

A new framework of partially additive algebraic geometry

Shingo Okuyama

National Institute of Technology, Kagawa College

2022.9.7

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Partial Monoids
- 3 Partial Rings
- 4 Partial Schemes
- 5 GL_n

Introduction

\mathbb{Z}	$\mathbb{F}_q[X]$	(holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C})
\mathbb{Q}	$\mathbb{F}_q(X)$	(meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C})
?	curves over \mathbb{F}_q	curves over \mathbb{C} (Riemann Surface)
a prime $p \in \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$	$x \in \mathbb{F}_q$	$P \in \mathbb{C}$
$f(p) = [f \bmod p] \in \mathbb{Z}/(p)$	$f(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q$	$f(P) \in \mathbb{C}$

(c.f. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/function+field+analogy>)

Is there a field k such that \mathbb{Z} is a ring of regular functions of a curve over k ?

Partially additive ring A : a ring in which restricted pairs of elements are summable

- ideals, prime ideals, localization
- the Zariski topology on the set X of prime ideals of A , the sheaf of partial rings \mathcal{O}_X on X ,
- “affine partial scheme” $\text{Spec } A = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$
- “partial scheme”

→ We construct

- The projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(A)$ as a partial scheme

- The general linear group $\mathbb{GL}_n(A)$ as a partial group partial scheme

Field of characteristic one

- (1951) Steinberg : An analogy between the characters of \mathfrak{S}_n and those of $GL_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$.
- (1957) J.Tits : *Les groupes de Chevalley sur le “corps de caractéristique 1”* . For any Chevalley group G , $G(\mathbb{F}_1)$ should be the Weyl group $W(G)$.
- (1992) Smirnov : An application of hypothetical \mathbb{F}_1 -geometry to ABC-conjecture.
- (1995) Manin : based on the “beautiful recent idea of Deninger-Kurokawa”, he studies absolute motives, zeta functions over \mathbb{F}_1 , and its application to Riemann conjecture.
- (2003) Kurokawa-Ochiai-Wakayama : “Absolute Derivations.”
- 黒川信重：「絶対数学」

- (1999) Soulé, (2010) Conne-Consani : a variety over \mathbb{F}_1 is (a functor $\text{Ring} \rightarrow \text{FinSet}$) + (an algebra A_X/\mathbb{C}) + (an affine scheme $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$) + (nat. trans. $X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A_X, - \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C})$) + \dots such that \dots
- (1994) Kato, (2005) Deitmar : \mathbb{F}_1 -schemes are defined as usual, but use commutative monoids instead of commutative rings,
- (2007) Durov : he uses a category of self-functors on Set (an algebraic monads) as the substitute for commutative rings
- (2007) Haran : using a category with some conditions (a generalization of the category of modules over a ring R), defines the set of prime ideals and Zariski topology on it.

- (2009) Borger : λ -structure on a scheme over \mathbb{Z} is a descent data $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_1$,
- (2009) Toën-Vaquié : for any symmetric monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, 1)$, put $\text{Aff}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}\text{Mon}(\mathcal{C}^{op})$ and Grothendieck topology on it, results in \mathbb{F}_1 -schemes when the category is $(\text{Set}_0, \times, *)$
- (2012) Lorscheid : "Blueprints", (2013) Deitmar : "Sesquiads" : A blueprint is an equivalence relation on the semiring-semigroup $\mathbb{N}[A]$, where A is a commutative monoid. Then a scheme is defined analogously to the classical case.

$$\mathbb{F}_1 = \{ 0, 1 \},$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c|cc} \times & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}.$$

For them (who think of commutative monoids as “rings”),

(An “ \mathbb{F}_1 -module”) = (based set)

(A homomorphism between \mathbb{F}_1 -modules) = (a base preserving map)

$$\mathbb{F}_1^n = \{ 0, e_1, \dots, e_n \} =: n_+ \text{ (a based set)}$$

$$\text{End}(\mathbb{F}_1^n) = \{ \text{map between based sets } n_+ \rightarrow n_+ \}$$

$$\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_1) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}_1^n) = \{ \text{bijection between based sets } n_+ \rightarrow n_+ \} \cong \mathfrak{S}_n = W(\text{GL}_n)$$

On the same footing,

$$\#\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q) = 1 + q + \cdots + q^n \longrightarrow n + 1 \quad (q \rightarrow 1)$$

and

$$\#\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_1) = n + 1$$

by counting the number of lines through origin in $\mathbb{F}_1^{n+1} = (n + 1)_+$.

We give another explanation for these phenomena, which might be (hopefully) more reasonable.

module	\otimes	unit object	ring	geometry
Ab	\otimes	\mathbb{Z}	commutative ring / $CRing$	Varieties and Schemes — Grothendieck et. al.
Set	\times	$* = \{1\}$	commutative monoid / $CMon$	\mathbb{F}_1 -Schemes — Deitmar (2005)
$\mathcal{P}Mon$	\otimes	\mathbb{F}_1^+	“partial ring” / $\mathcal{P}Ring$	“partial scheme”

Partial Monoids

A **partial monoid** is

$(A, 0)$: a based set, A_2 : a subset of $A \times A$ and a map $+: A_2 \rightarrow A$ which enjoys the following properties

- 1 (Unit) $A \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times A \subseteq A_2$ and $a + 0 = a = 0 + a$,
- 2 (Commutative) $(a_1, a_2) \in A_2 \iff (a_2, a_1) \in A_2$ and $a_1 + a_2 = a_2 + a_1$,
- 3 (Associative)

$$(a_1, a_2) \in A_2, (a_1 + a_2, a_3) \in A_2 \iff (a_2, a_3) \in A_2, (a_1, a_2 + a_3) \in A_2$$

and $(a_1 + a_2) + a_3 = a_1 + (a_2 + a_3)$.

If 3 is not required, A is called a **partial magma**.

A map $f: A \rightarrow B$ between partial monoids (magmas) is a **homomorphism** if

- 1 $f(0) = 0$,
- 2 $(a_1, a_2) \in A_2 \implies (f(a_1), f(a_2)) \in B_2$ and
- 3 $f(a_1 + a_2) = f(a_1) + f(a_2), \forall (a_1, a_2) \in A_2$

Let $\mathcal{P}Mon$ ($\mathcal{P}Mag$) denote the category of partial monoids (magmas)

We have canonical fully faithful embeddings of categories

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathit{Set}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}Mon & \longleftarrow & \mathit{Ab}Mon & \longleftarrow & \mathit{Ab}Grp \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & \mathcal{P}Mag & & & & \end{array}$$

Examples (Partial Monoids)

- 1 Every based set $(A, 0)$ is a partial monoid with $A_2 = A \times \{0\} \cup \{0\} \times A$.
- 2 Every commutative monoid $(A, 0)$ is a partial monoid with $A_2 = A \times A$. (So is an abelian group.)
- 3 Every partial monoid of order 2 is isomorphic to one of the following:

$$\mathbb{F}_1^+ = \begin{array}{c|cc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \end{array}, \quad \mathbb{F}_2^+ = \begin{array}{c|cc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}, \quad \mathbb{B}^+ = \begin{array}{c|cc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}.$$

(We have inclusions $\mathbb{F}_1^+ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^+$ and $\mathbb{F}_1^+ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{B}^+$.)

- 4 For any abelian monoid M and any subset $A \subseteq M$ which contains 0, A is given a partial magma structure by putting

$$A_2 = \{ (a_1, a_2) \mid a_1 + a_2 \in A \}.$$

In general, A is not associative.

ex. $A = \{ 0, 1, 2, 3, 6 \} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Its “**associative closure**” is $A_a = \{ 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \}$ since

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + 2) + 3 &= 1 + (2 + 3) \\ &= 2 + (1 + 3) \end{aligned}$$

implies that $2 + 3 \in A_a$ and $1 + 3 \in A_a$.

Facts about $\mathcal{P}Mon$ ($\mathcal{P}Mag$)

- A homomorphism in $\mathcal{P}Mon$ ($\mathcal{P}Mag$) is monic if and only if it is an injective map (monic in Set).
- There exist homomorphisms in $\mathcal{P}Mon$ ($\mathcal{P}Mag$) that are monic and epic but not surjective. So $\mathcal{P}Mon$ ($\mathcal{P}Mag$) is not a balanced category.
ex. Two inclusions in

$$\mathbb{F}_1^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$$

are both monic and epic.

- $\mathcal{P}Mon$ ($\mathcal{P}Mag$) has all small limits and colimits.
- $\mathcal{P}Mag$ is a regular category, but $\mathcal{P}Mon$ is not.

Partial Rings

A **partial ring** is a partial monoid equipped with a bilinear, commutative, associative product with identity.

addition + multiplication

$$\mathcal{C}Mon \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}Ring \longleftarrow \mathcal{S}Ring \longleftarrow \mathcal{C}Ring$$

addition

$$Set_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}Mon \longleftarrow AbMon \longleftarrow Ab$$

A **weak partial ring** is defined similarly, but starting with a partial magma.

Examples (Partial Rings)

- 1 A commutative monoid with absorbing element 0 is a partial ring.
- 2 A commutative semiring is a partial ring. (So is a commutative ring.)
- 3 A partial ring of order 2 is one of $\mathbb{F}_1, \mathbb{F}_2$ and \mathbb{B} .

$$\mathbb{F}_1^+ = \begin{array}{c|cc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \end{array}, \quad \mathbb{F}_2^+ = \begin{array}{c|cc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array}, \quad \mathbb{B}^+ = \begin{array}{c|cc} + & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}, \quad \mathbb{F}_1^\bullet, \mathbb{F}_2^\bullet, \mathbb{B}^\bullet = \begin{array}{c|cc} \times & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}.$$

- 4 According to an **inaccurate investigation**, there are 17 non-isomorphic partial rings of order 3. Among them, only one is a ring, five are semirings which are not rings, and the remaining 11 are partial rings that are not semirings.

- 5 The interval $[-1, 1]$ is a weak partial subring of \mathbb{R} .
- 6 The interval $[0, 1]$ is a partial subring of \mathbb{R} .
- 7 The unit disk $D = \{ z \mid |z| \leq 1 \}$ is a weak partial subring of \mathbb{C} . (The inclusion $D \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the canonical morphism of the associative closure.)
- 8 Partial rings sitting between $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ and $\mathbb{N}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are quite useful, where $\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is the commutative monoid generated by indeterminates x_1, \dots, x_n with an absorbing element 0 adjoined, and $\mathbb{N}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ denotes the polynomial semiring of n indeterminates.
For example if $Z = \mathbb{F}_1 \langle x, y \mid x + y \rangle$ denotes the smallest partial subring of $\mathbb{N}[x, y]$ which contains $\langle x, y \rangle$ and in which $x + y$ exists, then there is a bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}Ring}(Z, A) \cong A_2$$

for any partial ring A .

A -modules

Let A be a partial ring. An A -**module** is a partial monoid M which admits a bilinear, associative and unital action of A on it. More precisely, a partial monoid M is an A -module if $a \cdot m \in M$ is determined for each $a \in A$ and $m \in M$, and

- 1 If $a \in A$ then $a \cdot 0 = 0$.
- 2 If $a \in A$ and $(m_1, m_2) \in M_2$, then $(a \cdot m_1, a \cdot m_2) \in M_2$ and $a \cdot (m_1 + m_2) = a \cdot m_1 + a \cdot m_2$.
- 3 If $m \in M$ then $0 \cdot m = 0$.
- 4 If $(a_1, a_2) \in A$ and $m \in M$, then $(a_1 \cdot m, a_2 \cdot m) \in M_2$ and $(a_1 + a_2) \cdot m = a_1 \cdot m + a_2 \cdot m$.
- 5 If $a, b \in A$ and $m \in M$, then $(ab) \cdot m = a \cdot (b \cdot m)$.
- 6 If $m \in M$ then $1 \cdot m = m$.

Examples (A -modules)

1 Direct product

$$A^n = \{ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid a_i \in A, \forall i \},$$
$$(A^n)_2 = \{ (a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_n) \in (A^n)^2 \mid (a_i, b_i) \in A_2, \forall i \}.$$

2 Summable n -tuples

$$A_n = \{ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n \mid a_1 + \dots + a_n \text{ is defined in } A \},$$
$$(A_n)_2 = A_{2n}.$$

This is a nice approximation to the dual of A^n .

Localization

Let A be a partial ring and S be a multiplicative subset. Let M be an A -module. We put

$$S^{-1}M = \left\{ \frac{m}{s} \mid m \in M, s \in S \right\}$$
$$(S^{-1}M)_2 = \left\{ \left(\frac{m}{s}, \frac{n}{t} \right) \in S^{-1}M^2 \mid \exists u \in S \text{ s.t. } (utm, usn) \in M_2 \right\}.$$

Then $S^{-1}M$ has the universality as usual.

Partial Schemes

An A -submodule of a partial ring A is called an **ideal**. An ideal $P \subseteq A$ is called a **prime ideal** if $A \setminus P$ is multiplicatively closed. Let $X = X_A$ denotes the set of prime ideals of A . We endow X a topology generated by

$$D(f) = \{ P \in X \mid f \notin P \}, f \in A.$$

For each open set $U \subseteq X$, we put $\mathcal{O}'(U) = S_U^{-1}A$ where $S_U = \{ s \in A \mid s \notin P, \forall P \in U \}$. Then \mathcal{O}'_X is a presheaf over the space X . Its sheafification is denoted by \mathcal{O}_X . Now the partial-ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is called an **affine partial scheme** and denoted by $\text{Spec } A$. Finally, a **partial scheme** is a locally partial-ringed space that is locally isomorphic to an affine partial scheme.

- 1 X_A is quasi-compact for any partial ring A .
- 2 For any $f \in A$, there is a natural homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_X(D(f)) \rightarrow S_f^{-1}A$, which is **injective**.
- 3 There is a bijection

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}arSch}(\mathrm{Spec} A, \mathrm{Spec} B) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}Ring}(B, \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{X_A}(\mathrm{Spec} A))).$$

- 4 If F is a “partial field”, then $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{X_F}(\mathrm{Spec} F))$ is naturally isomorphic to F , so that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}arSch}(\mathrm{Spec} F, \mathrm{Spec} B) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}Ring}(B, F).$$

\mathbb{P}^n

Let B be a partial subring of $\mathbb{N}[y_0, \dots, y_n]$ that contains $\langle y_0, \dots, y_n \rangle$;

$$\langle y_0, \dots, y_n \rangle \subseteq B \subseteq \mathbb{N}[y_0, \dots, y_n].$$

We give a grading to B so that $\deg y_i = 1$. We put $B^{(i)} = S_i^{-1}B$, where $S_i = \{ y_i^r \mid r \in \mathbb{N} \}$ and let $A^{(i)}$ denote the 0-th part of $B^{(i)}$. If $T_j = \{ (y_j/y_i)^r \mid r \in \mathbb{N} \}$ denotes the multiplicative subset of $A^{(i)}$, we have $T_i^{-1}A^{(j)} = T_j^{-1}A^{(i)} =: A^{(i,j)}$.

Now put $X_i = \text{Spec } A^{(i)}$ and glue them together on open partial subschemes $\text{Spec}(T_j^{-1}A^{(i)}) \subseteq X_i$. The resulting partial scheme is denoted by \mathbb{P}_B^n .

Remark. The above construction is a direct translation of the corresponding part of Mumford's **Red Book**.

Now let $B = \mathbb{F}_1 \langle y_0, \dots, y_n \mid y_0 + \dots + y_n \rangle$. (This means that we are using A_{n+1} as the “ambient space”.) Then

$$A^{(i)} = \{ \text{subsum of } (y_0/y_i + \dots + 1 + \dots + y_n/y_i)^r \mid r \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

and

$$A^{(i,j)} = \{ (\text{subsum of } (y_0/y_i + \dots + 1 + \dots + y_n/y_i)^r) \times (y_i/y_j)^s \mid r, s \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

If F is a partial field, then there is a one-to-one correspondence

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F\text{-valued points} \\ \text{Spec } F \rightarrow X_i \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{partial ring homomorphisms} \\ A^{(i)} \rightarrow F \end{array} \right\}$$

We put $A^{(i)}(F) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{PRing}}(A^{(i)}, F)$.

Then we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F\text{-valued points} \\ \text{Spec } F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n A_i(F) / \sim$$

as sets, where for $v_i \in A_i(F)$ and $v_j \in A_j(F)$, $v_i \sim v_j$ if there exists a homomorphism $v: A^{(i,j)} \rightarrow F$ such that $v|_{A^{(i)}} = v_i$ and $v|_{A^{(j)}} = v_j$. So we may write

$$\mathbb{P}^n(F) = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i(F) / \sim .$$

For any subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, we put

$$A_{i_1, \dots, i_r}(F) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{P}Ring} \left(A^{(i_1, \dots, i_r)}, F \right).$$

Then as sets,

$$A_{i_1, \dots, i_r}(F) \cong \left(\begin{array}{l} n\text{-tuples } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ for which} \\ 1 + x_1 + \dots + x_n \text{ can be calculated in } F \\ \text{and } x_1, \dots, x_{r-1} \neq 0 \end{array} \right).$$

For $F = \mathbb{F}_q$ (including the case $q = 1!$), we have that

$$\#A_{i_1, \dots, i_r}(F) = (\kappa - 1)^{r-1} \kappa^{n-r+1},$$

where $\kappa = \kappa(F)$ denotes the number of elements of F which is summable with 1.

Now we can calculate $\#\mathbb{P}^n(F)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\#\mathbb{P}^n(F) &= \sum_{r=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{r-1} \binom{n+1}{r} (\kappa - 1)^{r-1} \kappa^{n-r+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa - 1} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{r-1} \binom{n+1}{r} (\kappa - 1)^{r-1} \kappa^{n-r+1} + \kappa^{n+1} \right) \\ &= -\frac{(\kappa - (\kappa - 1))^{n+1} - \kappa^{n+1}}{\kappa - 1} \\ &= \frac{\kappa^{n+1} - 1}{\kappa - 1} = \kappa^n + \cdots + \kappa + 1.\end{aligned}$$

Of course, $\kappa(\mathbb{F}_q) = q$, where \mathbb{F}_q denotes the finite field with q elements, and $\kappa(\mathbb{F}_1) = 1$.

GL_n

Let A be a partial ring. An A -submodule of A_n given by

$$A_{(n)} = \left\{ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n \mid \begin{array}{l} (c_1 a_1, \dots, c_n a_n) \in A_n, \\ \forall (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in A^n \end{array} \right\}$$

is isomorphic to the dual A -module of A^n .

Accordingly, the A -module of the A -homomorphisms $A^n \rightarrow A^m$ is isomorphic to the A -module $M_{m,n}(A)$ of $m \times n$ -matrices whose rows are in $A_{(n)}$.

Unfortunately, the correspondence

$$A \mapsto A_{(n)}$$

is not a (*Set*-valued) functor.

Nor is the correspondence

$$A \mapsto M_{m,n}(A).$$

We say that a partial ring A is **good** if $A_n = A_{(n)}$.

- 1 Abelian monoids and commutative rings are good.
- 2 Every good partial field is a semifield or a commutative group.
- 3 There are good partial rings that are not abelian monoids nor commutative rings — $[0, 1]$ and $\mathbb{N}_1[x]$ are such.

The correspondence

$$A \mapsto A_n$$

is a (*Set*-valued) functor. So is the correspondence

$$A \mapsto M'_{m,n}(A),$$

where $M'_{m,n}(A)$ is the A -module of $m \times n$ matrices whose rows are in A_n .

We have that

- 1 $M_n(A) = M_{n,n}(A)$ is a non-commutative monoid.
- 2 $M'_n(A) = M'_{n,n}(A)$ is a **non-commutative partial magma**.
- 3 $M_n(A) = M'_n(A)$ for a good partial ring A .

Let $GL_n(A)$ denote the subset of $M_n(A)$ which consists of invertible matrices. Put $GL'_n(A) = GL_n(A) \cap M'_n(A)$.

Then we have that

- 1 $GL_n(A)$ is a non-commutative group.
- 2 $GL'_n(A)$ is a **non-commutative partial group**.
- 3 $GL_n(A) = GL'_n(A)$ for a good partial ring A .

Theorem

There exists a representable functor $\mathrm{GL}_n: \mathcal{P}Ring \rightarrow \mathcal{P}Grp$ which enjoys the following properties:

- 1 its restriction to the category of good partial rings factors through $\mathrm{GL}_n: \mathcal{P}Ring \rightarrow Grp$.*
- 2 $\mathrm{GL}_n(A)$ is the group of n -th general linear group with entries in A , if A is a commutative rings with 1, and*
- 3 $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_1) = \mathfrak{S}_n$ is n -th symmetric group.*

Thank you for your attention!