

# SOME COMMUTATION FORMULAS AND LINEAR ISOMORPHISMS FOR THE HYPERALGEBRA OF A SIMPLE ALGEBRAIC GROUP

YUTAKA YOSHII

ABSTRACT. In this article, we first present several commutation formulas for root vectors in the hyperalgebra  $\mathcal{U}$  corresponding to a simply connected simple algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then, we give certain linear isomorphisms in terms of the multiplication in  $\mathcal{U}$  and a linear transformation on  $\mathcal{U}$  known as the Frobenius splitting.

*Key Words:* Hyperalgebra, Commutation formula, Linear isomorphism.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 14L17, 17B35, 16S30.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  be a simple complex Lie algebra with root system  $\Phi$ . Let  $\Phi^+$  (resp.  $\Phi^-$ ) the set of all positive (resp. negative) roots. Let  $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$  be a base of  $\Phi$ . Let  $\{e_\alpha, h_i \mid \alpha \in \Phi, 1 \leq i \leq l\}$  be a Chevalley basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $h_i = [e_{\alpha_i}, e_{-\alpha_i}]$ . For  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , set  $h_\alpha = [e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}]$ . In the universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , set  $e_\alpha^{(n)} = e_\alpha^n/n!$  and  $\binom{h_\alpha + c}{n} = \prod_{j=1}^n (h_\alpha + c - j + 1)/n!$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  be the field of  $p$  elements. Let  $G$  be a simply connected and simple algebraic group defined over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with root system  $\Phi$  and  $T$  a maximal split torus of  $G$ . Let  $W = N_G(T)/T$  be the Weyl group and  $X(T) = \text{Hom}(T, \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p^\times)$  the character group of  $T$ . In the euclidean space  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} X(T)$ , let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be a  $W$ -invariant inner product. For  $\beta \in \Phi (\subseteq X(T))$ , let  $\beta^\vee = 2\beta/\langle \beta, \beta \rangle$  be the coroot of  $\beta$  and  $s_\beta \in W$  the reflection with respect to  $\beta$ :

$$s_\beta(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, \beta^\vee \rangle \beta \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{E}).$$

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{E}$ , set  $\|\lambda\| = \sqrt{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle}$ .

For  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , we denote the simple reflection  $s_{\alpha_i}$  by  $s_i$ . Then we have

$$W = \langle s_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta \rangle = \langle s_1, \dots, s_l \rangle.$$

For  $w \in W$  and its reduced expression  $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_t}$ , the integer  $t$  is called the length of  $w$  and denoted by  $l(w)$ . Let  $w_0$  be the unique longest element of  $W$  (then  $l(w_0) = |\Phi^+|$ ). Let  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the subring of  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{C}}$  generated by all  $e_\alpha^{(m)}$  with  $\alpha \in \Phi, m \geq 0$ . Then the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_p$  is called the hyperalgebra corresponding to  $G$ . We use the same symbols for images in  $\mathcal{U}$  of the elements of  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  (for example,  $e_\alpha^{(m)}, \binom{h_\alpha + c}{n}$ , and so on). Then we have  $\mathcal{U} = \langle e_\alpha^{(m)} \mid \alpha \in \Phi, m \geq 0 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p\text{-alg}}$ . We define  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -subalgebras  $\mathcal{U}^+, \mathcal{U}^-$ , and  $\mathcal{U}^0$  as

$$\mathcal{U}^+ = \langle e_\alpha^{(m)} \mid \alpha \in \Phi^+, m \geq 0 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p\text{-alg}},$$

---

The detailed version of this paper is [5].

$$\mathcal{U}^- = \langle e_\alpha^{(m)} \mid \alpha \in \Phi^-, m \geq 0 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p\text{-alg.}},$$

$$\mathcal{U}^0 = \left\langle \binom{h_i}{n} \mid 1 \leq i \leq l, n \geq 0 \right\rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p\text{-alg.}}.$$

Moreover, for a fixed positive integer  $r$ , set

$$\mathcal{U}_r = \langle e_\alpha^{(m)} \mid \alpha \in \Phi, 0 \leq m \leq p^r - 1 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p\text{-alg.}},$$

$$\mathcal{U}_r^+ = \mathcal{U}^+ \cap \mathcal{U}_r = \langle e_\alpha^{(m)} \mid \alpha \in \Phi^+, 0 \leq m \leq p^r - 1 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p\text{-alg.}},$$

$$\mathcal{U}_r^- = \mathcal{U}^- \cap \mathcal{U}_r = \langle e_\alpha^{(m)} \mid \alpha \in \Phi^-, 0 \leq m \leq p^r - 1 \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p\text{-alg.}},$$

$$\mathcal{U}_r^0 = \mathcal{U}^0 \cap \mathcal{U}_r = \left\langle \binom{h_i}{n} \mid 1 \leq i \leq l, 0 \leq n \leq p^r - 1 \right\rangle_{\mathbb{F}_p\text{-alg.}}.$$

Then the multiplication maps

$$\mathcal{U}^- \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathcal{U}^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathcal{U}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{U}, \quad \mathcal{U}_r^- \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathcal{U}_r^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathcal{U}_r^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_r$$

are  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear isomorphisms (see [3, II 1.12 and Lemma 3.3]).

## 2. COMMUTATION FORMULAS

Here we describe certain commutation formulas of divided powers  $e_\alpha^{(m)}$  (in  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  or  $\mathcal{U}$ ) for  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

The following formulas in  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  are well-known.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . In  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , the following equalities hold.*

- (i)  $e_\alpha^{(m)} e_\alpha^{(n)} = \binom{m+n}{n} e_\alpha^{(m+n)}$ .
- (ii)  $e_\alpha^{(m)} e_{-\alpha}^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} e_{-\alpha}^{(n-k)} \binom{h_\alpha - m - n + 2k}{k} e_\alpha^{(m-k)}$ .
- (iii)  $e_\alpha^{(m)} \binom{h_\beta + c}{n} = \binom{h_\beta + c - \langle \alpha, \beta^\vee \rangle m}{n} e_\alpha^{(m)}$ .
- (iv)  $e_\alpha^{(m)} e_\beta^{(n)} = e_\beta^{(n)} e_\alpha^{(m)}$  if  $\alpha + \beta \notin \Phi$  and  $\beta \neq -\alpha$ .
- (v)  $\binom{h_\alpha}{m} \binom{h_\alpha}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{m,n\}} \binom{m+n-k}{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{h_\alpha}{m+n-k}$ .

The following formula is also useful for calculation in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Proposition 2** (Lucas' Theorem). *Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Let  $m = \sum_{i \geq 0} m_i p^i$  and  $n = \sum_{i \geq 0} n_i p^i$  be their  $p$ -adic expansions. Then we have*

$$\binom{m}{n} \equiv \prod_{i \geq 0} \binom{m_i}{n_i} \pmod{p}.$$

Consider two roots  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$  with  $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$ . Then  $\Phi'(\alpha, \beta) = (\mathbb{Z}\alpha + \mathbb{Z}\beta) \cap \Phi$  forms a root system of type  $A_2$ ,  $B_2$ , or  $G_2$ . Let  $m$  be a unique integer such that  $\beta - m\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $\beta - (m+1)\alpha \notin \Phi$ . Then there exists  $c_{\alpha, \beta} \in \{\pm 1\}$  such that  $[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = (m+1)c_{\alpha, \beta}e_{\alpha+\beta}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $\|\alpha\| \leq \|\beta\|$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  form a base of  $\Phi'(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Suppose that  $\Phi'(\alpha, \beta)$  is of type  $A_2$ . Then  $\|\beta\| = \|\alpha\|$  and

$$\Phi'(\alpha, \beta) = \{\pm\alpha, \pm\beta, \pm(\alpha + \beta)\}.$$

If we write  $[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = c_{\alpha, \beta}e_{\alpha+\beta}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  for some  $c_{\alpha, \beta} \in \{\pm 1\}$ , then

$$e_\alpha^{(a)}e_\beta^{(b)} = \sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2=b, \\ t_2+t_3=a}} c_{\alpha, \beta}^{t_2} e_\beta^{(t_1)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(t_2)} e_\alpha^{(t_3)},$$

$$e_\beta^{(b)}e_\alpha^{(a)} = \sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2=a, \\ t_2+t_3=b}} (-c_{\alpha, \beta})^{t_2} e_\alpha^{(t_1)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(t_2)} e_\beta^{(t_3)}$$

in  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

Suppose that  $\Phi'(\alpha, \beta)$  is of type  $B_2$ . Then  $\|\beta\| = \sqrt{2}\|\alpha\|$  and

$$\Phi'(\alpha, \beta) = \{\pm\alpha, \pm\beta, \pm(\alpha + \beta), \pm(2\alpha + \beta)\}.$$

If we write  $[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = c_{\alpha, \beta}e_{\alpha+\beta}$  and  $[e_\alpha, e_{\alpha+\beta}] = 2c_{\alpha, \alpha+\beta}e_{2\alpha+\beta}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  for some  $c_{\alpha, \beta}, c_{\alpha, \alpha+\beta} \in \{\pm 1\}$ , then

$$e_\alpha^{(a)}e_\beta^{(b)} = \sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2+t_3=b, \\ t_2+2t_3+t_4=a}} c_{\alpha, \beta}^{t_2} (c_{\alpha, \beta}c_{\alpha, \alpha+\beta})^{t_3} e_\beta^{(t_1)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(t_3)} e_\alpha^{(t_4)},$$

$$e_\beta^{(b)}e_\alpha^{(a)} = \sum_{\substack{t_1+2t_2+t_3=a, \\ t_2+t_3+t_4=b}} (-c_{\alpha, \beta})^{t_3} (c_{\alpha, \beta}c_{\alpha, \alpha+\beta})^{t_2} e_\alpha^{(t_1)} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(t_3)} e_\beta^{(t_4)},$$

$$e_\alpha^{(a)}e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(b)} = \sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2=b, \\ t_2+t_3=a}} (2c_{\alpha, \alpha+\beta})^{t_2} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(t_1)} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(t_2)} e_\alpha^{(t_3)},$$

$$e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(b)}e_\alpha^{(a)} = \sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2=a, \\ t_2+t_3=b}} (-2c_{\alpha, \alpha+\beta})^{t_2} e_\alpha^{(t_1)} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(t_3)}$$

in  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ .

Suppose that  $\Phi'(\alpha, \beta)$  is of type  $G_2$ . Then  $\|\beta\| = \sqrt{3}\|\alpha\|$  and

$$\Phi'(\alpha, \beta) = \{\pm\alpha, \pm\beta, \pm(\alpha + \beta), \pm(2\alpha + \beta), \pm(3\alpha + \beta), \pm(3\alpha + 2\beta)\}.$$

If we write

$$[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = c_{\alpha, \beta}e_{\alpha+\beta}, \quad [e_\alpha, e_{\alpha+\beta}] = 2c_{\alpha, \alpha+\beta}e_{2\alpha+\beta},$$

$$[e_\alpha, e_{2\alpha+\beta}] = 3c_{\alpha, 2\alpha+\beta}e_{3\alpha+\beta}, \quad [e_{2\alpha+\beta}, e_{\alpha+\beta}] = 3c_{2\alpha+\beta, \alpha+\beta}e_{3\alpha+2\beta}$$

in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  for some  $c_{\alpha, \beta}, c_{\alpha, \alpha+\beta}, c_{\alpha, 2\alpha+\beta}, c_{2\alpha+\beta, \alpha+\beta} \in \{\pm 1\}$ . Then we have

$$[e_{3\alpha+\beta}, e_\beta] = -c_{\alpha, \beta}c_{\alpha, 2\alpha+\beta}c_{2\alpha+\beta, \alpha+\beta}e_{3\alpha+2\beta}$$

in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
e_{\alpha}^{(a)} e_{\beta}^{(b)} &= \sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2+2t_3+t_4+t_5=b, \\ t_2+3t_3+2t_4+3t_5+t_6=a}} d_1(t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) e_{\beta}^{(t_1)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{3\alpha+2\beta}^{(t_3)} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(t_4)} e_{3\alpha+\beta}^{(t_5)} e_{\alpha}^{(t_6)}, \\
e_{\beta}^{(b)} e_{\alpha}^{(a)} &= \sum_{\substack{t_1+3t_2+2t_3+3t_4+t_5=a, \\ t_2+t_3+2t_4+t_5+t_6=b}} d_2(t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) e_{\alpha}^{(t_1)} e_{3\alpha+\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(t_3)} e_{3\alpha+2\beta}^{(t_4)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(t_5)} e_{\beta}^{(t_6)}, \\
e_{\alpha}^{(a)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(b)} &= \sum_{\substack{t_1+2t_2+t_3+t_4=b, \\ t_2+t_3+2t_4+t_5=a}} d_3(t_2, t_3, t_4) e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(t_1)} e_{3\alpha+2\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(t_3)} e_{3\alpha+\beta}^{(t_4)} e_{\alpha}^{(t_5)}, \\
e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(b)} e_{\alpha}^{(a)} &= \sum_{\substack{t_1+2t_2+t_3+t_4=a, \\ t_2+t_3+2t_4+t_5=b}} d_4(t_2, t_3, t_4) e_{\alpha}^{(t_1)} e_{3\alpha+\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(t_3)} e_{3\alpha+2\beta}^{(t_4)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(t_5)}, \\
e_{\alpha}^{(a)} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(b)} &= \sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2=b, \\ t_2+t_3=a}} (3c_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta})^{t_2} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(t_1)} e_{3\alpha+\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{\alpha}^{(t_3)}, \\
e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(b)} e_{\alpha}^{(a)} &= \sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2=a, \\ t_2+t_3=b}} (-3c_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta})^{t_2} e_{\alpha}^{(t_1)} e_{3\alpha+\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(t_3)}, \\
e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(a)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(b)} &= \sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2=b, \\ t_2+t_3=a}} (3c_{2\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta})^{t_2} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(t_1)} e_{3\alpha+2\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(t_3)}, \\
e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(b)} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(a)} &= \sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2=a, \\ t_2+t_3=b}} (-3c_{2\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta})^{t_2} e_{2\alpha+\beta}^{(t_1)} e_{3\alpha+2\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(t_3)}, \\
e_{3\alpha+\beta}^{(a)} e_{\beta}^{(b)} &= \sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2=b, \\ t_2+t_3=a}} (-c_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta} c_{2\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta})^{t_2} e_{\beta}^{(t_1)} e_{3\alpha+2\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{3\alpha+\beta}^{(t_3)}, \\
e_{\beta}^{(b)} e_{3\alpha+\beta}^{(a)} &= \sum_{\substack{t_1+t_2=a, \\ t_2+t_3=b}} (c_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta} c_{2\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta})^{t_2} e_{3\alpha+\beta}^{(t_1)} e_{3\alpha+2\beta}^{(t_2)} e_{\beta}^{(t_3)}
\end{aligned}$$

in  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1(t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) &= c_{\alpha,\beta}^{t_2} (c_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta})^{t_4} (c_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta} c_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta})^{t_5} (c_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta} c_{2\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta})^{t_3}, \\
d_2(t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5) &= (-c_{\alpha,\beta})^{t_5} (c_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta})^{t_3} (-c_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta} c_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta})^{t_2} (c_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta} c_{2\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta})^{t_4}, \\
d_3(t_2, t_3, t_4) &= (2c_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta})^{t_3} (3c_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta} c_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta})^{t_4} (3c_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta} c_{2\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta})^{t_2}, \\
d_4(t_2, t_3, t_4) &= (-2c_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta})^{t_3} (3c_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta} c_{\alpha,2\alpha+\beta})^{t_2} (3c_{\alpha,\alpha+\beta} c_{2\alpha+\beta,\alpha+\beta})^{t_4}.
\end{aligned}$$

The above formulas are useful to show the following fact.

**Proposition 3** ([5, Proposition 3.3]). *Let  $\alpha \in \Phi$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , and  $z \in \mathcal{U}_r$ . Then the element*

$$\sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i e_{\alpha}^{((n-i)p^r)} z e_{\alpha}^{(ip^r)}$$

of  $\mathcal{U}$  lies in  $\mathcal{U}_r$ .

Consider a reduced expression  $w_0 = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_\nu}$  of the longest element  $w_0$ . If we set

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_{i_1}, \beta_2 = s_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_2}), \dots, \beta_\nu = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_{\nu-1}}(\alpha_{i_\nu}),$$

then we have  $\Phi^+ = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_\nu\}$  (see [2, 5.6 Exercise 1]). The monomials

$$e_{\beta_1}^{(a_1)} e_{\beta_2}^{(a_2)} \cdots e_{\beta_\nu}^{(a_\nu)}$$

with  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \nu$  form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}^+$  and an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -basis of  $\mathcal{U}^+$ .

**Proposition 4** ([4, Proposition 3.2]). *Suppose that  $\nu > 1$ . For  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $1 \leq j < k \leq \nu$ , the element  $e_{\beta_k}^{(a)} e_{\beta_j}^{(b)} - e_{\beta_j}^{(b)} e_{\beta_k}^{(a)}$  in  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of monomials of the form  $e_{\beta_j}^{(a_j)} \cdots e_{\beta_k}^{(a_k)}$  satisfying the following:*

- $a_j < b$  and  $a_k < a$ .
- $\sum_{i=j}^{k-1} a_i \leq b$  and  $\sum_{i=j+1}^k a_i \leq a$ .

Set  $\mathcal{N}_r = \{0, 1, \dots, p^r - 1\}$ . Using Proposition 4, we can prove the following:

**Proposition 5** ([5, Proposition 3.5]). *Let  $j, k$  be integers satisfying  $1 \leq j \leq k \leq \nu$ . Let  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Then the following hold.*

(i) *A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -span of the monomials  $e_{\beta_j}^{(a_j)} \cdots e_{\beta_k}^{(a_k)}$  with  $(a_j, \dots, a_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{k-j+1}$  forms a subring of  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}^+$ .*

(ii) *An  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -span of the monomials  $e_{\beta_j}^{(a_j)} \cdots e_{\beta_k}^{(a_k)}$  with  $(a_j, \dots, a_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{k-j+1}$  forms an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{U}^+$ .*

(iii) *An  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -span of the monomials  $e_{\beta_j}^{(a_j)} \cdots e_{\beta_k}^{(a_k)}$  with  $a_i \in \mathcal{N}_r$  for  $j \leq i \leq k$  forms an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{U}_r^+$ .*

(iv) *Let  $e_{\beta_j}^{(a_j)} \cdots e_{\beta_k}^{(a_k)}$  be a fixed monomial of  $\mathcal{U}$  satisfying  $a_i \in \mathcal{N}_r$  for each  $i$  with  $j \leq i \leq k$ . Let  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Then the following hold.*

- *If  $k \neq \nu$ , then the element*

$$e_{\beta_{k+1}}^{(c)} e_{\beta_j}^{(a_j)} \cdots e_{\beta_k}^{(a_k)} - e_{\beta_j}^{(a_j)} \cdots e_{\beta_k}^{(a_k)} e_{\beta_{k+1}}^{(c)}$$

*in  $\mathcal{U}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear combination of monomials of the form  $e_{\beta_j}^{(b_j)} \cdots e_{\beta_k}^{(b_k)} e_{\beta_{k+1}}^{(b_{k+1})}$  satisfying  $b_{k+1} < c$  and  $b_i \in \mathcal{N}_r$  for  $j \leq i \leq k$ .*

- *If  $j \neq 1$ , then the element*

$$e_{\beta_j}^{(a_j)} \cdots e_{\beta_k}^{(a_k)} e_{\beta_{j-1}}^{(c)} - e_{\beta_{j-1}}^{(c)} e_{\beta_j}^{(a_j)} \cdots e_{\beta_k}^{(a_k)}$$

*in  $\mathcal{U}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear combination of monomials of the form  $e_{\beta_{j-1}}^{(b_{j-1})} e_{\beta_j}^{(b_j)} \cdots e_{\beta_k}^{(b_k)}$  satisfying  $b_{j-1} < c$  and  $b_i \in \mathcal{N}_r$  for  $j \leq i \leq k$ .*

### 3. LINEAR ISOMORPHISMS

Let  $\text{Fr} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra endomorphism defined by

$$e_\alpha^{(n)} \mapsto \begin{cases} e_\alpha^{(n/p)} & \text{if } p \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \nmid n \end{cases}$$

for  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . Then we have

$$\text{Fr} \left( \begin{pmatrix} h_i \\ n \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} h_i \\ n/p \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } p \mid n, \\ 0 & \text{if } p \nmid n \end{cases}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Let

$$\text{Fr}'^+ : \mathcal{U}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^+, \quad \text{Fr}'^- : \mathcal{U}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^-, \quad \text{Fr}'^0 : \mathcal{U}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^0$$

be  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra homomorphisms defined by

$$\text{Fr}'^+(e_{\alpha_i}^{(n)}) = e_{\alpha_i}^{(np)}, \quad \text{Fr}'^-(e_{-\alpha_i}^{(n)}) = e_{-\alpha_i}^{(np)}, \quad \text{Fr}'^0 \left( \begin{pmatrix} h_i \\ n \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} h_i \\ np \end{pmatrix}$$

(see [1, Proposition 1.1 and Corollaire 1.2]). Then there is a (unique)  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear map  $\text{Fr}' : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  defined by

$$\mathbf{f}\mathbf{h}\mathbf{e} \mapsto \text{Fr}'^-(\mathbf{f})\text{Fr}'^0(\mathbf{h})\text{Fr}'^+(\mathbf{e}) \quad (\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{U}^-, \mathbf{h} \in \mathcal{U}^0, \mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{U}^+),$$

which is called the Frobenius splitting on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Clearly we have  $\text{Fr} \circ \text{Fr}' = \text{id}_{\mathcal{U}}$ , but  $\text{Fr}'$  is not an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra homomorphism.

Now we are ready to give a main result.

**Theorem 6** ([5, Theorems 4.5 and 5.5 and Corollaries 4.6 and 5.6]). *Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Then the multiplication on  $\mathcal{U}$  induces  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{U}_r^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}'^r(\mathcal{U}_n^+) &\rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{r+n}^+, & \mathcal{U}_r^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}'^r(\mathcal{U}^+) &\rightarrow \mathcal{U}^+, \\ \mathcal{U}_r^- \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}'^r(\mathcal{U}_n^-) &\rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{r+n}^-, & \mathcal{U}_r^- \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}'^r(\mathcal{U}^-) &\rightarrow \mathcal{U}^-, \\ \mathcal{U}_r \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}'^r(\mathcal{U}_n) &\rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{r+n}, & \mathcal{U}_r \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}'^r(\mathcal{U}) &\rightarrow \mathcal{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Unlike  $\mathcal{U}^+$ ,  $\mathcal{U}^-$ , and  $\mathcal{U}$ , the algebra  $\mathcal{U}^0$  is commutative. Therefore, in this case, an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra isomorphism can be obtained, and its proof is easier.

**Proposition 7** ([5, Proposition 5.1]). *Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Then the multiplication on  $\mathcal{U}$  induces  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{U}_r^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}'^r(\mathcal{U}_n^0) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{r+n}^0, \quad \mathcal{U}_r^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}'^r(\mathcal{U}^0) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^0.$$

Now we outline the proof of the first linear isomorphism in Theorem 6.

For  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\nu) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^\nu$ , set

$$\mathbf{e}^{(\mathbf{a})} = e_{\beta_1}^{(a_1)} e_{\beta_2}^{(a_2)} \dots e_{\beta_\nu}^{(a_\nu)}.$$

We proceed by induction on  $n$ . Suppose that  $n = 1$ . Since

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathcal{U}_r^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}'^r(\mathcal{U}_1^+)) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathcal{U}_{r+1}^+ = p^{(r+1)\nu},$$

it is enough to show that

$$\mathcal{U}_r^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}'^r(\mathcal{U}_1^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{r+1}^+$$

is injective. Consider the elements

$$e^{(\mathbf{a})} \text{Fr}^r(e^{(\mathbf{b})}) \quad (\mathbf{a} \in (\mathcal{N}_r)^\nu, \mathbf{b} \in (\mathcal{N}_1)^\nu).$$

We need the following proposition.

**Proposition 8** ([5, Proposition 4.4]). *For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , set  $q_{p,r}(n) = \lfloor n/p^r \rfloor$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_\nu) \in (\mathcal{N}_r)^\nu$  and that  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_k) \in (\mathcal{N}_1)^k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq \nu$ . Then we have*

$$e^{(\mathbf{a})} \text{Fr}^r(e^{(\mathbf{b})}) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^k e_{\beta_i}^{(a_i + p^r b_i)} \right) \prod_{i=k+1}^\nu e_{\beta_i}^{(a_i)} + \sum_{\mathbf{c}=(c_1, \dots, c_\nu)} \xi(\mathbf{c}) e^{(\mathbf{c})}$$

in  $\mathcal{U}$ , where  $\xi(\mathbf{c}) \in \mathbb{F}_p$  and each  $\mathbf{c}$  with  $\xi(\mathbf{c}) \neq 0$  satisfies

$$(q_{p,r}(c_1), \dots, q_{p,r}(c_k)) \neq (b_1, \dots, b_k)$$

in  $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^k$ ,  $q_{p,r}(c_i) \leq b_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $q_{p,r}(c_i) = 0$  for  $k+1 \leq i \leq \nu$ .

Using the proposition, we can show that the elements

$$e^{(\mathbf{a})} \text{Fr}^r(e^{(\mathbf{b})}) \quad (\mathbf{a} \in (\mathcal{N}_r)^\nu, \mathbf{b} \in (\mathcal{N}_1)^\nu)$$

are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Suppose that  $n \geq 2$ . We obtain the following commutative diagram induced by multiplication:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_r^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}^r(\mathcal{U}_{n-1}^+) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}^{r+n-1}(\mathcal{U}_1^+) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{U}_r^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}^r(\mathcal{U}_n^+) \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{U}_{r+n-1}^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}^{r+n-1}(\mathcal{U}_1^+) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{U}_{r+n}^+ \end{array}$$

Here the upper, the lower, and the left maps are  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear isomorphisms. Therefore, the multiplication map

$$\mathcal{U}_r^+ \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \text{Fr}^r(\mathcal{U}_n^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{r+n}^+$$

is also an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -linear isomorphism.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Gros and M. Kaneda, *Contraction par Frobenius de  $G$ -modules*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **61** (2011), 2507–2542.
- [2] J. E. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Group*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).
- [3] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, 2nd ed., Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 107, Amer. Math. Soc., Rhode Island (2003).
- [4] Y. Yoshii, *Some results on certain finite-dimensional subalgebras of the hyperalgebra of a universal Chevalley group*, J. Lie Theory **32** (2022), 899–916.
- [5] ———, *Certain linear isomorphisms for hyperalgebras relative to a Chevalley group*, J. Algebra Appl. (2025), No.2550185.

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

IBARAKI UNIVERSITY

MITO, IBARAKI 310-8512 JAPAN

*E-mail address:* yutaka.yoshii.6174@vc.ibaraki.ac.jp