilting* if  $X$  is  $\tau$ -rigid and  $|X| = |\Lambda|$ .
- (3)  $X$  is called *support  $\tau$ -tilting* if there exists an idempotent  $e$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $X$  is a  $\tau$ -tilting  $(\Lambda/\langle e \rangle)$ -module. We call  $(X, P)$  a *support  $\tau$ -tilting pair* if  $(X, P)$  is  $\tau$ -rigid and  $|X| + |P| = |\Lambda|$ .

Note that by [2, Proposition 2.3]  $(X, P)$  is a support  $\tau$ -tilting pair for  $\Lambda$  if and only if  $X$  is a  $\tau$ -tilting  $\Lambda/\langle e \rangle$ -module, where  $e$  is an idempotent of  $\Lambda$  such that  $\text{add } P = \text{add } \Lambda e$ . Moreover, if  $(X, P)$  and  $(X, P')$  are support  $\tau$ -tilting pairs for  $\Lambda$ , then we have  $\text{add } P = \text{add } P'$ . Thus, any support  $\tau$ -tilting  $\Lambda$ -module  $X$  can be extended to a support  $\tau$ -tilting pair  $(X, P)$  uniquely and we can identify support  $\tau$ -tilting modules from support  $\tau$ -tilting pairs. We denote by  $s\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda$  the set of isomorphism classes of basic support  $\tau$ -tilting pairs (or equivalently, modules) for  $\Lambda$ .

Now we recall the definition of silting complexes from [3].

**Definition 2.2.** We call  $P \in \text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$  *silting* if  $\text{Hom}_{\text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)}(P, P[i]) = 0$  for any  $i > 0$  and  $\text{thick } P = \text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ , where  $\text{thick } P$  is the smallest full subcategory of  $\text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$  containing  $P$  and is closed under cones,  $[\pm 1]$  and direct summands. A complex  $P = (P^i, d^i)$  in  $\text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$  is called *two-term* if  $P^i = 0$  for all  $i \neq 0, -1$ .

We denote by  $2\text{-silt } \Lambda$  the set of isomorphism classes of basic two-term silting complexes in  $\text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ . Moreover, recall the definition of mutation of silting complexes from [3].

**Definition-Proposition 2.3.** [3, Theorem 2.31] Let  $P = X \oplus Q$  be a basic silting complex in  $\text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$  with an indecomposable summand  $X$ . We take a minimal left  $(\text{add } Q)$ -approximation  $f$  and a triangle

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Q' \longrightarrow Y \longrightarrow X[1].$$

Then  $Y$  is indecomposable. The *left mutation* of  $P$  with respect to  $X$  is  $\mu_X^-(P) := Y \oplus Q$ . The *right mutation* is defined dually but we will not use it in this paper. Then the left mutation and the right mutation of  $P$  are also basic silting complexes.

The following result establishes a relation between  $2\text{-silt } \Lambda$  and  $s\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** [2, Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.9] There exists a bijection

$$(2.1) \quad 2\text{-silt } \Lambda \longleftrightarrow s\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda$$

given by 2-silt  $\Lambda \ni P \mapsto H^0(P) \in \text{s}\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda$  and  $\text{s}\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda \ni (M, P) \mapsto (P_1 \oplus P \xrightarrow{(f,0)} P_0) \in 2\text{-silt } \Lambda$ , where  $f : P_1 \rightarrow P_0$  is a minimal projective presentation of  $M$ . Moreover, the bijection (2.1) preserves mutation.

Now we give a proof of main result of this paper.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Assume  $Y \neq 0$  in (1.1).

By taking the minimal projective presentations of  $X$  and  $U$ . We have the following exact sequences:

$$P_X^{-1} \xrightarrow{d_X^{-1}} P_X^0 \xrightarrow{d_X^0} X \longrightarrow 0, \quad P_U^{-1} \xrightarrow{d_U^{-1}} P_U^0 \xrightarrow{d_U^0} U \longrightarrow 0.$$

Denote by  $P_X = (P_X^{-1} \xrightarrow{d_X^{-1}} P_X^0)$  and  $P_U = (P_U^{-1} \xrightarrow{d_U^{-1}} P_U^0)$ . Then  $P_T = P_X \oplus P_U$  gives a minimal projective presentation of  $T$ . Then by Theorem 2.4 it follows that  $P_T$  belongs to 2-silt  $\Lambda$  since  $T$  is a basic  $\tau$ -tilting  $\Lambda$ -module. Also  $P_X$  is indecomposable since  $X$  is indecomposable.

Under above setting,  $\mu_X(T) \in \text{s}\tau\text{-tilt } \Lambda$  and  $\mu_{P_X}^-(P_T) \in 2\text{-silt } \Lambda$  correspond via the bijection (2.1) in Theorem 2.4. In particular, we have

$$\mu_X(T) = H^0(\mu_{P_X}^-(P_T)).$$

To calculate  $\mu_{P_X}^-(P_T)$  in  $\text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ , we take a triangle

$$(2.2) \quad P_X \xrightarrow{a} P' \xrightarrow{b} Q \longrightarrow P_X[1],$$

where  $a$  is a minimal left (add  $P_U$ )-approximation of  $P_X$ . Then by Definition-Proposition 2.3,  $Q$  is indecomposable and  $\mu_{P_X}^-(P_T) = Q \oplus P_U$ .

Denote by  $H^0(-) : \text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda) \rightarrow \text{mod } \Lambda$  the 0th-cohomology functor. Taking the 0th-cohomology of the triangle (2.2), we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$X \xrightarrow{f'} U_{P'} \xrightarrow{g'} Y_Q \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $U_{P'} = H^0(P') \in H^0(\text{add } P_U) = \text{add } U$ ,  $Y_Q = H^0(Q)$ ,  $f' = H^0(a)$  and  $g' = H^0(b)$ . Since  $Q$  is indecomposable, it follows that  $Y_Q$  is indecomposable.

We claim that  $f'$  is a left (add  $U$ )-approximation. For any  $h \in \text{Hom}_\Lambda(X, U)$ , there exist morphisms  $c^{-1}$  and  $c^0$  making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P_X^{-1} & \xrightarrow{d_X^{-1}} & P_X^0 & \xrightarrow{d_X^0} & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow c^{-1} & & \downarrow c^0 & & \downarrow h & & \\ P_U^{-1} & \xrightarrow{d_U^{-1}} & P_U^0 & \xrightarrow{d_U^0} & U & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Define  $c = \overline{(c^{-1}, c^0)} \in \text{Hom}_{\text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)}(P_X, P_U)$ . Immediately, we have  $H^0(c) = h$ .

Since  $a \in \text{Hom}_{\text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)}(P_X, P')$  is a left (add  $P_U$ )-approximation, there exists  $e \in \text{Hom}_{\text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)}(P', P_U)$  such that  $c = ea \in \text{Hom}_{\text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)}(P_X, P_U)$ . Since  $H^0(-) : \text{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda) \rightarrow \text{mod } \Lambda$  is a functor, we have

$$h = H^0(c) = H^0(ea) = H^0(e)H^0(a) = H^0(e)f'.$$

We have finished to prove that  $f'$  is a left  $(\text{add } U)$ -approximation.

Since  $f$  is a minimal left  $(\text{add } U)$ -approximation, there exists a module  $U''$  in  $\text{add } U$  such that  $U_{P'} = U' \oplus U''$  and  $Y_Q = Y \oplus U''$ . Since  $Y_Q$  is indecomposable and  $Y \neq 0$  by our assumption, we have that  $U'' = 0$  and  $Y = Y_Q$  is indecomposable.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Adachi, *The classification of  $\tau$ -tilting modules over Nakayama algebras*, J. Algebra **452** (2016), 227–262.
- [2] T. Adachi, O. Iyama, I. Reiten,  *$\tau$ -tilting theory*, Compos. Math. **150(3)** (2014), 415–452.
- [3] T. Aihara, O. Iyama, *Silting mutation in triangulated categories*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **85(3)** (2012), 633–668.
- [4] I. Assem, D. Simon and A. Skowronski, *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2006).
- [5] L. Angeleri Hügel, Frederik Marks, Jorge Vitória, *Silting modules*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **4** (2016), 1251-1284.
- [6] O. Iyama and Y. Yoshino, *Mutations in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Cambridge University Press, Inv. Math. **172** (2008), 117-168.
- [7] F. Eisele, G. Janssens and T. Raedschelders, *A reduction theorem for  $\tau$ -rigid modules*, arXiv:1603.04293.
- [8] Z. Y. Huang and Y. Y. Zhang,  *$G$ -stable support  $\tau$ -tilting modules*, to appear in Front. Math. China, arXiv:1604.00484.
- [9] O. Iyama, P. Jørgensen and D. Yang, *Intermediate co- $t$ -structures, two-term silting objects,  $\tau$ -tilting modules, and torsion classes*, Algebra Number Theory, **8** (2014), 2413-2431.
- [10] O. Iyama and I. Reiten, *Introduction to  $\tau$ -tilting theory*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci, **111** (2014), 9704-9711.
- [11] O. Iyama and X. Zhang, *Classifying  $\tau$ -tilting modules over the Auslander algebra of  $K[x]/(x^n)$* , arXiv:1602.05037.
- [12] G. Jasso, *Reduction of  $\tau$ -tilting modules and torsion pairs*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **16** (2015), 7190-7237.
- [13] Y. Mizuno,  *$\nu$ -stable  $\tau$ -tilting modules*, Comm. Algebra **43** (2015), 1654-1667.
- [14] Y. Mizuno, *Classifying  $\tau$ -tilting modules over preprojective algebras of Dynkin type*, Math. Z. **277** (2014), 665-690.
- [15] J. Q. Wei,  *$\tau$ -tilting theory and  $*$ -modules*, J. Algebra **414** (2014), 1-5.
- [16] W. Yang, B. Zhu, *Ghost-tilting objects in triangulated categories*, arXiv:1504.00093.
- [17] X. Zhang,  *$\tau$ -rigid modules for algebras with radical square zero*, arXiv:1211.5622.

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS  
NANJING UNIVERSITY, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY  
NANJING 210093, JIANGSU PROVINCE P.R.CHINA, FROCHO, CHIKUSAKU, NAGOYA 464-8602  
JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* zhangying1221@sina.cn