

The spectrum of the category of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules

Naoya Hiramatsu

National Institute of Technology, Kure College

The 55th Symposium on Ring Theory
and Representation Theory

- [1] HERZOG, I: *The Ziegler spectrum of a locally coherent Grothendieck category*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **74** (1997), no. 3, 503–558.
- [2] KRAUSE, H: *The spectrum of a locally coherent category*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **114** (1997), no. 3, 259–271.
- [3] PUNINSKI, G.: *The Ziegler Spectrum and Ringel's Quilt of the A-infinity Plane Curve Singularity*, Algebr Represent Theor **21**, 419–446 (2018).
- [4] LOS, I and PUNINSKI, G.: *The Ziegler spectrum of the D-infinity plane singularity*. Colloq. Math. **157** (2019), no. 1, 35–63.
- [5] NAKAMURA, T.: *Indecomposable pure-injective objects in stable categories of Gorenstein-projective modules over Gorenstein orders*. arXiv:2209.15630.

- [6] HIRAMATSU, N.: *Krull–Gabriel dimension of Cohen–Macaulay modules over hypersurfaces of countable Cohen–Macaulay representation type*. arXiv:2112.13504.
- [7] KOBAYASHI, T., LYLE, J. and TAKAHASHI, R.: *Maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules that are not locally free on the punctured spectrum*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 224, (2020).
- [8] YOSHINO, Y.: *Cohen–Macaulay Modules over Cohen–Macaulay Rings*, London Mathematical Society, Lecture Note Series, **146**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [9] YOSHINO, Y.: *A functorial approach to modules of G-dimension zero*, Illinois J. Math. **49** (2) 345–367, Summer 2005.

Setting i

In this talk, \mathbf{R} is a commutative complete Cohen-Macaulay local ring with algebraic residue field \mathbf{k} .

- All modules are "**finitely generated**" \mathbf{R} -modules.
- \mathcal{C} is the category of maximal Cohen-Macaulay (MCM) modules.

$$\mathcal{C} = \{M \mid \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{R}}^i(\mathbf{k}, M) = 0 \text{ for } i < \dim \mathbf{R}\}$$

Remark 1

- Since \mathbf{R} is complete, \mathcal{C} is a Krull-Schmidt category.

Setting ii

We consider the categories:

- $\text{mod}(\mathcal{C}) := \{F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{finitely presented} \\ \text{contravariant additive functors} \end{array}\}$.
- $\underline{\text{mod}}(\mathcal{C}) := \{F \in \text{mod}(\mathcal{C}) \mid F(\mathbf{R}) = \mathbf{0}\}$.

For $\forall F \in \text{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}})$, $\exists \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{L} \rightarrow \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ such that

$$\mathbf{0} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(_, \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(_, \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(_, \mathbf{N}) \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$$

is exact in $\text{mod}(\mathcal{C})$.

Auslander '86.

$\text{mod}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\underline{\text{mod}}(\mathcal{C})$ are abelian categories.

Remark 2

We denote by $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ the stable category of \mathcal{C} . The objects of $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ are the same as those of \mathcal{C} , and the morphisms

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N}) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{N}) / \{\mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{P} \rightarrow \mathbf{N} \text{ with } \mathbf{P} \text{ free}\}.$$

- The category $\underline{\mathrm{mod}}(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}})$.

$$\mathrm{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{mod}}(\mathcal{C}); \quad \mathbf{F} \mapsto \mathbf{F} \circ \iota,$$

where $\iota : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$.

- For $\forall \mathbf{F} \in \underline{\mathrm{mod}}(\mathcal{C})$ with

$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\ , \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(\ , \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$, we have an exact sequence $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{R}}(\ , \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbf{R}}(\ , \mathbf{L}) \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$.

In the rest of this slide, we denote $\mathrm{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}})$ instead of $\underline{\mathrm{mod}}(\mathcal{C})$.

We denote by $\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$ the set of isomorphism classes of the indecomposable MCM \mathbf{R} -modules except \mathbf{R} and $\mathbf{0}$.

$$\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C}) := \{\text{the indecomp. MCM } \mathbf{R}\text{-modules except } \mathbf{R} \text{ and } \mathbf{0}\} / \cong$$

Definition 1 (Krause '97)

The assignments

$$\Sigma : \mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}), \quad \gamma : \text{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C}))$$

are defined by

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{X}) := \{\mathbf{F} \in \text{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}) \mid \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ for } \forall \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X}\}$$

$$\gamma(\mathcal{F}) := \{\mathbf{M} \in \mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C}) \mid \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ for } \forall \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Remark 3

In this talk, we consider only finitely generated (pure-injective) modules. Therefore \mathcal{C} is not closed under arbitrary coproducts. In other words, \mathcal{C} ($\underline{\mathcal{C}}$) is not compactly generated. The studies in [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] have considered categories that are compactly generated. In fact, they consider infinitely generated modules.

Lemma 2

For the assignments Σ and γ , the following statements hold.

- ① $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Y} \Rightarrow \Sigma(\mathcal{X}) \supseteq \Sigma(\mathcal{Y})$.
- ② $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \gamma(\mathcal{F}) \supseteq \gamma(\mathcal{G})$.
- ③ $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X})$.
- ④ $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Sigma \circ \gamma(\mathcal{F})$. Moreover $\gamma(\mathcal{F}) = \gamma \circ \Sigma \circ \gamma(\mathcal{F})$.
- ⑤ $\forall \mathcal{X}$, $\Sigma(\mathcal{X})$ is a Serre subcategory in $\text{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}})$.

Proof.

(5) $\text{ev}_{\mathcal{X}} : \text{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{AB}; \mathbf{F} \mapsto \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{X})$ is exact. □

Main Theorem

Theorem A

Suppose that \mathbf{R} is Gorenstein. The assignment $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X})$ is a Kuratowski closure operator. That is,

- (i) $\gamma \circ \Sigma(\emptyset) = \emptyset$,
- (ii) $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X})$,
- (iii) $\gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}) = \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X}) \cup \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{Y})$,
- (iv) $\gamma \circ \Sigma(\gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X})) = \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X})$

hold for $\forall \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C}))$.

The assertions (i), (ii), and (iv) follow from the definition and the lemma above. We give (the sketch of) the proof (iii):

Remark 4

Let \mathbf{R} be a Gorenstein local ring. Then $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\mathbf{R}}(-, \mathbf{M}) \in \mathbf{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}})$ for $\forall \mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{C}$.

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{X}) := \{ \mathbf{F} \in \mathbf{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}) \mid \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ for } \forall \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{X} \}$$

$$\gamma(\mathcal{F}) := \{ \mathbf{M} \in \mathbf{Sp}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}) \mid \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{M}) = \mathbf{0} \text{ for } \forall \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{F} \}.$$

(Proof of (iii) $\gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}) = \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X}) \cup \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{Y})$)

The inclusion \supseteq follows from $\Sigma(\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y}) = \Sigma(\mathcal{X}) \cap \Sigma(\mathcal{Y})$.

To show \subseteq ,

- take $\mathbf{M} \in \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y})$. Note that \mathbf{M} is indecomposable.
- Assume that $\mathbf{M} \notin \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X}) \cup \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{Y})$. Then
 $\exists \mathbf{F} \in \Sigma(\mathcal{X}), \mathbf{G} \in \Sigma(\mathcal{Y})$ such that $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{M}) \neq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{M}) \neq \mathbf{0}$.

We construct the functor $\mathbf{H} \in \Sigma(\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y})$ such that $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{M}) \neq \mathbf{0}$ using \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} .

$$\Sigma(\mathcal{X}) := \{F \in \text{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}) \mid F(X) = 0 \text{ for } \forall X \in \mathcal{X}\}$$

Construct $H \in \Sigma(\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y})$ s.t. $H(M) \neq 0$

- By Yoneda's Lemma,

$$\exists f : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{R}}(-, M) \rightarrow F, \quad \exists g : \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{R}}(-, M) \rightarrow G.$$

- Taking pushout diagram in $\text{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{R}}(-, M) & \longrightarrow & \text{Im } f & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \text{Im } g & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

- Since $\text{Im } f \in \Sigma(\mathcal{X})$, $\text{Im } g \in \Sigma(\mathcal{Y})$, $H \in \Sigma(\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{Y})$.
- The exact sequence

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{R}}(-, M) \rightarrow \text{Im } f \oplus \text{Im } g \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0 \text{ shows } H(M) \neq 0.$$

□

Corollary 3

Let \mathbf{R} be Gorenstein. The assignment $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X})$ defines a topology on $\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$: a subset \mathcal{X} of $\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$ is closed if and only if $\gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$.

Remark 5

For a locally coherent category \mathcal{G} , A bijective correspondence between **closed subsets** in $\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{G})$ and **Serre subcategories** in $\mathbf{mod}(\mathcal{G})$ is given in [1, 2]:

$$\mathcal{X} \mapsto \Sigma(\mathcal{X}), \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto \gamma(\mathcal{F}).$$

In our setting, for a Serre subcategory $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}})$, $\mathcal{F} \neq \Sigma \circ \gamma(\mathcal{F})$ in general.

Example 4

Let $R = k[[x, y]]/(x^2)$. The indecomposable MCM R -modules are

$$R, I = (x)R \text{ and } I_n = (x, y^n)R \text{ for } n > 0.$$

Since $\gamma(\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(-, I_n)) = \emptyset$,

$$\Sigma \circ \gamma(\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(-, I_n)) = \Sigma(\emptyset) = \text{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}}).$$

However $\mathcal{S}(\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(-, I_n)) \neq \text{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}})$.

- Since $\text{KGdim } \underline{\text{Hom}}_R(-, I_n) = 1$,
 $\text{KGdim } \mathcal{S}(\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(-, I_n)) = 1$.
- Note that $\text{KGdim } \underline{\text{Hom}}_R(-, I) = 2$.
- Hence $\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(-, I) \notin \mathcal{S}(\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(-, I_n))$, so that
 $\mathcal{S}(\underline{\text{Hom}}_R(-, I_n)) \neq \text{mod}(\underline{\mathcal{C}})$.

Remark 6

By using the lemma below, one can show that

$$\gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathbf{X}) = \{\mathbf{X}\}$$

for $\forall \mathbf{X} \in \mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$. Hence $\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$ is \mathbf{T}_1 -space.

Lemma 5

Let $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$ with $\mathbf{X} \not\cong \mathbf{Y}$. Suppose that $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \neq \mathbf{0}$.
Then $\mathbf{Y} \notin \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathbf{X})$.

Proposition 7

Let $\mathbf{M} \in \mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$. \mathbf{M} is an isolated point, that is $\{\mathbf{M}\}$ is open, iff there exists an Auslander-Reiten (AR) sequence ending in \mathbf{M} .

Proof.

(\Leftarrow) Take the functor $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{M}}$ obtain from the AR-sequence. Then $\gamma(\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{M}}) = \mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \{\mathbf{M}\}$, which is closed.

(\Rightarrow) It follows from the fact that \mathbf{X} which appears in $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathbf{R}}(-, \mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ is finitely generated.

□

Corollary 6

Let \mathbf{R} be an isolated singularity. Then the topology of $\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$ is discrete.

Cantor-Bendixson rank

Definition 7 (Cantor-Bendixson rank)

\mathcal{T} is a topological space.

- If $x \in \mathcal{T}$ is an isolated point, then $\mathbf{CB}(x) = 0$.
- Put $\mathcal{T}' \subset \mathcal{T}$ is a set of the non-isolated point. Define the induced topology on \mathcal{T}' . Set

$$\mathcal{T}^{(0)} = \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^{(1)} = \mathcal{T}^{(0)'}, \dots, \mathcal{T}^{(n+1)} = \mathcal{T}^{(n)'}$$

We define $\mathbf{CB}(x) = n$ if $x \in \mathcal{T}^{(n)} \setminus \mathcal{T}^{(n+1)}$

- If $\exists n$ such that $\mathcal{T}^{(n+1)} = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(n)} \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathbf{CB}(\mathcal{T}) = n$.
- If $\mathcal{T}^\infty := \bigcap \mathcal{T}^{(n)} \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathbf{CB}(\mathcal{T}) = \infty$.

Example 8

Let \mathbf{R} be a DVR (e.g. $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{k}[[x]]$). Then $\mathbf{CB}(\mathrm{Spec}\mathbf{R}) = 1$ concerning the Zariski topology. Note that $\mathrm{Spec}\mathbf{R} = \{(\mathbf{0}), \mathfrak{m}\}$. $(\mathbf{0})$ is an isolated point since $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{f}) = \{(\mathbf{0})\}$ for some $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Thus $\mathrm{Spec}\mathbf{R}' = \{\mathfrak{m}\} = \mathrm{Spec}\mathbf{R}^{(1)}$, and \mathfrak{m} is isolated in the induced topology.

Corollary 9

Let \mathbf{R} be an isolated singularity. Then $\mathbf{CB}(\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C})) = 0$.

Proof.

$\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$ is a discrete topology, □

Definition 10 (CM_+ -finite [Kobayashi, et al. 2020])

We say that a Cohen–Macaulay local ring \mathbf{R} is CM_+ -finite if there exist only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable MCM modules that are not locally free on the punctured spectrum.

Example 11

The following rings are CM_+ -finite.

- 1 A ring which is an isolated singularity. (Thus a ring which is of finite CM -representation type.)
- 2 A hypersurface ring which is of countable CM -representation type.

Here we say that \mathbf{R} is of finite (countable) CM -representation type if there exists only finitely (countably) many isomorphism classes of indecomposable MCM modules.

CB-rank of CM_+ -finite representation type i

Theorem B

If R is CM_+ -finite then $\text{CB}(\text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})) \leq 1$.

(Proof)

We denote by \mathcal{C}_0 the subset of $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of modules that are locally free on the punctured spectrum and put

$$\mathcal{C}_+ := \text{Sp}(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \mathcal{C}_0.$$

- For $\forall \mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{C}_0$, \mathbf{M} is an isolated point since \mathbf{M} admits an AR-sequence. Thus $\text{CB}(\mathcal{C}_0) = 0$.
- On the other hand, for $\forall \mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{C}_+$, \mathbf{M} is not isolated.

CB-rank of CM_+ -finite representation type ii

- Since \mathbf{R} is CM_+ -finite, \mathcal{C}_+ is a finite set. Hence, for $\forall \mathbf{M} \in \mathcal{C}_+$,

$$\mathbf{V}_M := \bigcup_{\substack{\text{finite} \\ \mathbf{X} \neq \mathbf{M} \\ \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{C}_+}} \gamma \circ \Sigma(\mathbf{X})$$

is closed in $\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$.

- Thus

$$[\mathcal{C}_+] \cap [\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C}) \setminus \mathbf{V}_M] = \{\mathbf{M}\}$$

is open in $\mathcal{C}_+ \cap \mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$.

- Therefore $\text{CB}(\mathbf{Sp}(\mathcal{C})) \leq 1$.



Thank you for your attention.