

# Relative non-commuting graph of a finite ring

**Dhiren Kumar Basnet**

Tezpur University, India

50th Symposium on Ring Theory and Representation  
Theory (2017)  
University of Yamanashi

(This paper is a joint work with J. Dutta.)

# Outlines

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Some properties of  $\Gamma_{S,R}$
- 3 Relation between  $\Gamma_{S,R}$  and  $\text{Pr}(S, R)$
- 4 Relation between  $\Gamma_{S,R}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ -isoclinism
- 5 Reference

# Introduction

## Definition

Let  $R$  be a finite ring. The non-commuting graph of  $R$ , denoted by  $\Gamma_R$ , is a simple undirected graph whose vertex set is  $R \setminus Z(R)$  and two distinct vertices  $a$  and  $b$  are adjacent if and only if  $ab \neq ba$ .

◆ In 2015, Erfanian et al. [3] initiated the study of the non-commuting graph of a finite ring  $R$ .

# Introduction

Motivated by the works of Tolué and Erfanian [5], we have defined the following graph.

## Definition

Let  $S$  be a subring of a finite ring  $R$  and

$C_R(S) = \{r \in R : rs = sr \forall s \in S\}$ . The relative non-commuting graph of the subring  $S$  in  $R$ , denoted by  $\Gamma_{S,R}$ , is a simple undirected graph whose vertex set is  $R \setminus C_R(S)$  and two distinct vertices  $a, b$  are adjacent if and only if  $a$  or  $b \in S$  and  $ab \neq ba$ .

- For  $S = R$ ,  $\Gamma_{S,R} = \Gamma_R$ , the non-commuting graph of  $R$ .
- $\Gamma_{S,R}$  is empty graph if and only if  $S$  is commutative.

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a graph. We write

- $V(\mathcal{G}) :=$  the set of vertices of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,
- $E(\mathcal{G}) :=$  the set of edges of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,
- $diam(\mathcal{G}) := \max\{d(x, y) : x, y \in V(\mathcal{G})\}$ , where  $d(x, y)$  is the length of the shortest path from  $x$  to  $y$ ,
- $girth(\mathcal{G}) :=$  the length of the shortest cycle obtained in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

# Our results

We have obtained the following main results.

## Theorem 1

Let  $S$  be a non-commutative subring of a finite ring  $R$ . Then

- 1**  $\deg(r) = |R| - |C_R(r)|$  if  $r \in V(\Gamma_{S,R}) \cap S$ .
- 2**  $\deg(r) = |S| - |C_S(r)|$  if  $r \in V(\Gamma_{S,R}) \cap (R \setminus S)$ .

## Theorem 2

Let  $S$  be a non-commutative subring of a ring  $R$ . If  $Z(S) = \{0\}$  then  $\text{diam}(\Gamma_{S,R}) = 2$  and  $\text{girth}(\Gamma_{S,R}) = 3$ .

## Some families of graphs

**Star graph:** A star graph is a tree on  $n$  vertices in which one vertex has degree  $n - 1$  and the others have degree 1.

**Regular graph:** A regular graph is a graph where each vertex has the same degree or valency.

**Bipartite graph:** A bipartite graph is a graph whose vertex set can be partitioned into two disjoint parts in such a way that the two end vertices of every edge lie in different parts.

**Complete graph:** A complete graph is a graph in which every pair of distinct vertices is adjacent.

# Our Result

We have obtained the following result.

## Theorem 3

Let  $S$  be non-commutative subgroup a finite ring  $R$ . Then

- 1**  $\Gamma_{S,R}$  is not a star graph or a bipartite graph.
- 2**  $\Gamma_{S,R}$  is not an  $n$ -regular graph for any square free odd positive integer  $n$ , where  $S$  is a proper subring of  $R$ .
- 3**  $\Gamma_{S,R}$  is not a complete graph, where  $R$  has unity.



## Our result

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a graph and  $D$  a subset of  $V(\mathcal{G})$ .  $D$  is called a dominating set for  $\mathcal{G}$  if every vertex in  $V(\mathcal{G}) \setminus D$  is adjacent to at least one member of  $D$ .

We have obtained the following results.

### Theorem 4

Let  $S$  be a subring of a ring  $R$  and  $A \subseteq V(\Gamma_{S,R})$ . Then  $A$  is a dominating set for  $\Gamma_{S,R}$  if and only if  $C_R(A) \subseteq A \cup C_R(S)$ .

# Our result

## Theorem 5

Let  $R$  be a finite non-commutative ring with unity and  $S$  a subring of  $R$ . Let  $A = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$  is a generating set for  $S$  and

$A \cap C_R(S) = \{s_{m+1}, \dots, s_n\}$  then

$D = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_m\} \cup \{s_1 + s_{m+1}, s_2 + s_{m+2}, \dots, s_1 + s_n\}$  is a dominating set for  $\Gamma_{S,R}$ .

## Our results

In [2], we have defined the relative commuting probability of  $R$  relative to a subring  $S$  of  $R$  as the ratio

$$\Pr(S,R) := \frac{|\{(s,r) \in S \times R : sr = rs\}|}{|S||R|}.$$

We have obtained the following relation between  $|E(\Gamma_{S,R})|$  and  $\Pr(S,R)$ .

### Theorem 6

Let  $S$  be a subring of a ring  $R$ . Then the number of edges of  $\Gamma_{S,R}$  is

$$|E(\Gamma_{S,R})| = |S||R|(1 - \Pr(S,R)) - \frac{|S|^2}{2}(1 - \Pr(S)).$$

## Our result

We have obtained the following results as consequences of Theorem 6.

### Corollary 1

Let  $S$  be a non-commutative subring of a ring  $R$  and  $p$  the smallest prime dividing  $|R|$ . Then

$$|E(\Gamma_{S,R})| \leq |S| \left( |R| - \frac{3|S|}{16} - p \right) - |Z(R) \cap S| (|R| - p)$$

# Our result

## Corollary 2

Let  $S$  be a non-commutative subring of a ring  $R$ . Then

$$|E(\Gamma_{S,R})| \geq -\frac{3|S|^2}{16} + \frac{3|S||R|}{8}.$$

## Definition

Buckley et al. [1] introduced the concept of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -isoclinism between two rings. Motivated by them, we introduce the concept of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -isoclinism between two pairs of rings.

### Definition

Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two subrings of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  respectively. A pair of rings  $(S_1, R_1)$  is said to be  $\mathbb{Z}$ -isoclinic to a pair of rings  $(S_2, R_2)$  if there exist additive group isomorphisms  $\phi : \frac{R_1}{Z(R_1) \cap S_1} \rightarrow \frac{R_2}{Z(R_2) \cap S_2}$  such that  $\phi\left(\frac{S_1}{Z(R_1) \cap S_1}\right) = \frac{S_2}{Z(R_2) \cap S_2}$ ; and  $\psi : [S_1, R_1] \rightarrow [S_2, R_2]$  such that  $\psi([u, v]) = [u', v']$  whenever  $\phi(u + (Z(R_1) \cap S_1)) = u' + (Z(R_2) \cap S_2)$ ,  $\phi(v + (Z(R_1) \cap S_1)) = v' + (Z(R_2) \cap S_2)$ .

## Our result

We have obtained the following relation between  $\mathbb{Z}$ -isoclinism and  $\Gamma(S, R)$ .

### Theorem 7

Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two subrings of the finite rings  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  respectively. Let the pairs  $(S_1, R_1)$  and  $(S_2, R_2)$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -isoclinic. Then  $\Gamma_{S_1, R_1} \cong \Gamma_{S_2, R_2}$  if  $|Z(R_1) \cap S_1| = |Z(R_2) \cap S_2|$  and  $|Z(R_1)| = |Z(R_2)|$ .





# Our result


We conclude with the following corollary.

## Corollary 3

Let  $R$  be a ring with subrings  $S$  and  $T$  such that  $(S, R)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -isoclinic to  $(T, R)$ . Then  $\Gamma_S \cong \Gamma_T$  if  $|Z(R) \cap S| = |Z(R) \cap T|$ .



-  Buckley, S. M., Machale, D., and Ní Shé, A. Finite rings with many commuting pairs of elements, Preprint.
-  Dutta, J., Basnet, D. K., and Nath, R. K. On commuting probability of finite rings. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 28(2):372–382, 2017.
-  Erfanian, A., Khashyarmanesh, K., and Nafar, Kh. Non-commuting graphs of rings. *Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl.*, 7(3): 1550027-1–1550027-7, 2015.
-  MacHale, D. Commutativity in finite rings. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 83: 30–32, 1976.

-  Tolume, B. and Erfanian, A. Relative non-commuting graph of a finite group. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 12(2):1250157-1–1250157-11, 2013.

THANK YOU