

HOW TO CAPTURE t -STRUCTURES BY SILTING THEORY

TAKAHIDE ADACHI AND YUYA MIZUNO

ABSTRACT. In this note, we study a relationship between silting objects and t -structures. We introduce the notion of ST-pairs of thick subcategories of a given triangulated category, a prototypical example of which is the pair of the bounded homotopy category and the bounded derived category of a finite-dimensional algebra. For an ST-pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$, we construct an injective map from silting objects in \mathcal{C} to bounded t -structures on \mathcal{D} , and show that the map is bijective if and only if \mathcal{C} is silting-discrete. Moreover, using cluster tilting theory, we give a new class of silting-discrete triangulated categories.

This is based on a joint work with Dong Yang [3].

Throughout this note, K is a field and \mathbb{T} is a K -linear Hom-finite Krull–Schmidt triangulated category with shift functor [1].

Our aim of this note is to give a construction of bounded t -structures by silting objects. First we recall the notion of t -structures, which was introduced by Beilinson–Bernstein–Deligne [8].

Definition 1. A t -structure on \mathbb{T} is a pair $(\mathbb{T}^{\leq 0}, \mathbb{T}^{\geq 0})$ of strictly full subcategories of \mathbb{T} such that

- (1) $\mathbb{T}^{\leq 1} \supseteq \mathbb{T}^{\leq 0}$ and $\mathbb{T}^{\geq 0} \supseteq \mathbb{T}^{\geq 1}$,
- (2) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{T}}(X, Y) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathbb{T}^{\leq 0}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{T}^{\geq 1}$,
- (3) for each $Z \in \mathbb{T}$, there is a triangle $X \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X[1]$ in \mathbb{T} with $X \in \mathbb{T}^{\leq 0}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{T}^{\geq 1}$.

Here, for any integer n , let $\mathbb{T}^{\leq n} = \mathbb{T}^{\leq 0}[-n]$ and $\mathbb{T}^{\geq n} = \mathbb{T}^{\geq 0}[-n]$.

Let $(\mathbb{T}^{\leq 0}, \mathbb{T}^{\geq 0})$ be a t -structure on \mathbb{T} . Then the *heart* $\mathbb{T}^0 := \mathbb{T}^{\leq 0} \cap \mathbb{T}^{\geq 0}$ is an abelian category. We call $(\mathbb{T}^{\leq 0}, \mathbb{T}^{\geq 0})$ a *bounded t -structure* if

$$\mathbb{T} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{T}^{\leq n} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{T}^{\geq n},$$

or equivalently, $\mathbb{T} = \mathbf{thick} \mathbb{T}^0$. We denote by $t\text{-str}_{bd} \mathbb{T}$ the set of bounded t -structures on \mathbb{T} .

We give an example of bounded t -structures.

Example 2. Let Λ be a finite-dimensional algebra and $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} \Lambda)$ the bounded derived category. We define two full subcategories as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0} := \{X \in \mathcal{D} \mid H^n X = 0 \text{ for all integers } n > 0\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0} := \{X \in \mathcal{D} \mid H^n X = 0 \text{ for all integers } n < 0\}.$$

Then it is well-known that $(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0})$ is a bounded t -structure on \mathcal{D} .

The detailed version of this note will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

Next we recall the definition of silting objects, which was introduced by Keller–Vossieck [17]. For details, we refer to [5].

Definition 3. An object M of T is said to be *silting* if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(M, M[n]) = 0$ for all integers $n > 0$ and $\mathsf{T} = \mathbf{thick}M$. We denote by $\mathbf{silt}\mathsf{T}$ the set of isomorphism classes of basic silting objects of T .

We give a typical example of a silting object.

Example 4. Let Λ be a finite-dimensional algebra. Then Λ is a silting object of the bounded homotopy category $\mathsf{K}^b(\mathbf{proj}\Lambda)$.

We introduce the notion of ST-pairs, which plays a central role in this note. For an object M of T , we define full subcategories of T as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{T}_M^{\leq 0} &:= \{X \in \mathsf{T} \mid \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(M, X[n]) = 0 \text{ for all integers } n > 0\}, \\ \mathsf{T}_M^{\geq 0} &:= \{X \in \mathsf{T} \mid \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathsf{T}}(M, X[n]) = 0 \text{ for all integers } n < 0\}, \\ \mathsf{T}_M^0 &:= \mathsf{T}_M^{\leq 0} \cap \mathsf{T}_M^{\geq 0}.\end{aligned}$$

Definition 5. Let C and D be thick subcategories of T . The pair (C, D) is called an *ST-pair* inside T if there exists a silting object M of C such that

- (ST1) $(\mathsf{T}_M^{\leq 0}, \mathsf{T}_M^{\geq 0})$ is a t -structure on T ,
- (ST2) $\mathsf{T}_M^{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathsf{D}$,
- (ST3) $\mathsf{D} = \mathbf{thick}\mathsf{T}_M^0$.

When there is a need to emphasise the silting object M , we call the triple $(\mathsf{C}, \mathsf{D}, M)$ an *ST-triple*.

The following two examples are our motivating examples.

Example 6. Let Λ be a finite-dimensional algebra and $\mathsf{T} := \mathsf{D}^b(\mathbf{mod}\Lambda)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{T}_{\Lambda}^{\leq 0} &= \{X \in \mathsf{T} \mid H^n X = 0 \text{ for all integers } n > 0\}, \\ \mathsf{T}_{\Lambda}^{\geq 0} &= \{X \in \mathsf{T} \mid H^n X = 0 \text{ for all integers } n < 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain that Λ is a silting object of $\mathsf{C} := \mathsf{K}^b(\mathbf{proj}\Lambda)$ and $(\mathsf{T}_{\Lambda}^{\leq 0}, \mathsf{T}_{\Lambda}^{\geq 0})$ is a (bounded) t -structure on T . Thus $(\mathsf{C} = \mathsf{K}^b(\mathbf{proj}\Lambda), \mathsf{D} := \mathsf{T} = \mathsf{D}^b(\mathbf{mod}\Lambda))$ is an ST-pair inside T .

Example 7. Let Γ be a dg algebra satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $H^n(\Gamma) = 0$ for each integer $n > 0$,
- (2) $H^0(\Gamma)$ is finite-dimensional,
- (3) $\mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{fd}}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathbf{per}(\Gamma)$, where $\mathbf{per}(\Gamma)$ is the perfect derived category of Γ and $\mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{fd}}(\Gamma)$ is the full subcategory of the derived category $\mathsf{D}(\Gamma)$ consisting of dg Γ -modules whose total cohomology is finite-dimensional.

Let $\mathsf{T} := \mathbf{per}(\Gamma)$. Then T is Hom-finite Krull–Schmidt by [14, Proposition 2.5], $(\mathsf{T}_{\Gamma}^{\leq 0}, \mathsf{T}_{\Gamma}^{\geq 0})$ is a t -structure on T and $\mathsf{T}_{\Gamma}^{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathbf{thick}\mathsf{T}_{\Gamma}^0$ (see [7, Proposition 2.7] and [14, Propositions 2.5 and 2.1(c)]). Since Γ is a non-positive dg algebra, Γ is a silting object of T . Moreover, we have $\mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{fd}}(\Gamma) = \mathbf{thick}\mathsf{T}_{\Gamma}^0$. Thus $(\mathsf{C} := \mathsf{T} = \mathbf{per}(\Gamma), \mathsf{D} := \mathsf{D}_{\mathrm{fd}}(\Gamma))$ is an ST-pair inside T .

Fix an ST-pair (\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}) . For a silting object M of \mathbf{C} , we define full subcategories of \mathbf{D} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_M^{\leq 0} &:= \mathbf{T}_M^{\leq 0} \cap \mathbf{D} = \{X \in \mathbf{D} \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}(M, X[n]) = 0 \text{ for all integers } n > 0\}, \\ \mathbf{D}_M^{\geq 0} &:= \mathbf{T}_M^{\geq 0} \cap \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{T}_M^{\geq 0}, \\ \mathbf{D}_M^0 &:= \mathbf{D}_M^{\leq 0} \cap \mathbf{D}_M^{\geq 0}. \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition implies that the conditions (ST1–3) are satisfied for all silting objects of \mathbf{C} , which allows us to define a well-defined map from silting objects in \mathbf{C} to bounded t -structures on \mathbf{D} .

Proposition 8. *Let $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, M)$ be an ST-triple and let N be an arbitrary silting object of \mathbf{C} . Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, N)$ is an ST-triple.
- (2) $\mathbf{T}_N^0 \simeq \mathbf{mod} \text{End}_{\mathbf{T}}(N)$.
- (3) $(\mathbf{D}_N^{\leq 0}, \mathbf{D}_N^{\geq 0})$ is a bounded t -structure on \mathbf{D} and $\mathbf{D}_N^0 = \mathbf{T}_N^0$.

The following theorem is one of our main results in this note.

Theorem 9. *Let (\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}) be an ST-pair. Then there is an injective map*

$$\Psi : \text{silt } \mathbf{C} \rightarrow t\text{-str}_{bd} \mathbf{D}$$

given by $M \mapsto (\mathbf{D}_M^{\leq 0}, \mathbf{D}_M^{\geq 0})$.

In the following, we give a characterisation of that Ψ is bijective from the viewpoint of silting theory. For objects M, N of \mathbf{T} , we write $M \geq N$ if $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{T}}(M, N[n]) = 0$ for all positive integers n . Then the relation \geq gives a partial order on $\text{silt } \mathbf{T}$ by [5, Theorem 2.11]. For a basic silting object M and a positive integer n , let

$$n_M\text{-silt } \mathbf{T} := \{N \in \text{silt } \mathbf{T} \mid M \geq N \geq M[n-1]\}.$$

We recall the notion of silting-discrete triangulated categories, which plays an important role in this note.

Definition 10. A triangulated category \mathbf{T} is said to be *silting-discrete* if, for any basic silting object M , the set $n_M\text{-silt } \mathbf{T}$ is finite for any positive integer n .

By [4, Proposition 3.8], \mathbf{T} is silting-discrete if and only if, for any fixed basic silting object M of \mathbf{T} , the set $n_M\text{-silt } \mathbf{T}$ is finite for any positive integer n . Moreover, if \mathbf{T} is silting-discrete, then we can obtain all basic silting objects in \mathbf{T} from any fixed basic silting object by a finite sequence of mutations (see [4, Corollary 3.9]). By a result of [6], we have a criterion for silting-discreteness.

Lemma 11 ([6, Theorem 2.4]). *A triangulated category \mathbf{T} is silting-discrete if and only if the set $2_M\text{-silt } \mathbf{T}$ is finite for any basic silting object M of \mathbf{T} .*

Note that $2_M\text{-silt } \mathbf{T}$ corresponds bijectively to the set of isomorphism classes of basic support τ -tilting $\text{End}_{\mathbf{T}}(M)$ -modules (see [12] and [2]).

We collect some examples of silting-discrete triangulated categories.

Example 12. Assume that K is algebraically closed. The bounded homotopy category $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{proj } \Lambda)$ is silting-discrete if Λ is one of the following finite-dimensional K -algebras:

- (1) local algebras (see [5, Theorem 2.26]),
- (2) representation-finite hereditary algebras (see [4, Example 3.7]),
- (3) derived-discrete algebras of finite global dimension (see [9, Proposition 6.12]),
- (4) representation-finite symmetric algebras (see [4, Theorem 5.6]),
- (5) Brauer graph algebras whose Brauer graph contains at most one cycle of odd length and no cycle of even length (see [1, Theorem 6.7]).

We have the following theorem which is a main result of this note.

Theorem 13. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ be an ST-pair inside \mathcal{T} . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *The map $\Psi : \text{silt } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow t\text{-str}_{bd} \mathcal{D}$ is bijective.*
- (2) *\mathcal{C} is silting-discrete.*
- (3) *The heart of every bounded t -structure on \mathcal{D} has a projective generator.*

In the rest of this note, we give examples of silting-discrete triangulated categories by cluster tilting theory. We recall the notion of Calabi–Yau pairs. Fix an integer $d \geq 1$.

Definition 14. An ST-pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ inside \mathcal{C} is called a $(d+1)$ -Calabi–Yau pair if there exists a bifunctorial isomorphism for any $X \in \mathcal{D}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$D \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X[d+1]).$$

If M is a silting object of \mathcal{C} , then $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, M)$ is a $(d+1)$ -Calabi–Yau triple in the sense of [13, Section 5.1]. Note that, for silting objects M and N , $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, M)$ is a $(d+1)$ -Calabi–Yau triple if and only if $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, N)$ is a $(d+1)$ -Calabi–Yau triple.

Fix a $(d+1)$ -Calabi–Yau pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$. Consider the triangle quotient

$$\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{D},$$

which is called the *cluster category*. Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ be the canonical projection functor. We call $T \in \mathcal{U}$ a d -cluster tilting object if

$$\begin{aligned} \text{add} T &= \{X \in \mathcal{U} \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(X, T[i]) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d-1\} \\ &= \{X \in \mathcal{U} \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(T, X[i]) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, if $d = 1$, then we have $\text{add} T = \mathcal{U}$. We denote by $d\text{-ctilt } \mathcal{U}$ the set of isomorphism classes of basic d -cluster tilting objects of \mathcal{U} . The following proposition is a basic result for Calabi–Yau triples.

Proposition 15 ([13, Theorem 5.8 and Corollary 5.12]). *For a $(d+1)$ -Calabi–Yau triple $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, M)$, the following statements hold.*

- (1) *The category \mathcal{U} is a d -Calabi–Yau triangulated category.*
- (2) *The functor π induces an injection*

$$d_M\text{-silt } \mathcal{C} \rightarrow d\text{-ctilt } \mathcal{U},$$

which is a bijection if $d = 1$ or $d = 2$.

Now we give a criterion for \mathcal{C} being silting-discrete in terms of the cluster category \mathcal{U} as follows.

Theorem 16. *For a $(d + 1)$ -Calabi–Yau pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$, the following statements hold.*

- (1) *Assume $d \geq 2$. If d -ctilt \mathcal{U} is a finite set, then \mathcal{C} is silting-discrete. The converse holds true if $d = 2$.*
- (2) *Assume that $d = 1$ or 2 and let N be a basic silting object of \mathcal{C} . Then \mathcal{C} is silting-discrete if and only if 2_N -silt \mathcal{C} is a finite set.*

As an application of Theorem 16, we show that

- the perfect derived category of a derived preprojective algebra associated with a quiver is silting-discrete if and only if the quiver is Dynkin,
- the perfect derived category of the complete Ginzburg dg algebra associated with a quiver with a nondegenerate potential is silting-discrete if and only if the quiver is mutation equivalent to a Dynkin quiver.

Derived preprojective algebras. Let Q be a finite quiver and $d > 0$ an integer. Define a graded quiver \tilde{Q} as follows: \tilde{Q} has the same vertices as Q and three types of arrows

- the arrows of Q , in degree 0,
- $\alpha^* : j \rightarrow i$ in degree $-d + 1$, for each arrow $\alpha : i \rightarrow j$ of Q ,
- $t_i : i \rightarrow i$ in degree $-d$, for each vertex i of Q .

The *derived $(d + 1)$ -preprojective algebra* $\Gamma := \Gamma_{d+1}(Q)$ is the dg algebra $(K\tilde{Q}, \mathbf{d})$, where $K\tilde{Q}$ is the graded path algebra of \tilde{Q} and \mathbf{d} is the unique K -linear differential which satisfies the graded Leibniz rule

$$\mathbf{d}(ab) = \mathbf{d}(a)b + (-1)^p a\mathbf{d}(b),$$

where a is homogeneous of degree p , and which takes the following values

- $\mathbf{d}(e_i) = 0$ for any vertex i of Q , where e_i is the trivial path at i ,
- $\mathbf{d}(\alpha) = 0$ for any arrow α of Q ,
- $\mathbf{d}(\alpha^*) = 0$ for any arrow α^* of Q ,
- $\mathbf{d}(t_i) = e_i \sum_{\alpha} (\alpha\alpha^* - \alpha^*\alpha)e_i$ for any vertex i of Q , where α runs over all arrows of Q .

Note that if $d = 1$, then $H^0(\Gamma)$ is the preprojective algebra associated with Q , and if $d \geq 2$, then $H^0(\Gamma)$ is the path algebra of Q .

Since Γ is concentrated in non-positive degrees, Γ is a silting object of $\mathbf{per}(\Gamma)$. Moreover, by [15, Theorem 6.3] and [16, Lemma 4.1], we have $\mathbf{D}_{\text{fd}}(\Gamma) \subseteq \mathbf{per}(\Gamma)$ and there is a functorial isomorphism for $X \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{fd}}(\Gamma)$ and $Y \in \mathbf{D}(\Gamma)$

$$D \text{Hom}(X, Y) \simeq \text{Hom}(Y, X[d + 1]),$$

where $D := \text{Hom}_K(-, K)$.

The following lemma gives an example of ST-pairs.

Lemma 17. *Let Q be a finite quiver and $\Gamma = \Gamma_{d+1}(Q)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *$\mathbf{per}(\Gamma)$ is Hom-finite and Krull–Schmidt,*
- (2) *$H^0(\Gamma)$ is finite-dimensional,*

(3) $d = 1$ and Q is Dynkin, or $d \geq 2$ and Q has no oriented cycles.

If these conditions are satisfied, then $(\mathbf{per}(\Gamma), \mathbf{D}_{\text{fd}}(\Gamma), \Gamma)$ is an ST-triple inside $\mathbf{per}(\Gamma)$, and moreover, a $(d + 1)$ -Calabi–Yau triple.

Now we apply Theorem 16 to perfect derived categories of derived preprojective algebras.

Corollary 18. *Let Q be a finite quiver and $\Gamma = \Gamma_{d+1}(Q)$. Assume that K is algebraically closed and $H^0(\Gamma)$ is finite-dimensional. Then $\mathbf{per}(\Gamma)$ is silting-discrete if and only if Q is Dynkin.*

Complete Ginzburg dg algebras. We refer to [10] for the definition and properties of quiver mutation and mutation of quivers with potential.

Let Q be a finite quiver and W a potential. Let $\Gamma := \widehat{\Gamma}(Q, W)$ be the complete Ginzburg dg algebra associated with the quiver with potential (Q, W) , see [11, 18]. The algebra $H^0\Gamma$ is known as the *Jacobian algebra*. We say that (Q, W) is *Jacobi-finite* if the Jacobian algebra is finite-dimensional.

By definition, Γ is concentrated in non-positive degrees and Γ is a silting object of $\mathbf{per}(\Gamma)$. By [18, Theorem A.16 and A.17], we obtain that (Q, W) is Jacobi-finite if and only if $(\mathbf{per}(\Gamma), \mathbf{D}_{\text{fd}}(\Gamma), \Gamma)$ is a 3-Calabi–Yau triple. Now we apply Theorem 16 to perfect derived categories of complete Ginzburg dg algebras.

Corollary 19. *Let (Q, W) be a Jacobi-finite quiver with potential and $\Gamma := \widehat{\Gamma}(Q, W)$. Assume that K is algebraically closed and W is nondegenerate (see [10]). Then $\mathbf{per}(\Gamma)$ is silting-discrete if and only if Q is related to a Dynkin quiver by a finite sequence of quiver mutations.*

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Adachi, T. Aihara, A. Chan, *Classification of two-term tilting complexes over Brauer graph algebras*, arXiv:1504.04827v3.
- [2] T. Adachi, O. Iyama, I. Reiten, τ -tilting theory, *Compos. Math.* **150** (2014), no. 3, 415–452.
- [3] T. Adachi, Y. Mizuno, D. Yang, *Silting-discrete triangulated categories and contractible stability spaces*, arXiv:1708.08168v1.
- [4] T. Aihara, *Tilting-connected symmetric algebras*, *Algebr. Represent. Theory* **16** (2013), no. 3, 873–894.
- [5] T. Aihara, O. Iyama, *Silting mutation in triangulated categories*, *J. London. Math. Soc. (2)* **85** (2012), no. 3, 633–668.
- [6] T. Aihara, Y. Mizuno, *Classifying tilting complexes over preprojective algebras of Dynkin type*, *Algebra and Number Theory* **11** (2017), no. 6, 1287–1315.
- [7] C. Amiot, *Cluster categories for algebras of global dimension 2 and quivers with potential*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **59** (2009), no. 6, 2525–2590.
- [8] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I* (Luminy, 1981), 5–171, *Astérisque*, **100**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [9] N. Broomhead, D. Pauksztello, D. Ploog, *Discrete derived categories II: The silting pairs CW complexes and the stability manifold*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **93** (2016), no. 2, 273–300.
- [10] H. Derksen, J. Weyman, A. Zelevinsky, *Quivers with potentials and their representations I: Mutations*, *Selecta Mathematica* **14** (2008), no. 1, 59–119.
- [11] V. Ginzburg, *Calabi-Yau algebras*, arXiv:math/0612139v3.
- [12] O. Iyama, P. Jørgensen, D. Yang, *Intermediate co-t-structures, two-term silting objects, τ -tilting modules and torsion classes*, *Algebra Number Theory* **8** (2014), no. 10, 2413–2431.

- [13] O. Iyama, D. Yang, *Silting reduction and Calabi–Yau reduction of triangulated categories*, arXiv:1408.2678v4, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [14] M. Kalck, D. Yang, *Relative singularity categories I: Auslander resolutions*, Adv. Math. **301** (2016), 973–1021.
- [15] B. Keller, *Deformed Calabi–Yau completions. With an appendix by Michel Van den Bergh*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **654** (2011), 125–180.
- [16] B. Keller, *Triangulated Calabi–Yau categories*, Trends in Representation Theory of Algebras (Zurich) (A. Skowroński, ed.), European Mathematical Society, 2008, 467–489.
- [17] B. Keller, D. Vossieck, *Aisles in derived categories*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. A **40** (1988), no. 2, 239–253.
- [18] B. Keller, D. Yang, *Derived equivalences from mutations of quivers with potential*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 3, 2118–2168. With an appendix by Bernhard Keller.

TAKAHIDE ADACHI
 GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE
 OSAKA PREFECTURE UNIVERSITY
 1-1 GAKUEN-CHO, NAKAKU, SAKAI, OSAKA, 599-8531, JAPAN
E-mail address: adachi@mi.s.osakafu-u.ac.jp

YUYA MIZUNO
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE
 SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY
 836 OHYA, SURUGA-KU, SHIZUOKA, 422-8529, JAPAN
E-mail address: yuya.mizuno@shizuoka.ac.jp