ON TRIVIAL TILTING THEORY

TAKUMA AIHARA

ABSTRACT. We explore when an algebra has only trivial tilting module/complex.

INTRODUCTION

In mathematics, trivial cases are regularly trivial (insipid and uninteresting). For instance, we study that in group theory, a group with only trivial subgroup is a cyclic group of prime order, and in ring theory, a commutative ring with only trivial ideal is a field. These are first exercises for beginners. Neverthless, we cannot turn away from them.

In this note, we discuss trivial tilting theory for a finite dimensional algebra Λ over an algebraically closed field. Tilting theory deals with (classical) tilting modules, one-sided tilting complexes, two-sided tilting complexes (derived Picard groups), support τ -tilting modules, Wakamatsu tilting modules and so on. For example, Λ_{Λ} is a trivial tilting module and the one-sided stalk complexes $\Lambda_{\Lambda}[m]$ are trivial tilting complexes. We will give answers to the question "when does Λ have only trivial tilting module/complex".

1. MODULE VERSION

The right finitistic dimension r.fin.dim Λ of Λ is defined to be the supremum of the projective dimensions of right modules with finite projective dimension. Dually, we define the *left finitistic dimension* l.fin.dim Λ of Λ . Note that r.fin.dim Λ and l.fin.dim Λ do not necessarily coincide. As is well-known, r.fin.dim $\Lambda = 0$ if and only if there is a non-zero homomorphism from every simple module to Λ in mod Λ^{op} [4]. Here, Λ^{op} stands for the opposite algebra of Λ .

A module T is said to be *tilting* if it has finite projective dimension satisfying $\operatorname{Ext}_{\Lambda}^{n}(T,T) = 0$ for any positive integer n and there exists an exact sequence $0 \to \Lambda \to T_{0} \to T_{1} \to \cdots \to T_{\ell} \to 0$ with $T_{i} \in \operatorname{add} T$; this is also called *Miyashita tilting*. When proj.dim $T \leq 1$, we often call T classical tilting.

We state the first observation of this note; it seems to be well-known (or easy to show) for researchers who are familiar with tilting theory.

Theorem 1. The following are equivalent for an algebra Λ :

- (1) r.fin.dim $\Lambda = 0$;
- (2) The module Λ is the only (basic) Miyashita tilting module;
- (3) It is the only (basic) classical tilting module.

The detailed version of this paper will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

Remark 2. An affirmative answer to the finitistic dimension conjecture, which states that the dimension is always finite, would give us the fact that r.fin.dim $\Lambda = \text{proj.dim } T$ for some (possibly infinitely generated) tilting module T [3, Theorem 2.6].

2. Complex version

A tilting complex T is defined to be a perfect complex satisfying $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{proj}\Lambda)}(T, T[n]) = 0$ for every nonzero integer n and $\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{b}}(\mathsf{proj}\Lambda) = \operatorname{thick} T$. We denote by tilt Λ the set of isomorphism classes of (basic) tilting complexes of Λ . In this section, we explore when Λ has only trivial tilting complex. First, one gives well-known examples; (1) [6], (2) [1] and (3) by Ringel (unpublished paper).

Example 3. The following algebras have only trivial tilting complexes:

- (1) local algebras;
- (2) selfinjective algebras with cyclic Nakayama permutation;
- (3) radical-square-zero algebras satisfying $\operatorname{Ext}^1(S, S') \neq 0$ for all simple modules S, S'.

All algebras above have left and right finitistic dimension zero. However, even if Λ satisfies the property, it does not necessarily hold that Λ admits no nontrivial tilting complex; many selfinjective algebras satisfy both the property and tilt $\Lambda \neq \Lambda[\mathbb{Z}]$, so we should give an example of nonselfinjective algebras.

Example 4. Let Λ be the radical-square-zero algebra presented by the quiver:

$$y \bigcap 1 \xrightarrow{x} 2 \bigcirc z$$
.

It is easy to check that r.fin.dim $\Lambda = 0 = 1$.fin.dim Λ .

As is seen in [2, Example 5.10], we have only three indecomposable pretilting complexes up to shift: P_1, P_2 and $X := P_2 \xrightarrow{x} P_1$. This tells us that there precisely exist two types of nontrivial tilting complexes:

$$T := \bigoplus \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} P_1 \\ P_2 \xrightarrow{} P_1 \end{array} \right. , \qquad U := \bigoplus \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} P_2 \\ P_2 \xrightarrow{} P_1 \end{array} \right. , \qquad U := \bigoplus \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} P_2 \\ P_2 \xrightarrow{} P_1 \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

So, we obtain tilt $\Lambda = \Lambda[\mathbb{Z}] \cup T[\mathbb{Z}] \cup U[\mathbb{Z}]$. Moreover, each component admits the endomorphism algebra isomorphic to Λ, Γ or Γ^{op} (mutually nonisomorphic). Here, Γ is given by the following quiver with relations $\alpha\beta\alpha = \alpha\gamma = \gamma\beta = \gamma^2 = 0$:

$$1 \xrightarrow{\alpha}_{\beta} 2 \bigcirc \gamma \ .$$

We say that a complex T is *two-term* provided it is of the form $T^{-1} \to T^0$. The subset of tilt Λ consisting of two-term tilting complexes is denoted by 2tilt Λ .

A full subcategory of $\operatorname{\mathsf{mod}}\Lambda$ is said to be a *torsion class* if it is closed under extensions and factors. We say that a torsion class is ν -stable provided it is closed under taking the Nakayama functor $\nu := - \otimes_{\Lambda} D\Lambda$. Here is a useful observation.

Proposition 5. [5, Proposition 5.5] Let T be a two-term perfect complex of Λ and put $X := H^0(T)$. Then T is tilting if and only if Fac X is a ν -stable functorially finite torsion class of mod Λ .

An *Iwanaga–Gorenstein* algebra is defined to have finite left and right selfinjective dimension. We can get a result similar to Theorem 1.

Theorem 6. The following are equivalent for an Iwanaga–Gorenstein algebra Λ :

- (1) Λ is a selfinjective algebra with cyclic Nakayama permutation;
- (2) tilt $\Lambda = \{\Lambda[m] \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\};$
- (3) $2\text{tilt } \Lambda = \{\Lambda, \Lambda[1]\}.$

References

- H. ABE AND M. HOSHINO, On derived equivalences for selfinjective algebras. Comm. Algebra 34 (2006), no. 12, 4441–4452.
- [2] L. ANGELERI-HUGEL, S. KOENIG, Q. LIU AND D. YANG, Ladders and simplicity of derived module categories. J. Algebra 472 (2017), 15–66.
- [3] L. ANGELERI-HUGEL AND J. TRLIFAJ, Tilting theory and the finitistic dimension conjectures. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), no. 11, 4345–4358.
- [4] H. BASS, Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of simple-primary rings. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 466–488.
- [5] M. HOSHINO, Y. KATO AND J. MIYACHI, On t-structures and torsion theories induced by compact objects. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 167 (2002), no. 1, 15–35.
- [6] R. ROUQUIER AND A. ZIMMERMANN, Picard groups for derived module categories. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 87 (2003), no. 1, 197–225.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS TOKYO GAKUGEI UNIVERSITY 4-1-1 NUKUIKITA-MACHI, KOGANEI, TOKYO 184-8501, JAPAN *Email address*: aihara@u-gakugei.ac.jp