

# ON LIFTABLE DG MODULES OVER A COMMUTATIVE DG ALGEBRA

MAIKO ONO

ABSTRACT. Let  $A$  be a DG algebra and  $B = A\langle X \mid dX = t \rangle$  be an extended DG algebra of  $A$  by the adjunction of a variable of positive even degree  $n$ . Let  $N$  be a semi-free DG  $B$ -module that is assumed to be bounded below as a graded module. In this article, we discuss a lifting problem for  $N$  in the situation  $A \rightarrow B$ . We explain how to construct an obstruction for lifting  $N$  to  $A$  as an element of  $\text{Ext}_B^{n+1}(N, N)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report is based on a joint work with Yuji Yoshino[7].

M. Auslander, S. Ding and Ø. Solberg [1] studied liftings and weak liftings of finitely generated modules over a commutative Noetherian algebra. Recently, S. Nasseh and S. Sather-Wagstaff [5], and S. Nasseh and Y. Yoshino [6] extended them to the case of DG modules over commutative DG algebras.

We fix a commutative ring  $R$ . Let  $A$  be a commutative DG  $R$ -algebra and  $X$  be a variable of degree  $|X|$ . Then one can construct  $B = A\langle X \mid dX = t \rangle$  denotes an extended DG  $R$ -algebra by adding the variable  $X$  with relation  $dX = t$ . See §2 below for more details. There is a natural DG algebra homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B$ .

We concern a lifting problem for  $A \rightarrow B = A\langle X \mid dX = t \rangle$ . In the both papers[5, 6], they only considered the lifting problem in such cases but with the assumption that  $|X|$  is *odd*. In this case,  $B$  is a Koszul complexes of  $A$ . They actually construct an obstruction for weakly lifting a semi-free DG  $B$ -module  $N$  to  $A$  as an element of  $\text{Ext}^{|X|+1}(N, N)$ .

In contrast, our main target in the present article is the lifting problem for  $A \rightarrow B = A\langle X \mid dX = t \rangle$  where  $|X|$  is positive and *even*. In this case,  $B$  is a free algebra over  $A$  with a divided powers variable  $X$  that resemble a polynomial ring over  $A$ . Let  $N$  be a semi-free DG  $B$ -module that is assumed to be bounded below as a graded module. The aim of this article is to explain how to construct an obstruction for lifting  $N$  to  $A$  as an element of  $\text{Ext}_B^{|X|+1}(N, N)$ . To do this, we introduce a certain operator on the set of graded  $R$ -linear endomorphisms on  $N$ , which is called the *j-operator*. Furthermore, we prove that such a lifting module is unique up to DG  $A$ -isomorphisms if  $\text{Ext}_B^{|X|}(N, N) = 0$ .

---

The detailed version of this paper will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

The author was partly supported by Foundation of Research Fellows, The Mathematical Society of Japan.

## 2. DG ALGEBRAS AND DG MODULES

In this article,  $R$  always means a commutative ring. All DG  $R$ -algebra in this article are meant to be a commutative DG algebra over  $R$ . We omit definitions of a DG  $R$ -algebra and a DG module; see [2, 4].

In this section, we summarize some materials which we will use in the next section. For a DG  $R$ -algebra  $A$  and a DG  $A$ -module  $M$ , we often denote by  $A^\natural$  the underlying graded  $R$ -algebra of  $A$  and by  $M^\natural$  the underlying graded  $A^\natural$ -module of  $M$ .

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be a DG module over a DG  $R$ -algebra  $A$ . We define the DG  $A$ -module  $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)$  as  $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)^\natural = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Grmod}A^\natural}(M^\natural, N^\natural(n))$  where  $\mathrm{Grmod}A^\natural$  denotes the category of graded  $A^\natural$ -modules and  $N^\natural(n)$  denotes the twist of  $N$  by  $n$ . The differential on  $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)$  is given by

$$\partial^{\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)}(f) = \partial^N \circ f - (-1)^{|f|} f \circ \partial^M$$

where  $f$  is a homogeneous  $A^\natural$ -linear homomorphism and  $|f|$  denotes the degree of  $f$ .

A DG  $A$ -module  $F$  is said to be *semi-free* if  $F^\natural$  has a graded  $A^\natural$ -free basis  $E$  which decomposes as a disjoint union  $E = \bigsqcup_{i \geq 0} E_i$  and satisfies  $\partial^F(E_i) \subseteq \sum_{j < i} A E_j$  for  $i \geq 0$ . For a semi-free DG  $A$ -module  $F$  and an integer  $n$ , we define the  $n$ -th self extension module by

$$\mathrm{Ext}_A^n(F, F) := H_{-n}(\mathrm{Hom}_A(F, F)).$$

One can define the  $n$ -th extension module  $\mathrm{Ext}_A^n(M, N)$  for arbitrary DG  $A$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  by a different condition. See [3] for more detail.

Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a DG algebra homomorphism and  $M$  be a DG  $A$ -module. The DG  $A$ -module  $B \otimes_A M$  is defined as follows: the underlying module  $(B \otimes_A M)^\natural$  is the tensor product  $B^\natural \otimes_{A^\natural} M^\natural$  of graded  $A^\natural$ -modules and its differential is given by

$$\partial^{B \otimes_A M}(b \otimes m) = d^B(b) \otimes m + (-1)^{|b|} b \otimes \partial^M(m)$$

where  $b$  is a homogeneous element in  $B$  and  $|b|$  denotes the degree of  $b$ . Then  $B \otimes_A M$  is regarded as a DG  $B$ -module via action  $b(b' \otimes m) = bb' \otimes m$  for  $b, b' \in B$  and  $m \in M$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $A \rightarrow B$  be a DG algebra homomorphism.

- (1) A semi-free DG  $B$ -module  $N$  is *liftable* to  $A$  if there is a semi-free DG  $A$ -module  $M$  such that  $N \cong B \otimes_A M$  as DG  $B$ -modules. In this case,  $M$  is called a lifting of  $N$  to  $A$ .
- (2) A semi-free DG  $B$ -module  $N$  is *weakly liftable* to  $A$  if there is a semi-free DG  $A$ -module  $M$  such that  $N$  is a direct summand of the DG  $B$ -module  $B \otimes_A M$ .

The following DG algebras are main objects of this article. Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra and  $t$  be a cycle in  $A$ , i.e.  $d^A(t) = 0$ . We construct an extended DG algebra  $B$  of  $A$  by the adjunction of a variable  $X$  with  $|X| = |t| + 1$  to kill the cycle  $t$  in the following way. See [2, 4, 8] for details. In both cases, we denote  $B$  by  $A\langle X \mid dX = t \rangle$ .

- (1) If  $|X|$  is odd, then  $B^\natural = A^\natural \oplus X A^\natural$  is the graded free  $A^\natural$ -module with basis  $\{1, X\}$  and with a multiplication structure:  $(a + Xb)(a' + Xb') = aa' + X(ba' + (-1)^{|a|} ab')$  for  $a, b, a', b' \in A$ . The differential on  $B$  is defined by  $d^B(a + Xb) = d^A(a) + tb - Xd^A(b)$  for  $a, b \in A$ .

- (2) If  $|X|$  is even, then  $B^\natural = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} X^{(i)} A^\natural$  is the graded free  $A^\natural$ -module with basis  $\{X^{(i)} : |X^{(i)}| = i|X|\}_{i \geq 0}$  and with a multiplication rule  $X^{(i)} X^{(j)} = \binom{i+j}{j} X^{(i+j)}$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Here we use the convention  $X^{(0)} = 1$ ,  $X^{(1)} = X$ . The differential on  $B$  is defined by  $d^B(X^{(i)}) = tX^{(i-1)}$  for  $i \geq 1$ .

In each case, there is a natural DG  $R$ -algebra homomorphism  $A \rightarrow B = A\langle X \mid dX = t \rangle$ .

As we have mentioned in the introduction, we concern the lifting problem in the situation  $A \rightarrow B = A\langle X \mid dX = t \rangle$  where  $|X|$  is even. Recently, S. Nasseh and Y. Yoshino have studied a weakly liftable condition for semi-free DG  $B$ -modules in the case where  $|X|$  is odd. See [6, Theorem 3.6].

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

We begin by establishing some notation to be used in this section.

**Notation 2.** Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra and  $t$  be a cycle in  $A$  of odd degree. We denote by  $B = A\langle X \mid dX = t \rangle$  an extended DG algebra of  $A$  by the adjunction of a variable  $X$  that kills the cycle  $t$ . Note that  $|X| = |t| + 1$  is positive even. Let  $N$  be a semi-free DG  $B$ -module. Since  $N$  is a graded free  $B^\natural$ -module, there is a graded free  $A^\natural$ -module  $M$  satisfying  $N^\natural = B^\natural \otimes_{A^\natural} M$  as graded  $B^\natural$ -modules.

In the rest of this article, we work in the setting of Notation 2.

Since  $|X|$  is even, note that

$$(3.1) \quad B^\natural = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} X^{(i)} A^\natural$$

where the right hand side is a direct sum of right  $A^\natural$ -modules. From the decomposition (3.1),  $N^\natural$  can be described as follows;

$$(3.2) \quad N^\natural = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} X^{(i)} M.$$

Now let  $r$  be an integer and let  $f$  be a graded  $R$ -linear homomorphism from  $N^\natural$  to  $N^\natural(r)$ , that is,  $f$  is  $R$ -linear with  $f(N_n) \subseteq N_{n+r}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Given such an  $f$ , we consider the restriction of  $f$  on  $M$ . Along the decomposition (3.2), one can decompose  $f|_M$  into the following form:

$$(3.3) \quad f|_M = \sum_{i \geq 0} X^{(i)} f_i,$$

where each  $f_i$  is a graded  $R$ -linear homomorphism from  $M$  to  $M(r - i|X|)$ . For  $m \in M$ , there is a unique decomposition  $f(m) = \sum_i X^{(i)} m_i$  with  $m_i \in M$  along (3.2). Then  $f_i$  is defined by  $f_i(m) = m_i$ . Note that the decomposition (3.3) is unique as long as we work under the fixed setting (3.2). We call the equality (3.3) *the expansion of  $f|_M$*  and often call  $f_0$  *the constant term of  $f|_M$* .

Taking the expansion of  $f|_M$  as in (3.3), we consider a graded  $R$ -linear homomorphism

$$\frac{d}{dX} f|_M = \sum_{i \geq 0} X^{(i)} f_{i+1}.$$

Note that  $\frac{d}{dX}f|_M$  is a mapping from  $M$  to  $N(r - |X|)$ . The mapping  $\frac{d}{dX}f|_M$  can be extended to an  $R$ -linear mapping  $j(f)$  on  $N$  by setting  $j(f)(X^{(i)}m_i) = X^{(i)}\frac{d}{dX}f|_M(m_i)$  for each  $i \geq 0$  and  $m_i \in M$ . Thus we have a graded  $R$ -linear homomorphism  $j(f)$  from  $N$  to  $N(r - |X|)$ .

Summing up the argument above, we define the  $j$ -operator on  $\text{Hom}_R(N, N)$  as follows:

**Definition 3.** We work in the setting of Notation 2. Then one can define a graded  $R$ -linear mapping  $j : \text{Hom}_R(N, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, N)(-|X|)$ , which we call the  $j$ -operator on  $\text{Hom}_R(N, N)$ .

*Remark 4.* The notion of  $j$ -operator was first introduced by J. Tate in the paper [8] and extensively used by T.H. Gulliksen and G. Levin [4].

We say that a graded  $R$ -linear mapping  $\delta : N \rightarrow N(-1)$  is a  $B$ -derivation if it satisfies  $\delta(bn) = d^B(b)n + (-1)^{|b||\delta|}b\delta(n)$  for  $b \in B$  and  $n \in N$ . Then  $\text{Der}_B(N)$  denotes the set of all  $B$ -derivations on  $N$ . Recall that  $\text{Hom}_B(N, N)$  is a set of the all  $B^{\natural}$ -linear endomorphisms on  $N$ . We note that both  $\text{Hom}_B(N, N)$  and  $\text{Der}_B(N)$  are subsets of  $\text{Hom}_R(N, N)$ .

**Lemma 5.** *The following assertions hold for  $f, g \in \text{Hom}_B(N, N)$  and  $\delta, \delta' \in \text{Der}_B(N)$ .*

- (1)  $f = g$  if and only if  $f|_M = g|_M$ .
- (2)  $\delta = \delta'$  if and only if  $\delta|_M = \delta'|_M$ .

We summarize some properties of the  $j$ -operator.

**Lemma 6.** *The following assertions hold.*

- (1) If  $f$  is in  $\text{Hom}_B(N, N)$ , then so is  $j(f)$ .
- (2) If  $\delta$  is in  $\text{Der}_B(N)$ , then  $j(\delta)$  is in  $\text{Hom}_B(N, N)$  and the constant term  $\delta_o$  of the expansion of  $\delta|_M$  is an  $A$ -derivation on  $M$ .

**Lemma 7.** *The following equalities hold for  $f, g \in \text{Hom}_B(N, N)$  and  $\delta, \delta' \in \text{Der}_B(N)$ .*

- (1)  $j(fg) = j(f)g + fj(g)$ .
- (2)  $j(\delta\delta')|_M = j(\delta)\delta'|_M + \delta j(\delta')|_M$ .
- (3) If  $f$  is invertible, then  $j(f\delta f^{-1}) = j(f)\delta f^{-1} + fj(\delta)f^{-1} + f\delta j(f^{-1})$ .

The differential mapping  $\partial^N$  on  $N$  is a  $B$ -derivation. From Lemma 7, we see that  $j(\partial^N)$  is  $B^{\natural}$ -linear. This specific element of  $\text{Hom}_B(N, N)$  will be a key object when we consider the lifting property of  $N$  in the following argument. This is the reason why we make the following definition of  $\Delta_N$  as

$$(3.4) \quad \Delta_N := j(\partial^N).$$

Recall again from Lemma 7 that  $\Delta_N$  is a  $B^{\natural}$ -linear endomorphism on  $N$  such that  $|\Delta_N| = -|X| - 1$  is an odd integer.

*Remark 8.* The exactly same definition was made by S. Nasseh and Y. Yoshino in the case where  $|X|$  is odd. See [6, Convention 2.5].

**Lemma 9.** *It holds that*

$$\Delta_N \partial^N = -\partial^N \Delta_N.$$

Hence  $\Delta_N$  is a cycle of degree  $-|X| - 1$  in  $\text{Hom}_B(N, N)$ .

*Proof.* By using Proposition 7(2), we see that  $0 = j(\partial^N \partial^N)|_M = j(\partial^N) \partial^N|_M + \partial^N j(\partial^N)|_M$ . The mapping  $j(\partial^N)$  is  $B$ -linear from Lemma 6. It is easily seen that  $j(\partial^N) \partial^N + \partial^N j(\partial^N)$  is also  $B$ -linear. Hence we conclude that  $j(\partial^N) \partial^N + \partial^N j(\partial^N) = 0$  from Lemma 5(1). By definition,  $\Delta_N = j(\partial^N)$  is a cycle of degree  $-|X| - 1$  in  $\text{Hom}_B(N, N)$ .  $\square$

The following is basic and crucial for our lifting problem.

**Lemma 10.** *In the setting of Notation 2, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\Delta_N = 0$  as an element of  $\text{Hom}_B(N, N)$ .
- (2) The graded  $A$ -module  $M$  has structure of a DG  $A$ -module and  $N = B \otimes_A M$  holds as an equality of DG  $B$ -modules.

*Proof.* We show only the implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). In the expansion  $\partial^N|_M = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} X^{(i)} \alpha_i$ , that  $\Delta_N = 0$  implies that  $\alpha_i = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Therefore  $\partial^N|_M = \alpha_0$  is an  $A$ -derivation on  $M$  and  $(M, \alpha_0)$  defines a DG  $A$ -module. Moreover we have  $\partial^N = B \otimes_A \alpha_0$ . Thus  $N = B \otimes_A M$  as DG  $B$ -modules. Similarly, one can prove the converse (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).  $\square$

We denote by  $[\Delta_N]$  a cohomology class in  $\text{Ext}_B^{|X|+1}(N, N) = H_{-|X|-1}(\text{Hom}_B(N, N))$  which is defined by  $\Delta_N$  from Lemma 9. As we show in the following main theorem the class  $[\Delta_N]$  gives a precise obstruction for  $N$  to be liftable to  $A$ .

**Theorem 11.** *We work in the setting of Notation 2. We consider the following conditions:*

- (1)  $N$  is liftable to  $A$ .
- (2)  $[\Delta_N] = 0$  in  $\text{Ext}_B^{|X|+1}(N, N)$ .
- (3)  $N$  is weakly liftable to  $A$ .

*Then the implications (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftarrow$  (3) hold. If  $N$  is bounded below as a graded module, then the implications (1)  $\Leftarrow$  (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) hold true.*

We omit the proof of Theorem 11. See [7] for details. The next proposition is a key to prove the implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) in this theorem.

**Proposition 12.** *We work in the setting of Notation 2. Let  $f$  be in  $\text{Hom}_B(N, N)$  of degree  $-|X|$  and  $h$  be in  $\text{Hom}_A(M, M)$  of degree 0. Then there is a graded  $B^{\natural}$ -linear endomorphism  $g$  of degree 0 on  $N$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1)  $j(g) = gf$ .
- (2) The constant term of the expansion of  $g|_M$  is  $h$ .

We showed the uniqueness of liftings.

**Theorem 13.** *We work in the setting of Notation 2. If  $N$  is liftable to  $A$  and  $\text{Ext}_B^{|X|}(N, N) = 0$ , then a lifting of  $N$  is unique up to DG isomorphisms over  $A$ .*

Finally, we pose an open question.

*Question 14.* Let  $A$  be a DG  $R$ -algebra. We denote by  $B = A\langle X_1, \dots, X_n | dX_1 = t_1, \dots, dX_n = t_n \rangle$  an extended DG  $R$ -algebra obtained by repeated the adjunction of free variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Let  $N$  be a semi-free DG  $B$ -module. If  $\text{Ext}_B^i(N, N) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ , then does it hold that  $N$  is weakly liftable to  $A$ ?

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. AUSLANDER, S. DING AND Ø. SOLBERG, *Liftings and Weak Liftings of Modules*, J. Algebra 156 (1993), no. 2, 273-317.
- [2] L.L. AVRAMOV, *Infinite free resolution*, in: Six Lecture on Commutative Algebra, Bellaterra, 1996, in: Progr. Math., vol 166, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998, pp.1–118, MR 99m:13022.
- [3] L.L. AVRAMOV AND L-C. SUN, *Cohomology operators defined by a deformation*, J. Algebra **204**(2) (1998), 684–710.
- [4] TOR H. GULLIKSEN AND G. LEVIN, *Homology of local rings*, Queen's Paper in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol.20, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada, 1969.
- [5] S. NASSEH AND S. SATHER-WAGSTAFF, *Liftings and quasi-liftings of DG modules*, J. Algebra **373** (2013), 162–182.
- [6] S. NASSEH AND Y. YOSHINO, *Weak liftings of DG modules*, J. Algebra **502** (2018), 233–248.
- [7] M. Ono and Y. Yoshino, *A lifting problem for DG modules*, arXiv:1805.05658.
- [8] J. TATE, *Homology of Noetherian rings and local rings*, Illinois J. Math. **1** (1957), 14–27.

OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY  
OKAYAMA 700-8530 JAPAN

*E-mail address:* onomaiko@s.okayama-u.ac.jp