

A BATALIN-VILKOVISKY DIFFERENTIAL ON THE COMPLETE COHOMOLOGY RING OF A FROBENIUS ALGEBRA

TOMOHIRO ITAGAKI, KATSUNORI SANADA AND SATOSHI USUI

ABSTRACT. We study the existence of a Batalin-Vilkovisky differential on the complete cohomology ring of a Frobenius algebra. We construct a Batalin-Vilkovisky differential on the complete cohomology ring in the case of Frobenius algebras with diagonalizable Nakayama automorphisms.

1. INTRODUCTION

Inspired by Buchweitz's result on Tate cohomology of Iwanaga-Gorenstein algebras, Wang has defined *Tate-Hochschild cohomology groups* of an associative algebra A as $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}}^r(A, A) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}})}(A, A[r])$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{sg}}(A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}})$ is the singularity category of $A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}$. He discovered in [?, ?] that Tate-Hochschild cohomology $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}}^\bullet(A, A) := \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \underline{\text{Ext}}_{A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}}^r(A, A)$ has a Gerstenhaber algebra structure. If A is a finite dimensional Frobenius algebra, then the Tate-Hochschild cohomology groups of A are isomorphic to the *complete cohomology groups* $\widehat{\text{HH}}^*(A, A)$ of A , which are the cohomology groups based on a complete resolution of A . Wang also showed that there exists a graded commutative product, called \star -product, on $\widehat{\text{HH}}^\bullet(A, A)$ such that $\widehat{\text{HH}}^\bullet(A, A)$ is isomorphic to $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}}^\bullet(A, A)$ as graded algebras and that the complete cohomology ring $\widehat{\text{HH}}^\bullet(A, A)$ carries a BV algebra structure in the case that A is a symmetric algebra. In this paper, we generalize Wang's result to the case of finite dimensional Frobenius algebras with diagonalizable Nakayama automorphisms.

Throughout this paper, A denotes a finite dimensional, associative and unital algebra over a field k and let A^e be the enveloping algebra $A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}$ of A . For simplicity, we write $\otimes := \otimes_k$, $\text{Hom} := \text{Hom}_k$ and $\bar{b}_{1,n} := \bar{b}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{b}_n \in \bar{A}^{\otimes n}$ with the quotient vector space $\bar{A} := A/(k \cdot 1_A)$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 1. A *Gerstenhaber algebra* is a graded k -module $\mathcal{H}^\bullet = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}^r$ equipped with two graded maps, a cup product $\smile: \mathcal{H}^m \otimes \mathcal{H}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{m+n}$ of degree 0 and a Lie bracket, called the *Gerstenhaber bracket*, $[\ , \]: \mathcal{H}^m \otimes \mathcal{H}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{m+n-1}$ of degree -1 , satisfying

- (i) $(\mathcal{H}^\bullet, \smile)$ is a graded commutative algebra with unit $1 \in \mathcal{H}^0$.
- (ii) $(\mathcal{H}^\bullet[1], [\ , \])$ is a graded Lie algebra with components $(\mathcal{H}^\bullet[1])^r = \mathcal{H}^{r+1}$.
- (iii) For homogeneous elements α, β and $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}^\bullet$

$$[\alpha, \beta \smile \gamma] = [\alpha, \beta] \smile \gamma + (-1)^{(|\alpha|-1)|\beta|} \beta \smile [\alpha, \gamma],$$

The detailed version of this paper will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

where $|\alpha|$ denotes the degree of a homogeneous element α in \mathcal{H}^\bullet .

Definition 2. A graded commutative algebra $(\mathcal{H}^\bullet = \bigoplus_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}^r, \smile)$ with $1 \in \mathcal{H}^0$ is a *Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra* (BV algebra, for short) if there exists a graded k -linear map $\Delta : \mathcal{H}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{\bullet-1}$, called *BV differential*, of degree -1 such that:

- (i) $\Delta^2 = 0$ and $\Delta_0(1) = 0$.
- (ii) For homogeneous elements α, β and γ in \mathcal{H}^\bullet ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\alpha \smile \beta \smile \gamma) &= \Delta(\alpha \smile \beta) \smile \gamma + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \smile \Delta(\beta \smile \gamma) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|\beta|(|\alpha|-1)} \beta \smile \Delta(\alpha \smile \gamma) - \Delta(\alpha) \smile \beta \smile \gamma \\ &\quad - (-1)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \smile \Delta(\beta) \smile \gamma - (-1)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|} \alpha \smile \beta \smile \Delta(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where $|\alpha|$ denotes the degree of a homogeneous element $\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^\bullet$.

Remark 3. For each BV algebra $(\mathcal{H}^\bullet, \smile, \Delta)$, we can associate a graded Lie bracket $[\ , \]$ of degree -1 as

$$[\alpha, \beta] := (-1)^{|\alpha||\beta|+|\alpha|+|\beta|} \left((-1)^{|\alpha|+1} \Delta(\alpha \smile \beta) + (-1)^{|\alpha|} \Delta(\alpha) \smile \beta + \alpha \smile \Delta(\beta) \right),$$

where α, β are homogeneous elements of \mathcal{H}^\bullet . It follows from [?, Proposition 1.2] that the bracket $[\ , \]$ above makes $(\mathcal{H}^\bullet, \smile, [\ , \])$ into a Gerstenhaber algebra.

Definition 4. Let M be an A -bimodule. We define two complexes $(C^*(A, M), \delta^*)$ and $(C_*(A, M), \partial_*)$ as follows:

$$C^*(A, M) : C^0(A, M) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C^1(A, M) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C^m(A, M) \xrightarrow{\delta^m} C^{m+1}(A, M) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where

$$C^0(A, M) := \text{Hom}(k, M) \cong M, \quad C^m(A, M) := \text{Hom}(\overline{A}^{\otimes m}, M),$$

$$\delta^n(f)(\overline{a}_{1, n+1}) = a_1 f(\overline{a}_{2, n+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i f(\overline{a}_{1, i-1} \otimes \overline{a}_i \overline{a}_{i+1} \otimes \overline{a}_{i+2, n}) + (-1)^{n+1} f(\overline{a}_{1, n}) a_{n+1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$C_*(A, M) : \cdots \rightarrow C_n(A, M) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} C_{n-1}(A, M) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_1(A, M) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(A, M),$$

where

$$C_0(A, M) := M, \quad C_n(A, M) := M \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes n},$$

$$\partial_n(m \otimes \overline{a}_{1, n}) = m a_1 \otimes \overline{a}_{2, n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i m \otimes \overline{a}_{1, i-1} \otimes \overline{a}_i \overline{a}_{i+1} \otimes \overline{a}_{i+2, n} + (-1)^n a_n m \otimes \overline{a}_{1, n-1}.$$

The n -th *Hochschild (co)homology group* $H_*(A, M)$ (resp. $H^*(A, M)$) of A with coefficients in M is defined by the n -th cohomology group of $C_*(A, M)$ (resp. $C^*(A, M)$).

The *normalized bar resolution* $\text{Bar}(A)$ of A is a projective resolution of A as an A -bimodule with components $\text{Bar}_n(A) := A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes n} \otimes A$ and differentials $d_n : \text{Bar}_n(A) \rightarrow$

$\text{Bar}_{n-1}(A)$ given by

$$d_n(a_0 \otimes \bar{a}_{1,n} \otimes a_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i a_0 \otimes \bar{a}_{1,i-1} \otimes \overline{a_i a_{i+1}} \otimes \bar{a}_{i+2,n} \otimes a_{n+1}.$$

It is easy to check that the two complexes $C^*(A, M)$ and $C_*(A, M)$ are isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(\text{Bar}(A), M)$ and $\text{Bar}(A) \otimes_{A^e} M$. This implies that $H^*(A, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{A^e}^*(A, M)$ and $H_*(A, M) \cong \text{Tor}_*^{A^e}(A, M)$.

Definition 5 ([?]). let σ be an automorphism of A . Define a k -linear map

$$B_r^\sigma : C_r(A, A_\sigma) \rightarrow C_{r+1}(A, A_\sigma)$$

by

$$B_r^\sigma(a_0 \otimes \bar{a}_{1,r}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{ir} 1 \otimes \bar{a}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{a}_r \otimes \bar{a}_0 \otimes \overline{\sigma(a_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{\sigma(a_{i-1})}.$$

We call B^σ the *Conne operator twisted by σ* and write $B := B^{\text{id}_A}$. Let $T : C_r(A, A_\sigma) \rightarrow C_r(A, A_\sigma)$ be the k -linear map defined by

$$T(a_0 \otimes \bar{a}_{1,r}) = \sigma(a_0) \otimes \overline{\sigma(a_1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{\sigma(a_r)}.$$

A direct calculation shows that $\partial_{r+1} B_r^\sigma - B_{r-1}^\sigma \partial_r = (-1)^{r+1}(\text{id} - T)$ for all $r \geq 0$.

We end this section with recalling Wang's result, which says that Tate-Hochschild cohomology $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}}^\bullet(A, A)$ carries a Gerstenhaber algebra structure.

Theorem 6 ([?, ?]). *There exists a graded map*

$$[\ ,]_{\text{sg}} : \underline{\text{Ext}}_{A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}}^\bullet(A, A) \otimes \underline{\text{Ext}}_{A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}}^\bullet(A, A) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Ext}}_{A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}}^\bullet(A, A)$$

of degree -1 such that the triple $(\underline{\text{Ext}}_{A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}}^\bullet(A, A), \smile_{\text{sg}}, [\ ,]_{\text{sg}})$ forms a Gerstenhaber algebra, where \smile_{sg} denotes the Yoneda product.

3. COMPLETE COHOMOLOGY OF A FROBENIUS ALGEBRA

Recall that a k -algebra A is a *Frobenius algebra* if there exists a non-degenerate bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle : A \otimes A \rightarrow k$ satisfying $\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle a, bc \rangle$ for a, b and $c \in A$. The bilinear form gives rise to a left A -module isomorphism $t : A \rightarrow D(A)$ given by $x \mapsto \langle -, x \rangle$, where the left (right) A -module structure of $D(A)$ is given by $(af)(x) := f(xa)$ ($(fa)(x) := f(ax)$). For a k -basis $\{u_i\}_i$ of A , we have another k -basis $\{v_i\}_i$ such that $\langle v_i, u_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker delta. We call $\{v_i\}_i$ the *dual basis* of $\{u_i\}_i$. It is known that there exists an algebra automorphism ν , the so-called the *Nakayama automorphism*, of A such that $\langle a, b \rangle = \langle b, \nu(a) \rangle$ for $a, b \in A$. Then the Nakayama automorphism ν of A makes the left A -module isomorphism $t : A \rightarrow D(A)$ into an A -bimodule isomorphism ${}_1 A_\nu \rightarrow D(A)$. A Frobenius algebra A is called *symmetric* if the Nakayama automorphism of A is the identity id_A .

Definition 7. Let A be a Frobenius k -algebra.

(1) A complete resolution \mathbf{T} of A as an A -bimodule is an exact sequence

$$\mathbf{T} : \cdots \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow T_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} T_0 \begin{array}{c} \longrightarrow T_{-1} \longrightarrow T_{-2} \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \searrow \quad \nearrow \\ A \end{array}$$

where $T_{\geq 0}$ is a projective resolution of A and $T_{< 0}$ is a (-1) -shifted injective resolution of A (see [?] for more general cases).

(2) For $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, the r -th complete cohomology group of A is defined by the r -th cohomology group of the cochain complex $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbf{T}, A)$ and denoted by $\widehat{\text{HH}}^r(A, A)$.

Remark that the well-definedness of complete cohomology groups of A follows from [?, Lemma 5.3]. Using the normalized bar resolution $\text{Bar}(A)$, Nakayama [?] constructed the complete bar resolution \mathbf{X} of a Frobenius algebra A defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & X_r & \xrightarrow{d_r} & X_{r-1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \xrightarrow{d_1} & X_0 & \xrightarrow{d_0} & X_{-1} & \xrightarrow{d_{-1}} & \cdots & \longrightarrow & X_{-s} & \xrightarrow{d_{-s}} & X_{-s-1} & \rightarrow & \cdots \\ & & & & & & & & \downarrow \varepsilon & & \uparrow D(\varepsilon) & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & A & \xrightarrow[\sim]{t} & {}_1D(A)_{\nu-1} & & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

where

$$X_r := \text{Bar}_r(A) \quad (r \geq 0), \quad X_{-s} := {}_1D(\text{Bar}_{s-1}(A))_{\nu-1} \quad (s \geq 1),$$

$$D(\varepsilon)(f) = f\varepsilon \quad (f \in {}_1D(A)_{\nu-1}), \quad d_0 = D(\varepsilon)t\varepsilon, \quad d_{-s}(g) = gd_s \quad (g \in X_{-s}).$$

Sanada [?, Lemma1.1] proved that $\text{Hom}_{A^e}(\mathbf{X}, A)$ is isomorphic to the cochain complex

$$\cdots \rightarrow C_2(A, {}_1A_{\nu-1}) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} C_1(A, {}_1A_{\nu-1}) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} {}_1A_{\nu-1} \xrightarrow{\mu} A \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C^1(A, A) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} C^2(A, A) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where $\mu : {}_1A_{\nu-1} \rightarrow A$ is given by $\mu(x) := \sum_i u_i x v_i$ and A is of degree 0. This complex will be denoted by $(\mathcal{D}^*(A, A), \widehat{d}^*)$. Clearly, we have $\widehat{\text{HH}}^r(A, A) = \text{H}^r(A, A)$ for $r \geq 1$ and $\widehat{\text{HH}}^r(A, A) = \text{H}_{-r-1}(A, {}_1A_{\nu-1})$ for $r \leq -2$.

The following is the product

$$\star : \mathcal{D}^*(A, A) \otimes \mathcal{D}^*(A, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^*(A, A)$$

introduced by Wang ([?]): let $f \in C^m(A, A)$, $g \in C^n(A, A)$ and $\alpha = a_0 \otimes \bar{a}_{1,p} \in C_p(A, {}_1A_{\nu-1})$, $\beta = b_0 \otimes \bar{b}_{1,q} \in C_q(A, {}_1A_{\nu-1})$.

(1) $(m, n \geq 0)$ $\star : C^m(A, A) \otimes C^n(A, A) \rightarrow C^{m+n}(A, A)$ is given by

$$(f \star g)(\bar{x}_{1, m+n}) := f(\bar{x}_{1, m})g(\bar{x}_{m+1, m+n});$$

(2) $(m \geq 0, p \geq 0, p \geq m)$

(a) $\star : C_p(A, {}_1A_{\nu-1}) \otimes C^m(A, A) \rightarrow C_{p-m}(A, {}_1A_{\nu-1})$ is given by

$$\alpha \star f := m\alpha(\bar{a}_{1,p}) \otimes \bar{a}_{p+1, r};$$

(b) $\star : C^m(A, A) \otimes C_p(A, {}_1A_{\nu-1}) \rightarrow C_{p-m}(A, {}_1A_{\nu-1})$ is given by

$$f \star \alpha := f(\bar{a}_{p-m+1, p})a_0 \otimes \bar{a}_{1, p-m};$$

(3) $(m \geq 0, p \geq 0, p < m)$

(a) $\star : C^m(A, A) \otimes C_p(A, {}_1A_{\nu^{-1}}) \rightarrow C^{m-p-1}(A, A)$ is given by

$$(f \star \alpha)(\bar{x}_{1, m-p-1}) := \sum_i f(\bar{x}_{1, m-p-1} \otimes \overline{u_i \nu(a_0)} \otimes \bar{a}_{1, p}) v_i ;$$

(b) $\star : C_p(A, {}_1A_{\nu^{-1}}) \otimes C^m(A, A) \rightarrow C^{m-p-1}(A, A)$ is given by

$$(\alpha \star f)(\bar{x}_{1, m-p-1}) := \sum_i u_i \nu(a_0) f(\bar{a}_{1, p} \otimes \bar{v}_i \otimes \bar{x}_{1, m-p-1}) ;$$

(4) $(p, q \geq 0) \star : C_p(A, {}_1A_{\nu^{-1}}) \otimes C_q(A, {}_1A_{\nu^{-1}}) \rightarrow C_{p+q+1}(A, {}_1A_{\nu^{-1}})$ is given by

$$\alpha \star \beta := \sum_i v_i b_0 \otimes \bar{b}_{1, q} \otimes \overline{u_i \nu(a_0)} \otimes \bar{a}_{1, p} .$$

Proposition 8 ([?, Lemma 6.2, Propositions 6.5 and 6.9]). *Let A be a Frobenius algebra. Then the product \star is compatible with the differentials \widehat{d} of $\mathcal{D}(A, A)$. Moreover, the induced product on $\widehat{\text{HH}}^\bullet(A, A)$, still denoted by \star , is graded commutative and associative. In particular, $(\widehat{\text{HH}}^\bullet(A, A), \star)$ is isomorphic to $(\underline{\text{Ext}}_{A^e}^\bullet(A, A), \smile_{\text{sg}})$ as graded algebras.*

4. MAIN RESULT

From now, A denotes a Frobenius k -algebra. Let us recall the result of Wang.

Theorem 9 ([?, ?]). *Let A be a symmetric k -algebra. Then the complete cohomology ring $(\widehat{\text{HH}}^\bullet(A, A), \star)$ is a BV algebra together with an operator $\widehat{\Delta}_* : \widehat{\text{HH}}^*(A, A) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{HH}}^{*-1}(A, A)$ defined by*

$$\widehat{\Delta}_r = \begin{cases} \Delta_r & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } r = 0, \\ (-1)^r B_{-r-1} & \text{if } r \leq -1, \end{cases}$$

where B_* is the Connes operator, and Δ_* defined in [?] is the dual of the Connes operator B_{*-1} . In particular, the induced Gerstenhaber algebra is isomorphic to the one on $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}}^\bullet(A, A)$.

In the case that A is a symmetric algebra, the Nakayama automorphism ν of A is the identity id_A , and hence $\widehat{\Delta}$ defined on $\mathcal{D}^*(A, A)$ can be always lifted to the homology level. In general, $\widehat{\Delta}$ can not be necessarily defined on the homology level. For this, we use the subcomplexes of $C^*(A, A)$ and $C_*(A, {}_1A_{\nu^{-1}})$ defined in [?]: let Λ be the set of eigenvalues of the Nakayama automorphism ν of A . We assume that $\Lambda \subset k$. Let $\widehat{\Lambda} := \langle \Lambda \rangle$ be the submonoid of k^\times generated by Λ . For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with eigenspace A_λ , we write $\bar{A}_\lambda = A_\lambda$ for $\lambda \neq 1$ and $\bar{A}_1 = A_1/(k \cdot 1_A)$ for $\lambda = 1$. For any automorphism σ of A and $\mu \in \widehat{\Lambda}$, we define subcomplexes

$$C_r^{(\mu)}(A, {}_1A_\sigma) := \bigoplus_{\mu_i \in \Lambda, \prod \mu_i = \mu} A_{\mu_0} \otimes \bar{A}_{\mu_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{A}_{\mu_r},$$

$$C_{(\mu)}^r(A, A) := \{f \in C^r(A, A) \mid f(\bar{A}_{\mu_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{A}_{\mu_r}) \subset A_{\mu \mu_1 \cdots \mu_r}, \text{ for any } \mu_i \in \Lambda\}.$$

The n -th homology groups of $C_*^{(\mu)}(A, {}_1A_\sigma)$ and $C_*^{(\mu)}(A, A)$ are denoted by $H_n^{(\mu)}(A, {}_1A_\sigma)$ and $H_n^{(\mu)}(A, A)$, respectively.

Proposition 10. *For any automorphism σ of A , the restriction of $B^\sigma : C_*(A, {}_1A_\sigma) \rightarrow C_{*+1}(A, {}_1A_\sigma)$ to $C_*^{(1)}(A, A_\sigma)$ induces an operator*

$$B^\sigma : H_*^{(1)}(A, A_\sigma) \rightarrow H_{*+1}^{(1)}(A, A_\sigma),$$

and it satisfies $(B^\sigma)^2 = 0$.

For any $\mu \in \widehat{\Lambda}$, we define a subspace $\mathcal{D}_{(\mu)}^*(A, A)$ of $\mathcal{D}^*(A, A)$ as follows: for any $\mu \in \widehat{\Lambda}$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{(\mu)}^r(A, A) := \begin{cases} C_{(\mu)}^r(A, A) & \text{if } r \geq 0, \\ C_{-r-1}^{(\mu)}(A, {}_1A_\sigma) & \text{if } r \leq -1. \end{cases}$$

One easily check that $\mathcal{D}_{(\mu)}^*(A, A)$ is a subcomplex of $\mathcal{D}^*(A, A)$. We denote $\widehat{\text{HH}}_{(\mu)}^r(A, A) := H^r(\mathcal{D}_{(\mu)}^*(A, A))$. Using the results of Lambre-Zhou-Zimmermann in [?] yields the following.

Proposition 11. *If the Nakayama automorphism ν of A is diagonalizable, then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *For $\mu \neq 1 \in \widehat{\Lambda}$, we get $\widehat{\text{HH}}_{(\mu)}^*(A, A) = 0$.*
- (2) *There exists an isomorphism $\widehat{\text{HH}}_{(1)}^*(A, A) \cong \widehat{\text{HH}}^*(A, A)$.*

A direct computation shows that the product \star on $\widehat{\text{HH}}^*(A, A)$ restricts to $\star_{\mu, \mu'} : \widehat{\text{HH}}_{(\mu)}^*(A, A) \otimes \widehat{\text{HH}}_{(\mu')}^*(A, A) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{HH}}_{(\mu\mu')}^*(A, A)$ for any μ and $\mu' \in \widehat{\Lambda}$. Putting $\star_1 := \star_{1,1}$, we get the following.

Proposition 12. *If the Nakayama automorphism ν of A is diagonalizable, then we have an isomorphism $(\widehat{\text{HH}}_{(1)}^*(A, \star_1) \cong (\widehat{\text{HH}}^*(A, \star)$ of graded algebras.*

We are now ready to prove our main result. Using Lambre-Zhou-Zimmermann's BV differential Δ^ν on $H_{(1)}^*(A, A)$ and the twisted Connes operator $B^{\nu^{-1}}$ on $H_*^{(1)}(A, {}_1A_{\nu^{-1}})$, we have the following.

Theorem 13. *Let A be a Frobenius k -algebra. If the Nakayama automorphism ν is diagonalizable, then the graded commutative ring $(\widehat{\text{HH}}_{(1)}^*(A, A), \star_1)$ is a BV algebra together with an operator $\widehat{\Delta}_* : \widehat{\text{HH}}_{(1)}^*(A, A) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{HH}}_{(1)}^{*-1}(A, A)$ defined by*

$$\widehat{\Delta}_r = \begin{cases} \Delta_r^\nu & \text{if } r \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } r = 0, \\ (-1)^i B_{-r-1}^{\nu^{-1}} & \text{if } r \leq -1, \end{cases}$$

where $B^{\nu^{-1}}$ is the Connes operator twisted by ν^{-1} , and Δ_*^ν defined in [?] is the dual of the Connes operator B^ν twisted by ν . In particular, the induced Gerstenhaber algebra is isomorphic to the one on $\underline{\text{Ext}}_{A \otimes_k A^{\text{op}}}^*(A, A)$.

Since $\widehat{\text{HH}}^*(A, A) \cong \widehat{\text{HH}}_{(1)}^*(A, A)$ as graded algebras, we have our main result.

Corollary 14. *Let A be a Frobenius k -algebra whose Nakayama automorphism ν is diagonalizable. Then the complete cohomology ring $\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}^\bullet(A, A)$ of A is a BV algebra such that the induced Gerstenhaber algebra is isomorphic to the one on $\underline{\mathrm{Ext}}_{A \otimes_k A^{\mathrm{op}}}^\bullet(A, A)$.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First author's research was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 17K14175. Second author's research was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 17K05211.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. L. Avramov, A. Martsinkovsky, *Absolute, relative, and Tate cohomology of modules of finite Gorenstein dimension*, Proc. London Math. **85** (3) (2002), 393–440.
- [2] E. Getzler, *Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and two-dimensional topological field theories*, Comm. Math. Phys. **159** (1994), 265–285.
- [3] N. Kowalzing, U. Krähmer, *Batalin-Vilkovisky structures on Ext and Tor*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **697** (2014), 159–219.
- [4] T. Lambre, G. Zhou, A. Zimmermann, *The Hochschild cohomology ring of a Frobenius algebra with semisimple Nakayama automorphism is a Batalin–Vilkovisky algebra*, J. Algebra **446** (2016), 103–131.
- [5] T. Nakayama, *On the complete cohomology theory of Frobenius algebras*, Osaka Math. J. **9** (1957), 165–187.
- [6] K. Sanada, *On the cohomology of Frobenius algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **80** (1992), 65–88.
- [7] T. Tradler, *The Batalin-Vilkovisky algebra on Hochschild cohomology induced by infinity inner products*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **58** (7) (2008), 2351–2379.
- [8] Z. Wang, *Singular Hochschild cohomology and Gerstenhaber algebra structure*, arXiv:1508.00190 (2015), preprint.
- [9] ———, *Gerstenhaber algebra and Deligne's conjecture on Tate-Hochschild cohomology*, arXiv:1801.07990 (2018), preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCE DIVISION 1
TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE

1-3 KAGURAZAKA, SHINJUKU-KU, TOKYO 162-8601 JAPAN

E-mail address: titagaki@rs.tus.ac.jp, sanada@rs.tus.ac.jp, 1119702@ed.tus.ac.jp