

DERIVED EQUIVALENCES AND SMASH PRODUCTS

HIDETO ASASHIBA

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{k} and G be a commutative ring and a group, respectively. In the paper [1] (a final form in [2]) we investigated when the orbit categories of a pair of derived equivalent small \mathbb{k} -categories with G -actions are derived equivalent. Here we solve the converse problem, which is equivalent to the following problem: Let A and B be G -graded \mathbb{k} -categories, and assume that they are derived equivalent. Then under which condition are the smash products $A\#G$ and $B\#G$ derived equivalent?

Key Words: derived categories, derived equivalences, orbit categories, smash products.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 16E35, 16S40; Secondary 18E30.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this note \mathbb{k} is a commutative ring and G is a group. A covering theory for derived equivalences was developed and applied for derived equivalence classifications of some classes of algebras such as representation-finite self-injective algebras or twisted multifold extensions of piecewise hereditary algebras of tree type. The main tool (in a generalized form) is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, X), (\mathcal{C}', X')$ be \mathbb{k} -categories with G -actions. Consider the following conditions.*

- (1') *There exists a tilting subcategory \mathcal{U} of $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj}(\mathcal{C}, X))$ with a G -action Y such that the inclusion $(\mathcal{U}, Y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj}(\mathcal{C}, X))$ is extended to a G -equivariant functor and (\mathcal{C}', X') and (\mathcal{U}, Y) are G -equivariantly equivalent.*
- (2) *The orbit categories \mathcal{C}/G and \mathcal{C}'/G are derived equivalent.*

Then the condition (1') implies (2).

In the above a \mathbb{k} -category \mathcal{C} with a G -action $X: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{C}), a \mapsto X_a$ is denoted by (\mathcal{C}, X) and $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj}(\mathcal{C}, X))$ denotes the bounded homotopy category of finitely generated projective \mathcal{C} -modules, which is a triangulated \mathbb{k} -category with a canonical G -action induced by X . Moreover, if (\mathcal{D}, S) and (\mathcal{E}, T) are \mathbb{k} -categories with G -actions, then a G -equivariant functor from (\mathcal{D}, S) to (\mathcal{E}, T) is a pair (F, ϕ) of a functor $F: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and

The detailed version of this paper will be submitted for publication elsewhere.
This work is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 25610003 and 25287001 from JSPS (Japan Society for the Promotion of Science).

a family $\phi = (\phi_a)_{a \in G}$ of natural isomorphisms $\phi_a: FU_a \xrightarrow{\cong} V_a F$ making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} FU_{ba} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{ba}} & V_{ba}F \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ FU_b U_a & \xrightarrow{\phi_b U_a} V_b F U_a \xrightarrow{V_b \phi_a} & V_b B_a F \end{array}$$

commutative for all $a, b \in G$, and here (F, ϕ) is called a G -equivariant equivalence if F is an equivalence. Finally (\mathcal{D}, S) and (\mathcal{E}, T) are said to be G -equivariantly equivalent if there exists a G -equivariantly equivalence $(\mathcal{D}, S) \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}, T)$.

In this note we examine the converse problem:

Problem 1. For \mathbb{k} -categories $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'$ with G -actions, under which condition does a derived equivalence between \mathcal{C}/G and \mathcal{C}'/G yield a derived equivalence between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' ?

Here we recall the following theorem in [3].

Theorem 2. *The orbit category construction and the smash product construction are extended to 2-equivalences $(-)/G: G\text{-Cat} \rightarrow G\text{-GrCat}$ and $(-)\#G: G\text{-GrCat} \rightarrow G\text{-Cat}$, respectively, and they are 2-quasi-inverses to each other.*

By this theorem Problem 1 is reduced to the following.

Problem 1'. For G -graded \mathbb{k} -categories $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}'$, under which condition does a derived equivalence between \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}' yield a derived equivalence between $\mathcal{B}\#G$ and $\mathcal{B}'\#G$?

Indeed, if this is known, then the obtained condition on $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{C}/G$ and $\mathcal{B}' := \mathcal{C}'/G$ gives us an answer to Problem 1 because under this condition a derived equivalence between \mathcal{C}/G and \mathcal{C}'/G yields a derived equivalence between $(\mathcal{C}/G)\#G (\simeq \mathcal{C})$ and $(\mathcal{C}'/G)\#G (\simeq \mathcal{C}')$.

Recall the following theorem due to Rickard (the algebra case) and Keller (the category case) [even in the category case it is known that by a recent observation in [4] the \mathbb{k} -flatness condition on the category \mathcal{C}' is not necessary any more]:

Theorem 3. *Let \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' be \mathbb{k} -categories. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}' are derived equivalent.
- (1'') *There exists a tilting subcategory \mathcal{U} of $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{C})$ such that \mathcal{C}' and \mathcal{U} are equivalent.*

By this theorem we may write $(1') \Leftrightarrow (1) \wedge (\alpha)$ for some extra condition (α) on G -actions. Precisely speaking, our purpose is to know a condition (β) on G -gradings on \mathcal{C}/G and \mathcal{C}'/G such that $(1) \wedge (\alpha) \Leftrightarrow (2) \wedge (\beta)$.

Throughout the rest of this paper all categories and functors are assumed to be \mathbb{k} -linear, and we call a category with a G -action a G -category for short. For a category \mathcal{C} we denote by $\text{prj } \mathcal{C}$ and $\text{mod } \mathcal{C}$ the category of finitely generated \mathcal{C} -modules and the category of finitely generated \mathcal{C} -modules. By $\mathcal{C}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{C})$ we denote the category of bounded complexes in $\text{prj } \mathcal{C}$ and its homotopy category is denoted by $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{C})$.

2. THE 2-CATEGORY $G\text{-GrCat}$ AND EQUIVALENCES IN IT

Definition 4. $G\text{-GrCat}$ is a 2-category defined as follows.

Objects: $(G\text{-GrCat})_0$ is the class of G -graded small categories.

1-morphisms: Let $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \in (G\text{-GrCat})_0$. Then

$$G\text{-GrCat}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}) := \{(H, r) \mid H: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \text{ is a functor, } r: \mathcal{B}_0 \rightarrow G \text{ is a map with} \\ H(\mathcal{B}^a(x, y)) \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{r(y)^{-1}ar(x)}(Hx, Hy) \ (x, y \in \mathcal{B}_0, a \in G)\}$$

Those (H, r) are called (weakly) degree-preserving functors.

2-morphisms: Let $(H, r), (I, s): \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be degree-preserving functors. Then a natural transformation $\theta: H \Rightarrow I$ is called a *morphism* of degree-preserving functors if $\theta x \in \mathcal{A}^{s_x^{-1}r_x}(Hx, Ix)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$.

Compositions of 1-morphisms: Let $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{(H,r)} \mathcal{B}' \xrightarrow{(H',r')} \mathcal{B}''$ be degree-preserving functors. Then

$$(H'H, (r_x r'_{Hx})_{x \in \mathcal{B}}): \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}''$$

is also a degree-preserving functor, which we define to be the *composite* $(H', r')(H, r)$ of (H, r) and (H', r') .

Vertical and horizontal compositions of 2-morphisms: These are given by the usual ones of natural transformations.

Definition 5. Let \mathcal{A} be a category and \mathcal{B} a G -graded category.

- (1) Let $E, F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be functors. Then a natural transformation $\varepsilon: E \Rightarrow F$ is called *homogeneous* if $\varepsilon_x: Ex \rightarrow Fx$ are homogeneous in \mathcal{B} for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_0$.
- (2) Let \mathcal{S} be a subclass of \mathcal{B}_0 and \mathcal{B}' a full subcategory of \mathcal{B} with $\mathcal{B}'_0 = \mathcal{S}$. Then \mathcal{S} (or \mathcal{B}') is said to be *homogeneously dense* in \mathcal{B} if for each $x \in \mathcal{B}_0$ there exists an $x' \in \mathcal{S}$ such that there exists a homogeneous isomorphism $x \rightarrow x'$.
- (3) A functor $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is said to be *homogeneously dense* if the object class $F(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is homogeneously dense in \mathcal{B} .

Example 6. Let \mathcal{B} be a G -graded category. If $\mathcal{B}(x, x)$ are local \mathbb{k} -algebras for all $x \in \mathcal{B}_0$, then any dense full subcategory \mathcal{B}' of \mathcal{B} is homogeneously dense.

Theorem 7. Let $(H, r): \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a degree-preserving functor in $G\text{-GrCat}$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) (H, r) is an equivalence in $G\text{-GrCat}$.
- (2) $H: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a category equivalence with a quasi-inverse I as a left adjoint both of whose counit $\varepsilon: IH \Rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and unit $\eta: \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{B}} \Rightarrow HI$ are homogeneous natural isomorphisms.
- (3) H is fully faithful and homogeneously dense.

Proposition 8. Let $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A} \in G\text{-GrCat}_0$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (1) $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathcal{A}$ in $G\text{-GrCat}$.
- (2) There exist homogeneously dense full subcategories \mathcal{B}' and \mathcal{A}' of \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{A} , respectively such that $\mathcal{B}' \cong \mathcal{A}'$ in $G\text{-GrCat}$.

3. G -GRADABLE COMPLEXES

Definition 9. Let \mathcal{B} be a G -graded category.

- (1) A complex $X^\bullet = (X^n, d_X^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of \mathcal{B} -modules is called *G-graded* if for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $X^n = (X^n, W_{X^n})$ is a *G-graded* \mathcal{B} -module with a decomposition $W_{X^n} : X^n = \bigoplus_{a \in G} X_a^n$ as \mathbb{k} -modules, and $d_X^n : X^n \rightarrow X^{n+1}$ is *G-degree-preserving*, i.e., $d_X^n(X_a^n) \subseteq X_a^{n+1}$ for all $a \in G$.
- (2) A morphism $f^\bullet : X^\bullet \rightarrow Y^\bullet$ in the category $\mathcal{C}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B})$ is called *G-degree-preserving* if for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the component map $f^n : X^n \rightarrow Y^n$ of f^\bullet is *G-degree-preserving*.
- (3) By $\mathcal{C}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B})_G$ we denote the category of *G-graded* complexes and *G-degree-preserving* morphisms.
- (4) We set $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B})_G$ to be the factor category of $\mathcal{C}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B})_G$ by the homotopy relation given by *G-degree-preserving* morphisms, and by $\text{Fgt} : \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B})_G \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B})$ the forgetful functor $(X^n, W_{X^n}, d_X^n)_n \mapsto (X^n, d_X^n)_n$.
- (5) A complex $X^\bullet \in \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B})$ is called *G-gradable* if there exists a complex $Y^\bullet \in \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B})_G$ such that $X^\bullet \cong \text{Fgt}(Y^\bullet)$ in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B})$.

We cite the following from [1] (see that paper also for terminologies not defined here).

Theorem 10. *Let \mathcal{C} be a *G-category*, and $P : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/G$ the canonical *G-covering* functor. Then the pushdown functor $P_* : \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{C}/G)$ factors through Fgt with an equivalence P'_* :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{P_*} & \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{C}/G) \\
 & \searrow P'_* & \nearrow \text{Fgt} \\
 & \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{C}/G)_G &
 \end{array}$$

Let \mathcal{B} be a *G-graded* category. Then the canonical *G-covering* $Q : \mathcal{B}\#G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is the composite of the canonical *G-covering* $\mathcal{B}\#G \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}\#G)/G$ and a degree-preserving equivalence $\omega'_\mathcal{B} = (\omega'_\mathcal{B}, r_\mathcal{B}) : (\mathcal{B}\#G)/G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, which is an equivalence in *G-GrCat*. Using this fact we have the following.

Corollary 11. *Let \mathcal{B} be a *G-graded* category, and $Q : \mathcal{B}\#G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ the canonical *G-covering* functor. Then the pushdown functor $Q_* : \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B}\#G) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B})$ factors through Fgt with an equivalence Q'_* :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B}\#G) & \xrightarrow{Q_*} & \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B}) \\
 & \searrow Q'_* & \nearrow \text{Fgt} \\
 & \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B})_G &
 \end{array}$$

The following is immediate from the above.

Corollary 12. *In the setting above let \mathcal{P} be a set of *G-gradable* complexes in $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B})$. Then the pushdown Q_* restricts to a *G-covering* functor $Q_{*|\mathcal{U}} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$, where \mathcal{U} is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B}\#G)$ consisting of those $U^\bullet \in \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } \mathcal{B}\#G)$ such that $P_*(U^\bullet) \cong X^\bullet$ for some $X^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}$.*

Remark 13. In the above, for each $X^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}$ fix a $U^\bullet(X^\bullet) \in \mathcal{U}$ with $P_*(U^\bullet(X^\bullet)) \cong X^\bullet$, then the covering functor $Q_{*|\mathcal{U}}$ above defines a *G-grading* on \mathcal{P} as follows. For each $X^\bullet, Y^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}$,

Q_\bullet yields the canonical isomorphism

$$Q_\bullet^{(1)}: \bigoplus_{a \in G} \mathcal{U}(aU^\bullet(X^\bullet), U^\bullet(Y^\bullet)) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet),$$

which gives us a G -grading $\mathcal{P}(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet) = \bigoplus_{a \in G} \mathcal{P}^a(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$ on \mathcal{P} by setting $\mathcal{P}^a(X^\bullet, Y^\bullet) := Q_\bullet^{(1)}(\mathcal{U}(aU^\bullet(X^\bullet), U^\bullet(Y^\bullet)))$.

4. RESULTS

We have the following results.

Theorem 14. *Let $(\mathcal{B}, W), (\mathcal{B}', W')$ be G -graded categories. Consider the following conditions.*

- (1) *There exists a tilting subcategory \mathcal{P} of $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj}(\mathcal{B}, W))$ consisting of G -gradable complexes with a G -grading V defined as in Remark 13 such that the inclusion $(\mathcal{P}, V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj}(\mathcal{B}, W))$ is extended to an equivariance in $G\text{-GrCat}$, and (\mathcal{B}', W') and (\mathcal{P}, V) are equivariant in $G\text{-GrCat}$.*
- (2) *The smash products $\mathcal{B} \# G$ and $\mathcal{B}' \# G$ are derived equivalent.*

Then the condition (1) implies (2).

A G -covering $(F, \psi): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ from a G -category \mathcal{C} to a G -graded category \mathcal{B} is said to induce a degree-preserving functor with a map $r: \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow G$ if (F, ψ) is the composite of the canonical G -covering $(P, \phi): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/G$ and some equivalence $H: \mathcal{C}/G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $(H, r): \mathcal{C}/G \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is degree-preserving.

Theorem 15. *Let $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, X), \mathcal{C}' = (\mathcal{C}', X')$ be G -categories, $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{B}, W), \mathcal{B}' = (\mathcal{B}', W')$ G -graded categories and $(F, \psi): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, (F', \psi'): \mathcal{C}' \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ G -coverings inducing degree-preserving functors. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists a tilting subcategory \mathcal{U} of $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj} \mathcal{C})$ and a G -action Y on \mathcal{U} such that the inclusion $(\mathcal{U}, Y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj}(\mathcal{C}, X))$ is extended to a G -equivariant functor, and (\mathcal{C}', X) and (\mathcal{U}, Y) are G -equivariantly equivalent.*
- (2) *There exists a tilting subcategory \mathcal{P} of $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj} \mathcal{B})$ consisting of G -gradable complexes and a G -grading V of \mathcal{P} induced by (F, ψ) such that the inclusion $(\mathcal{P}, V) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj} \mathcal{B})$ is extended to a degree-preserving functor, and (\mathcal{B}', W') and (\mathcal{P}, V) are equivalent in $G\text{-GrCat}$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Asashiba, H.: *A generalization of Gabriel's Galois covering functors and derived equivalences*, J. Algebra **334** (2011), no. 1, 109–149.
- [2] Asashiba, H.: *Gluing derived equivalence together*, Adv. Math. **235** (2013) 134–160.
- [3] Asashiba, H.: *A generalization of Gabriel's Galois covering functors II: 2-categorical Cohen-Montgomery duality*, to appear in Applied Categorical Structures, DOI: 10.1007/s10485-015-9416-9.
- [4] Dugger, D. and Shipley, B.: *K-theory and derived equivalences*, Duke Math. J. **124** (2004), no. 3, 587–617.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE
SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY
836 OHYA, SURUGA-KU, SHIZUOKA, 422-8529, JAPAN
E-mail address: `asashiba.hideto@shizuoka.ac.jp`