

# ON THE WEAKLY IWANAGA–GORENSTEIN PROPERTY OF GENDO ALGEBRAS

TAKUMA AIHARA, AARON CHAN AND TAKAHIRO HONMA

ABSTRACT. We explore when an algebra is weakly Iwanaga–Gorenstein and show that the endomorphism algebra of a generator over a representation-finite algebra is weakly Iwanaga–Gorenstein.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We study the weakly Iwanaga–Gorenstein property of algebras, which was introduced by Ringel–Zhang [RZ] as a generalization of Iwanaga–Gorenstein algebras. In particular, we deal with gendo algebras, that is, the ENDOmorphism algebras of Generators [FK]. These often have nice properties. For example, Morita’s theorem says that the endomorphism algebra of a progenerator admits the same module category as the original algebra. Auslander introduced the notion of representation dimensions and Auslander algebras, which are also examples of gendo algebras. As is well-known, they give interesting interaction between representation theoretic properties and homological properties.

We ask when a gendo algebra is weakly Iwanaga–Gorenstein.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a finite dimensional algebra over a field and  $M$  a finite dimensional  $\Lambda$ -module. If  $\Lambda$  is representation-finite, then the gendo algebra  $\text{End}_\Lambda(\Lambda \oplus M)$  is weakly Iwanaga–Gorenstein.*

## 2. RESULT

Throughout this note, algebras are always assumed to be finite dimensional over an algebraically closed field  $K$ . By a module, we mean a finitely generated right module. For an algebra  $\Lambda$ , we denote by  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  ( $\text{proj } \Lambda$ ) the category of (projective) modules over  $\Lambda$ . One defines a full subcategory  ${}^\perp \Lambda$  of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  as follows:

$${}^\perp \Lambda := \{X \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid \text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(X, \Lambda) = 0 \text{ for any } i > 0\}.$$

We say that a module  $X$  is *Gorenstein-projective* (abbr. *GP*) if there is an exact sequence  $\cdots \rightarrow P^{-1} \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} P^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} P^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \cdots$  such that  $X_0 = X$  and the inclusion  $X_i \rightarrow P^i$  is a left  $\text{proj } \Lambda$ -approximation of  $X_i$ ; that is, any homomorphism from  $X_i$  to a projective module factors through the inclusion. Here,  $X_i := \text{Ker } d^i$ . A *torsionless* module is defined to be a submodule of a projective module.

Let us recall the definition of right/left/weakly Iwanaga–Gorenstein algebras.

---

The detailed version of this paper will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

**Definition 2.** We say that an algebra  $\Lambda$  is *right (resp. left) Iwanaga–Gorenstein* (abbr. *RIG*, resp. *LIG*) if  ${}^\perp\Lambda$  (resp.  ${}^\perp\Lambda^{\text{op}}$ ) is Frobenius, or equivalently, if any module in  ${}^\perp\Lambda$  (resp.  ${}^\perp\Lambda^{\text{op}}$ ) is GP [RZ]. Here,  $\Lambda^{\text{op}}$  stands for the opposite algebra of  $\Lambda$ . A *weakly Iwanaga–Gorenstein* (abbr. *WIG*) algebra is defined to be both right and left IG. We call  $\Lambda$  *Iwanaga–Gorenstein* (abbr. *IG*) if it has finite left and right selfinjective dimension.

We give some examples of RIG algebras.

**Example 3.** [RZ] An algebra is RIG if it satisfies one of the following:

- (1) it has finite left selfinjective dimension;
- (2) there are only finitely many indecomposable torsionless modules in  ${}^\perp\Lambda$ .

In particular, an IG algebra is WIG.

*Remark 4.* [M1, RZ] We still do not know if RIG implies LIG, and vice versa. On the other hand, if  $\text{RIG} \Leftrightarrow \text{LIG}$ , then the Gorenstein symmetry conjecture holds true; that is,  $\text{inj.dim } \Lambda_\Lambda < \infty \Leftrightarrow \text{inj.dim } {}_\Lambda\Lambda < \infty$ . To prove the statement, assume that  $d := \text{inj.dim } \Lambda_\Lambda < \infty$ . By Example 3(2), we see that  $\Lambda$  is LIG, which implies that it is RIG by assumption. Let us show that  $D\Lambda$  has finite projective dimension, where  $D$  stands for the  $K$ -dual. Denoting the syzygy of a module  $X$  by  $\Omega X$ , we have an isomorphism  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^i(\Omega^d D\Lambda, \Lambda) \simeq \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{i+1}(\Omega^{d-1} D\Lambda, \Lambda) = 0$ , which says that  $X := \Omega^d D\Lambda$  belongs to  ${}^\perp\Lambda$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is RIG, it is seen that  $X$  is GP, whence it is given by  $\Omega^{d+1}Y$  for some GP module  $Y$  up to projective summand. We now get isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\Omega^d Y, X) &\simeq \text{Ext}_\Lambda^2(\Omega^{d-1} Y, X) \simeq \dots \\ &\simeq \text{Ext}_\Lambda^{d+1}(Y, X) \simeq \text{Ext}_\Lambda^d(Y, \Omega^{d-1} D\Lambda) \simeq \dots \simeq \text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(Y, D\Lambda) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the isomorphisms in the second line follow from the condition of  $Y$  being GP. Therefore, it turns out that the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow P \rightarrow \Omega^d Y \rightarrow 0$  with  $P$  projective splits, whence  $X := \Omega^d D\Lambda$  is projective, and so  $\text{inj.dim } \Lambda_\Lambda \leq d < \infty$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda$  the full subcategory of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  consisting of torsionless modules which belong to  ${}^\perp\Lambda$ . Define

$$\Omega^\ell \mathcal{C}_\Lambda := \{X \in \text{mod } \Lambda \mid X \simeq \Omega^\ell Y \text{ for some } Y \in \mathcal{C}_\Lambda\}.$$

A full subcategory of  $\text{mod } \Lambda$  is said to be *of finite type* if it has only finitely many indecomposable modules. Modifying Theorem 1.3 of [RZ] and its proof, we have the following.

**Lemma 5.** *If  $\Omega^\ell \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$  is of finite type, then  $\Lambda$  is RIG and  ${}^\perp\Lambda$  is also of finite type.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be in  ${}^\perp\Lambda$ . Note that  $\Omega X$  belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda$ . It follows that if  $X$  is indecomposable, then so is  $\Omega X$ . By assumption, we see that  $\Omega^r X$  is  $\Omega$ -periodic for some  $r \geq \ell$ , which implies that it is GP. Hence, we deduce the fact that  $X$  is also GP, so  $\Lambda$  is RIG.  $\square$

We can now state the main theorem of this note.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $B$  be an algebra and  $T$  a generator of  $B$ . Put  $\Lambda := \text{End}_\Lambda(T)$ . If  $B$  is representation-finite, then  $\Lambda$  is WIG with  ${}^\perp\Lambda$  of finite type.*

Marczinik informed us that we can drop the assumption to be a generator [M2, Corollary 1.3(2)]; that is,

**Theorem 7.** *The endomorphism algebra of a module over a representation-finite algebra is WIG.*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be a module over an algebra  $B$  and put  $\Lambda := \text{End}_B(M)$ . It follows from [ARS, VI, Exercise 7] that  $\Omega^2 \mathcal{C}_\Lambda$  is of finite type, so  $\Lambda$  is RIG by Lemma 5. The dual argument leads to the fact that  $\Lambda$  is LIG, so WIG.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [ARS] M. AUSLANDER, I. REITEN AND S. O. SMALO, Representation theory of Artin algebras. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **36**. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 1995.
- [FK] M. FANG AND S. KOENIG, Gendo-symmetric algebras, canonical comultiplication, bar cocomplex and dominant dimension. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **368** (2016), no. 7, 5037–5055.
- [M1] R. MARCZINIK, Gendo-symmetric algebras, dominant dimensions and Gorenstein homological algebra. arXiv: 1608.04212.
- [M2] R. MARCZINIK, On weakly Gorenstein algebras. Preprint (2019), arXiv: 1908.04738.
- [RZ] C. M. RINGEL AND P. ZHANG, Gorenstein-projective and semi-Gorenstein-projective modules. arXiv: 1808.01809.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY,  
4-1-1 NUKUIKITA-MACHI, KOGANEI,  
TOKYO 184-8501, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* aihara@u-gakugei.ac.jp

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS,  
NAGOYA UNIVERSITY,  
FUROCHO, CHIKUSAKU,  
NAGOYA 464-8602, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* aaron.kychan@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE,  
1-3 KAGURAZAKA, SINJUKUKU,  
TOKYO 162-8601, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* 1119704@ed.tus.ac.jp